


Accepted Manuscript

Towards microstructure fingerprinting: Estimation of tissue properties from a dictionary of Monte Carlo diffusion MRI simulations

Gaëtan Rensonnet, Benoît Scherrer, Gabriel Girard, Aleksandar Jankovski, Simon K. Warfield, Benoît Macq, Jean-Philippe Thiran, Maxime Taquet



PII: S1053-8119(18)31948-7

DOI: [10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.09.076](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.09.076)

Reference: YNIMG 15312

To appear in: *NeuroImage*

Received Date: 16 May 2018

Revised Date: 18 September 2018

Accepted Date: 25 September 2018

Please cite this article as: Rensonnet, Gaë., Scherrer, Benoît., Girard, G., Jankovski, A., Warfield, S.K., Macq, Benoît., Thiran, J.-P., Taquet, M., Towards microstructure fingerprinting: Estimation of tissue properties from a dictionary of Monte Carlo diffusion MRI simulations, *NeuroImage* (2018), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.09.076>.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Towards microstructure fingerprinting: estimation of tissue properties from a dictionary of Monte Carlo diffusion MRI simulations

Gaëtan Rensonnet^{a,b,*}, Benoît Scherrer^c, Gabriel Girard^b, Aleksandar Jankovski^{e,f}, Simon K. Warfield^c, Benoît Macq^a, Jean-Philippe Thiran^{b,g}, Maxime Taquet^{a,c,d}

^a*ICTEAM Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*

^b*Signal Processing Lab (LTS5), École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland*

^c*Computational Radiology Laboratory, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA*

^d*Department of Neurology, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA*

^e*Institute of Neuroscience, Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*

^f*Department of Neurosurgery, Centre hospitalier universitaire Dinant Godinne, Université catholique de Louvain, Namur, Belgium*

^g*Radiology Department, Centre hospitalier universitaire vaudois and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland*

Abstract

Many closed-form analytical models have been proposed to relate the diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DW-MRI) signal to microstructural features of white matter tissues. These models generally make assumptions about the tissue and the diffusion processes which often depart from the biophysical reality, limiting their reliability and interpretability in practice. Monte Carlo simulations of the random walk of water molecules are widely recognized to provide near groundtruth for DW-MRI signals. However, they have mostly been limited to the validation of simpler models rather than used for the estimation of microstructural properties.

This work proposes a general framework which leverages Monte Carlo

*Corresponding author

Email address: gaetan.rensonnet@uclouvain.be (Gaëtan Rensonnet)

simulations for the estimation of physically interpretable microstructural parameters, both in single and in crossing fascicles of axons. Monte Carlo simulations of DW-MRI signals, or *fingerprints*, are pre-computed for a large collection of microstructural configurations. At every voxel, the microstructural parameters are estimated by optimizing a sparse combination of these fingerprints.

Extensive synthetic experiments showed that our approach achieves accurate and robust estimates in the presence of noise and uncertainties over fixed or input parameters. In an *in vivo* rat model of spinal cord injury, our approach provided microstructural parameters that showed better correspondence with histology than five closed-form models of the diffusion signal: MMWMD, NODDI, DIAMOND, WMTI and MAPL. On whole-brain *in vivo* data from the human connectome project (HCP), our method exhibited spatial distributions of apparent axonal radius and axonal density indices in keeping with *ex vivo* studies.

This work paves the way for microstructure fingerprinting with Monte Carlo simulations used directly at the modeling stage and not only as a validation tool.

Keywords: diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging, Monte Carlo simulations, tissue microstructure, sparse optimization, microstructure fingerprinting

Declarations of interest: none

Highlights

- Diffusion signals are modeled by Monte Carlo simulations rather than analytic formulas
- Measured signals are matched to a large dictionary of simulated signals (*fingerprints*)
- Microstructural features are more accurately estimated than with conventional models

- Our approach is sensitive and specific to microstructural alterations in axonal loss
- Microstructure fingerprinting separately characterizes crossing fascicles in a voxel

1 Introduction

2 Adequate modeling of the diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging
 3 (DW-MRI) signal holds the promise of characterizing white matter tissues at
 4 the micrometer level, including information about the principal orientation of
 5 axons, their average radius or packing density. Traditionally, a *forward sig-*
 6 *nal model* is formulated relating the DW-MRI signal measured in a voxel to
 7 the externally-applied magnetic field gradient profile and the microstructural
 8 properties of the tissue. The estimation stage or *inverse problem* generally
 9 consists in minimizing a cost function incorporating the measured DW-MRI
 10 data and the closed-form forward formula.

11
 12 Estimating microstructural features from closed-form mathematical ex-
 13 pressions of the signal poses three major limitations. First, the formulations
 14 are generally so complex that simplifying assumptions need to be incorpo-
 15 rated to obtain closed-form formulas. For example, representing intra-axonal
 16 restriction for molecules trapped inside a simple model of straight cylinders
 17 often requires assuming a Gaussian phase distribution (McCall et al., 1963;
 18 Vangelder et al., 1994) or a short gradient pulse (Tanner and Stejskal,
 19 1968; Callaghan, 1995) to obtain analytical formulas. Describing the com-
 20 plex diffusion in the extra-axonal space almost exclusively relies on the basic
 21 diffusion tensor (Basser et al., 1994), albeit with possible refinements such as
 22 considering a peak-shaped distribution of tensors (Scherrer et al., 2016, 2017)
 23 or a dependence on diffusion time (Burcaw et al., 2015; Ning et al., 2017) or
 24 gradient frequency (Xu et al., 2014) when more complex DW-MRI sequences
 25 are used. Coupling the intra- and extra-axonal models in a physically consis-
 26 tent way is usually addressed by tortuosity models (Whitaker, 1967; Szafer
 27 et al., 1995), the accuracy of which has been questioned (Lampinen et al.,
 28 2017). Second, generalizing models from the diffusion sequence they were
 29 originally designed for, often the pulse-gradient spin-echo (PGSE) (Stejskal
 30 and Tanner, 1965), to sequences such as double diffusion encoding (DDE)
 31 (Cory et al., 1990; Callaghan and Manz, 1994) or oscillating-gradient spin-

echo (OGSE) (Gross and Kosfeld, 1969) generally requires non-trivial modifications (Xu et al., 2014; Lam et al., 2015). Third, the cost function used in the inverse problem is often highly non-linear in the parameters to estimate, leading to the well-known pitfalls of non-linear optimization: convergence to local rather than global minima, multiple equivalent minima (Jelescu et al., 2016; Novikov et al., 2018), long fitting times or sensitivity to the initialization strategy (Harms et al., 2017). These difficulties are usually overcome by making further simplifications to reduce complexity and stabilize the estimation, such as assuming axons with zero radius (Zhang et al., 2012) or neglecting fascicle crossings altogether (Alexander et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012). A recent alternative to such simplifications for stabilizing the estimation is to recast the inverse problem into a convex optimization program on a pre-computed dictionary. This was proposed by Daducci et al. (2015) and Sepehrband et al. (2016) for single fascicles and by Auría et al. (2015) for multiple fascicles. It should be noted that some models target the ensemble average propagator (EAP) of water molecules rather than tissue properties directly (Özarslan et al., 2013; Ning et al., 2017). Those frameworks make little to no assumption about the tissue and the signal is linearly decomposed in a chosen functional basis. The estimation of the coefficients of the expansion is done efficiently through convex optimization under positiveness (Özarslan et al., 2013) or sparsity constraints (Merlet et al., 2013) for instance. These models provide indices that must then be interpreted in terms of specific tissue features (Avram et al., 2016; Zucchelli et al., 2016).

Monte Carlo simulations of the random walk of water molecules have the potential to provide near ground-truth forward signals for any type of gradient profile and for any geometry of the cellular environment (Hall and Alexander, 2009; Balls and Frank, 2009). Because they provide numerical results rather than a closed-form formula for the signal, they are not well suited for parameter estimation through traditional continuous optimization. As a consequence, Monte Carlo simulations have been widely used in the validation of simpler closed-form models (Grebennkov, 2007; Fieremans et al., 2008; Nilsson et al., 2009; Hall and Alexander, 2009; Panagiotaki et al., 2010; Fieremans et al., 2010; Nilsson et al., 2010; Drobnjak et al., 2011; Raffelt et al., 2012; Dyrby et al., 2013; Pizzolato et al., 2015; Lam et al., 2015; Clayden et al., 2015; McHugh et al., 2015; Burcaw et al., 2015; Scherrer et al., 2016; Ianuş et al., 2016; Kakkar et al., 2017; Vellmer et al., 2017; Ning et al., 2017; Ginsburger et al., 2018; Mercredi and Martin, 2018). They have also

been used to investigate the sensitivity of the DW-MRI signal to complex biophysical features (Hall and Alexander, 2009; Nilsson et al., 2012; Harkins and Does, 2016; Palombo et al., 2017; Rensonnet et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2018). However, their use for the direct estimation of microstructural properties has been scarce and thus far limited to areas containing single fascicles of axons. For instance, in Nilsson et al. (2010), nearest-neighbor matching from a collection of Monte Carlo signals was compared with an analytical model of diffusion considering permeable membranes. In Nedjati-Gilani et al. (2017), a similar analytical model was assessed against a random forest regressor used to learn a mapping between microstructural properties of single fascicles and orientationally-invariant features extracted from their Monte Carlo signals. In the context of MR spectroscopy, Monte Carlo simulations were integrated in a computational model to assess the intracellular diffusion of cell-specific metabolites in rodent and primate brain (Palombo et al., 2016).

The framework proposed in this article aims at exploiting the accuracy and interpretability of Monte Carlo simulations directly in the forward signal model and not solely as a validation tool. Single-fascicle DW-MRI signals or *fingerprints* are first pre-computed for a large collection of microstructural configurations using Monte Carlo simulations. The final multi-fascicle estimation then consists in selecting the optimal sparse combination of these fingerprints, which is done by solving many small convex sub-problems. The approach is applied to the estimation of an apparent axonal radius index and an axonal density index in single- as well as in crossing-fascicle configurations, using synthetic and *in vivo* data.

1. Theory

This section first presents our general multi-fascicle signal model incorporating signals from Monte Carlo simulations, the theory of which is reviewed in a second subsection. A third subsection presents mathematical properties that allow large collections of Monte Carlo signals to be obtained at a minimal computational cost. Finally, the inverse problem of estimating microstructural features is formulated as a structured sparse optimization problem in the last subsection.

104 *1.1. Signal model*

105 The DW-MRI signal S at echo time TE under the application of an ef-
 106 fective magnetic field gradient profile $\mathbf{g}(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq \text{TE}$) in a voxel of white
 107 matter is assumed to arise from the independent contributions of K fasci-
 108 cles of axons with principal unit orientation $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_K$ occupying fractions
 109 $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_K$ of the physical volume of the voxel and of a partial volume ν_{csf} of
 110 cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)

$$\begin{aligned} S &= M_0 \cdot \left[\sum_{k=1}^K \nu_k A_{\text{fasc}}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_k, \mathbf{T}_k, \mathbf{u}_k; \mathbf{g}) + \nu_{\text{csf}} A_{\text{csf}}(D_{\text{csf}}, \mathbf{T}_{\text{csf}}; \mathbf{g}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K w_k A_k + w_{\text{csf}} A_{\text{csf}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

111 where the scaling factor M_0 captures the net initial transverse magnetization
 112 of the voxel detected by the scanner and $w_k := M_0 \nu_k$ is the NMR-apparent
 113 signal weight of the contribution of the k -th fascicle. The normalized DW-
 114 MRI signal $A_k := A_{\text{fasc}}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_k, \mathbf{T}_k, \mathbf{u}_k; \mathbf{g})$ of the k -th fascicle is modeled by a
 115 Monte Carlo simulation of the random self-diffusion of molecules in an en-
 116 vironment characterized by the set of microstructural parameters $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_k$, typ-
 117 ically featuring geometrical arrangements of cylinders representing axons.
 118 The set of parameters $\mathbf{T}_k$ captures NMR relaxation such as T1, T2 and pro-
 119 ton density, which is generally assumed to occur independently of diffusion
 120 and therefore only affects A_k via a multiplying factor. Water is assumed
 121 to diffuse freely and isotropically in the CSF compartment; its normalized
 122 DW-MRI contribution A_{csf} is therefore characterized by a scalar diffusivity
 123 D_{csf} . Since $\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_K + \nu_{\text{csf}} = 1$, the physical volume fractions ν_k have a
 124 one-to-one correspondence with the NMR-apparent weights w_k :

$$w_k = M_0 \nu_k \Leftrightarrow \nu_k = \frac{w_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{K+1} w_k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, K+1, \quad (2)$$

125 where the index $k = K+1$ refers to the CSF compartment.

126
 127 The flexibility of Monte Carlo simulations allows any type of gradient pro-
 128 file $\mathbf{g}(t)$ to be used, including PGSE, DDE, OGSE or more general b-tensor
 129 encoding (Topgaard, 2017) without the need to mathematically derive a new
 130 signal model.

131

132 A vector $[A_{\text{fasc}}(\mathbf{\Omega}, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{u}; \mathbf{g}_i)]_{i=1}^M$ corresponding to the set of M gradient
 133 profiles $\{\mathbf{g}_i(t)\}_{i=1}^M$ making up the acquisition protocol is defined as a *finger-*
 134 *print*. It uniquely relates to the particular microstructural parameters $\mathbf{\Omega}$ for
 135 given relaxation parameters $\mathbf{T}$ and orientation $\mathbf{u}$.

136 1.2. Monte Carlo simulations

137 Monte Carlo simulations consist in sampling the distribution of the phase
 138 $\phi(\mathbf{g})$ accumulated at echo time TE by spin-bearing nuclei or *spins* undergoing
 139 random diffusion in a spatial domain Ω when a diffusion-sensitizing gradient
 140 profile $\mathbf{g}(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq \text{TE}$) is applied. As described in Hall and Alexander
 141 (2009), a large set of N_{spin} Brownian trajectories $\mathbf{r}_l(t)$, $1 \leq l \leq N_{\text{spin}}$, are
 142 approximated in the environment Ω by discrete trajectories $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_l$ consisting of
 143 spatial jumps with random orientation and length

$$L = \sqrt{2nD\delta t} \quad (3)$$

144 where the time step $\delta t = \text{TE}/N_{\text{step}}$ is chosen in order to ensure a small bias,
 145 D is the local diffusivity and n ($1 \leq n \leq 3$) is the intrinsic spatial dimension
 146 of the diffusion process of interest. If Ω consists of parallel straight cylinders
 147 for instance, diffusion is unrestricted along the cylinders and Monte Carlo
 148 simulations can be limited to the plane perpendicular to the cylinders, im-
 149 plying $n = 2$. Interactions with boundaries and obstacles in Ω such as cellular
 150 membranes are tested for at each spatial jump.

151 With $g_\alpha(t)$ and $r_{l\alpha}$ denoting the components of $\mathbf{g}$ and $\mathbf{r}_l(t)$ along the
 152 direction $\mathbf{e}_\alpha$ and γ the gyromagnetic ratio of the spin-bearing nuclei, the
 153 phase ϕ_l of spin l accumulated at echo time is defined as
 154

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_l(\mathbf{g}) &:= \gamma \int_0^{\text{TE}} \mathbf{g}(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}_l(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \gamma \underbrace{\int_0^{\text{TE}} g_\alpha(t) r_{l\alpha}(t) dt}_{:= \phi_{l\alpha}(g_\alpha)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

155 It is approximated from the discrete trajectory $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_l$ by a numerical quadrature

156 such as a rectangle rule

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_l(\mathbf{g}) &\approx \hat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{g}) = \gamma \delta t \sum_{s=0}^{N_{\text{step}}-1} \mathbf{g}(s \cdot \delta t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_l(s \cdot \delta t) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \underbrace{\gamma \delta t \sum_{s=0}^{N_{\text{step}}-1} g_{\alpha}(s \cdot \delta t) \hat{r}_{l\alpha}(s \cdot \delta t)}_{:=\hat{\phi}_{l\alpha}(g_{\alpha})}\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

157 where $\hat{\phi}_{l\alpha}$ is the numerical approximation of the *directional phase* $\phi_{l\alpha}$ due to
158 $g_{\alpha}(t)$. It should be noted that ϕ (equivalently, $\hat{\phi}$) is linear with respect to
159 $\mathbf{g}(t)$:

$$\phi(\beta_1 \mathbf{g}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{g}_2) = \beta_1 \phi(\mathbf{g}_1) + \beta_2 \phi(\mathbf{g}_2) \quad (\forall \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}). \quad (6)$$

160 The normalized diffusion attenuation $A(\mathbf{g})$ in Ω associated with the gra-
161 dient profile $\mathbf{g}(t)$ is finally approximated as the empirical mean

$$A(\mathbf{g}) = \langle e^{j\phi(\mathbf{g})} \rangle \approx \left| \frac{1}{N_{\text{spin}}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{\text{spin}}} e^{j\hat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{g})} \right|, \quad (7)$$

162 where j denotes the complex number.

163 1.3. Data augmentation

164 1.3.1. Augmenting sequences

165 This paragraph demonstrates how the generation of directional phases
166 –rather than final DW-MRI signals– can make Monte Carlo simulations
167 reusable for new gradient directions and make the simulation time almost
168 independent of the number of sequences M , at the expense of a moderate
169 increase in storage space.

170
171 The M gradient profiles $\mathbf{g}(t)$ of a DW-MRI protocol can be expressed as
172 a linear combination of $M_{\chi} \leq M$ basis temporal profiles $\chi_m(t)$

$$\mathbf{g}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M_{\chi}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \beta_{m\alpha} \chi_m(t) \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}, \quad (8)$$

173 where every $\beta_{m\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$. If the $n \times N_{\text{spin}} \times M_\chi$ directional phases $\hat{\phi}_l(\chi_m \mathbf{e}_\alpha)$ are
 174 computed *and stored*, they can later be used to compute the accumulated
 175 phase $\hat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{g})$ of spin l arising from the application of any gradient profile $\mathbf{g}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}_l(\mathbf{g}) &= \hat{\phi}_l \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M_\chi} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \beta_{m\alpha} \chi_m \mathbf{e}_\alpha \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{M_\chi} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \beta_{m\alpha} \hat{\phi}_l(\chi_m \mathbf{e}_\alpha)\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

176 based on the linearity of $\hat{\phi}$ expressed in Eq. (6). This quantity can then be
 177 used to compute the normalized diffusion attenuation $A(\mathbf{g})$ using Eq. (7).

178
 179 Most current clinical protocols use a small number of temporal profiles,
 180 making this strategy particularly useful. For instance, PGSE-based Multi-
 181 shell HARDI (Tuch et al., 2002) and CUSP protocols (Scherrer and Warfield,
 182 2012) with gradient duration δ and separation Δ fixed across all shells have
 183 an optimal $M_\chi = 1$. Once computed, the $n \times N_{\text{spin}} \times M_\chi$ directional phases
 184 are used to simulate every sequence of the protocol. Among others, this
 185 approach can efficiently generate signals for patient-specific gradient maps
 186 corrected for motion. With a fixed protocol, the stored phases also pro-
 187 vide DW-MRI signals for any microstructural orientation by considering an
 188 adequately-rotated new set of gradient directions with the same temporal
 189 profiles $\chi_m(t)$.

190
 191 Compared with a strategy in which just the final DW-MRI signals are
 192 stored, the storage space increases by a factor $\frac{nN_{\text{spin}}M_\chi}{M}$. On the other hand,
 193 the computational complexity of the quadrature rule in Eq. (5) is reduced
 194 by a factor M/M_χ , e.g., a factor 100 for a protocol with 100 sequences using
 195 fixed Δ and δ .

197 1.3.2. Augmenting configurations

198 This paragraph demonstrates that many more microstructural configura-
 199 tions Ω can be obtained from a finite set of stored DW-MRI directional
 200 phases or signals by leveraging the scaling properties of Brownian diffusion.

201

202 Considering spin-bearing particles evolving in an environment with char-
 203 acteristic length scale L and homogeneous diffusivity D under the applica-
 204 tion of a magnetic gradient profile $\mathbf{g}(t)$ with characteristic gradient inten-
 205 sity G and time scale T , dimensional analysis shows that the DW-MRI sig-
 206 nal $A(L, D; G, T)$ is entirely characterized by the dimensionless parameters
 207 (Grebenkov, 2008)

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \frac{DT}{L^2}, \\ p_2 &= \gamma GLT. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

208 The following equivalence

$$A(L, D; G, T) = A(\sqrt{\alpha}L, \alpha D; \frac{G}{\sqrt{\alpha}}, T) \quad (11)$$

209 therefore holds for any real number $\alpha > 0$, meaning that the same simu-
 210 lated signal can be interpreted as arising from configurations characterized
 211 by different spatial dimensions and diffusivity under the application of an ad-
 212 equately scaled magnetic gradient. For instance, the DW-MRI signal arising
 213 from an environment with 3 μm -wide axons separated by 1 μm gaps is iden-
 214 tical to the signal arising from 6 μm -wide axons separated by 2 μm gaps if
 215 the diffusivity is 4 times as large and the gradient magnitude is twice as small.

216
 217 This property is useful in the context of generating large collections of
 218 DW-MRI signals. Instead of running Monte Carlo simulations for all combi-
 219 nations (L_i, D_j) of a biological region of interest $[L_{\min}, L_{\max}] \times [D_{\min}, D_{\max}]$ in
 220 the space of microstructural parameters Ω , it is sufficient to run simulations
 221 for one fixed diffusivity D_{sim} in $[D_{\min}, D_{\max}]$ and a sampling $\{L_{\text{sim},i}\}_i$ span-
 222 ning a slightly larger region $[\sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{sim}}}{D_{\max}}}L_{\min}, \sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{sim}}}{D_{\min}}}L_{\max}]$. By setting $\alpha = \frac{D_{\text{sim}}}{D}$
 223 in Eq. (11), a collection of DW-MRI signals corresponding to a new arbitrary
 224 $D \in [D_{\min}, D_{\max}]$ is then directly obtained as

$$A\left(\sqrt{\frac{D}{D_{\text{sim}}}}L_{\text{sim},i}, D; G, T\right) = A\left(L_{\text{sim},i}, D_{\text{sim}}; \sqrt{\frac{D}{D_{\text{sim}}}}G, T\right), \quad (12)$$

225 where the left-hand-side corresponds to a sampling $\left\{\sqrt{\frac{D}{D_{\text{sim}}}}L_{\text{sim},i}\right\}_i$ covering
 226 the region of interest $L_{\min} \leq L \leq L_{\max}$. Appendix A shows that the choice

of D_{sim} does not affect the number of reference simulations N required to achieve sufficient resolution in L for all $D \in [D_{\text{min}}, D_{\text{max}}]$.

If the reference simulations with D_{sim} stored the directional phases as explained in the previous paragraph, then the right-hand-side of Eq. (12) can be exactly evaluated at $\sqrt{\frac{D}{D_{\text{sim}}}}G$. Otherwise, signal interpolation with respect to G must be performed. In order to avoid extrapolation outside of the range $[G_{\text{min}}, G_{\text{max}}]$ covered by the acquisition protocol, the reference simulations can be run for G spanning the slightly larger interval $\left[\sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{min}}}{D_{\text{sim}}}}G_{\text{min}}, \sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{max}}}{D_{\text{sim}}}}G_{\text{max}}\right]$.

1.4. Inverse problem

Our framework consists in pre-computing a dictionary of Monte Carlo DW-MRI fingerprints, each corresponding to a unique microstructural configuration. At runtime, for every voxel, our method then aims at finding the optimal combination of single-fascicle configurations $\hat{\Omega}_1, \dots, \hat{\Omega}_K$ and volume fractions $\hat{\nu}_1, \dots, \hat{\nu}_K$ for a vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^M$ of M noisy DW-MRIs. More specifically, the process involves five steps: two during the pre-computing stage and three during runtime.

Step 1 (pre-computing) performs a discrete sampling of N points $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_N$ of the space Ω of microstructural parameters with bounds and granularity justified by tissue biology and the expected resolution of the M diffusion-encoding gradient profiles $\mathbf{g}_1(t), \dots, \mathbf{g}_M(t)$ of the protocol $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{g}_i(t)\}_{i=1}^M$ at hand.

Step 2 (pre-computing) generates a canonical single-fascicle dictionary $\mathbf{F}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ containing the DW-MRI fingerprints of the N selected microstructural configurations for a single fascicle along a fixed direction $\mathbf{u}_0$

$$\mathbf{F}^0 = [\mathbf{A}_1^0, \dots, \mathbf{A}_N^0], \quad (13)$$

where $\mathbf{A}_j^0 = A_{\text{fasc}}(\Omega_j, \mathbf{T}_0, \mathbf{u}_0; \mathcal{P})$, $1 \leq j \leq N$. This the most time-consuming step involving up to N Monte Carlo simulations which, however, need only be performed once and can be done before any data is even acquired.

Step 3 (runtime) requires an external routine to estimate in each voxel the number K of fascicles and their orientations $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_K$.

261 **Step 4 (runtime)** requires an efficient routine for rotating single-fascicle
 262 signals in order to obtain the single-fascicle dictionaries $\mathbf{F}^1, \dots, \mathbf{F}^K \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$
 263 from $\mathbf{F}^0$ along the orientations $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_K$ estimated in Step 3.

264
 265 **Step 5 (runtime)** finally consists in solving the following sparse opti-
 266 mization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{w}} = \underset{\mathbf{w} \geq 0}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad & \left\| \mathbf{y} - [\mathbf{F}^1 | \dots | \mathbf{F}^K | \mathbf{A}_{\text{csf}}] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_K \\ w_{\text{csf}} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & |\mathbf{w}_k|_0 = 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, K, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

267 where the sparsity constraints on the sub-vectors $\mathbf{w}_k$ guarantee that only one
 268 fascicle configuration Ω_{j_k} per single-fascicle dictionary $\mathbf{F}^k$ contributes to the
 269 measured signal $\mathbf{y}$. Problem (14) is solved exactly by selecting the optimal
 270 solution out of N^K independent non-negative least-squares sub-problems of
 271 $(K + 1)$ variables

$$(\hat{j}_1, \dots, \hat{j}_K) = \underset{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_K \leq N}{\operatorname{argmin}} \min_{\mathbf{w} \geq 0} \left\| \mathbf{y} - [\mathbf{A}_{j_1}^1 | \dots | \mathbf{A}_{j_K}^K | \mathbf{A}_{\text{csf}}] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_K \\ w_{\text{csf}} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2^2. \quad (15)$$

272 Each sub-problem is convex and is solved exactly by an efficient active-set
 273 algorithm (Lawson and Hanson, 1995, chap. 23, p. 161). The optimal
 274 microstructural parameters $\hat{\Omega}_k$ are taken as those of the optimal fingerprint $\hat{j}_k$
 275 in each $\mathbf{F}^k$ and the volume fractions $\hat{v}_k$ are estimated from the corresponding
 276 optimal weights $\hat{w}_k$ using Eq. (2). Note that the weights w_k are not required
 277 to sum to one since the quantity $\sum_{k=1}^{K+1} \hat{w}_k$ should reflect the scale M_0 of the
 278 acquired signals $\mathbf{y}$.

299 2. Materials and Methods

280 This section presents the synthetic and *in vivo* experiments performed to
 281 validate the general estimation framework described in Section 1.4. The first
 282 part is concerned with voxels containing only single fascicles of axons while

the second part considers voxels with crossing fascicles. All the synthetic experiments were designed to reproduce the experimental conditions of the *in vivo* acquisitions as closely as possible.

2.1. Validation on single fascicles

2.1.1. Diffusion protocol

The DW-MRI protocol used for the synthetic and *in vivo* validation on single-fascicle configurations, referred to as the *rodent protocol*, consisted of 6 PGSE shells of b-values 300, 700, 1500, 2800, 4500, 6000 s mm⁻² with high gradient intensities $G \in [140, 628]$ mT m⁻¹ and short gradient duration $\delta = 4.5$ ms and diffusion time $\Delta = 12$ ms, with TE = 23 ms. Each shell contained 36 non-collinear directions computed with the electrostatic repulsion method of Caruyer et al. (2013) and 3 unweighted or b0 images for a total of 234 images.

2.1.2. Implementation details of the dictionary estimation

In Step 1 of the procedure described in Section 1.4, hexagonal packing of straight, impermeable cylinders was selected to represent single fascicles of axons, characterized by a cylinder radius r , interpreted as an apparent axonal radius index, and a cylinder packing density f , interpreted as an axonal density index (Budde and Frank, 2010; Alexander et al., 2010). The space of microstructural parameters $\Omega = (r, f)$ was sampled at 34 values for r from 0.4 μ m to 7 μ m by steps of 0.2 μ m and 23 values for f from 0.21 to 0.87 by increments of 0.03. This resulted in a canonical single-fascicle dictionary $\mathbf{F}^0$ containing the diffusion fingerprints of $N = 782$ microstructural configurations along a reference orientation. The groundtruth diffusivities of the intra- and extra-axonal or “white matter” space were kept equal and fixed to $D_{\text{wm}} = 2.0 \times 10^{-9}$ m² s⁻¹ based on the intra-axonal estimate by Dhi-tal et al. (2017). The diffusivity of CSF was set to $D_{\text{csf}} = 3.0 \times 10^{-9}$ m² s⁻¹ (Xing et al., 1997). Since a PGSE protocol was considered, NMR relaxation consisted of T2 decay of the form $\exp(-\text{TE}/T2)$, assumed independent of the diffusion process. In order to match our *in vivo* rat data set acquired at 11.7T, the groundtruth relaxation times were considered to be $T2_{\text{wm}} = 30$ ms for the intra- and extra-axonal space based on estimates at 11.7T in the rat corpus callosum and cerebellar white matter (de Graaf et al., 2006). For CSF, $T2_{\text{csf}} = 120$ ms was selected in line with rat brain estimates at 7.0T (Cr  millieux et al., 1998) and at 11.7T (Pohmann et al., 2011).

319 The Monte Carlo simulations of Step 2 in our estimation procedure were
 320 performed using an in-house software for the extra-axonal signal of the gra-
 321 dient components perpendicular to the cylinders with a number of random
 322 walkers $N_{\text{spins}} = 150\,000$ and a time step δt between 1 and $5\,\mu\text{s}$ based on
 323 the distance between cylinders (Rensonnet et al., 2015, 2016). Exact intra-
 324 axonal signals were obtained using an efficient implementation¹ of the Multi-
 325 ple Correlation Function (MCF) approach (Grebekov, 2008). As described
 326 in Section 1.3.1, one single set of directional phases ϕ_x and ϕ_y per fingerprint
 327 needed to be stored in order to compute all 216 diffusion-weighted acquisi-
 328 tions of the rodent protocol.

329
 330 In Step 3, the number of fascicles in each voxel was limited to $K = 1$ and
 331 the orientations were obtained from a ball-and-sticks model estimated using
 332 a maximum a posteriori approach as described in Scherrer et al. (2016), using
 333 the CRKIT software² without spatial regularization across voxels.

334
 335 Since a multi-shell PGSE protocol was considered, the rotation of DW-
 336 MRI signals required in Step 4 was done by simple linear spline interpolation
 337 separately on each shell.

339 2.1.3. Synthetic experiments

340 Two synthetic experiments were designed to validate the estimation method
 341 in a variety of controlled groundtruth configurations and to investigate the
 342 effect of uncertainties on fixed or pre-estimated parameters.

343
 344 In both experiments, the results of our Monte Carlo based estimations
 345 were systematically compared with the output of the minimal model of
 346 white matter diffusivity (MMWMD) introduced in Alexander et al. (2010).
 347 MMWMD was chosen for comparison as it provides direct indices of the
 348 radius and axonal density to which our method can be compared. More
 349 specifically, the quantity $\frac{f_{\text{cylinder}}}{f_{\text{cylinder}} + f_{\text{zeppelin}}}$ was used as an axonal density index,
 350 where f_{cylinder} and f_{zeppelin} are the fractions of signal modeled by the cylinder
 351 and the zeppelin-like diffusion tensor, respectively. All MMWMD fitting was

¹Our code was based on publicly available scripts from the original author's web page
https://pmc.polytechnique.fr/pagesperso/dg/MCF/MCF_e.htm.

²<http://crl.med.harvard.edu/software/>.

performed with the Camino Diffusion MRI Toolkit (Cook et al., 2006) using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) fitting with 40 samples at intervals of 200 iterations after a burn-in of 2000 iterations as recommended in Alexander et al. (2010).

The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) was defined as $\text{SNR} = 0.5M_0/\sigma$, where σ is the standard deviation of the noise in an individual MRI detection coil. The factor 0.5 comes from the mid-point value of the unweighted b0 signal for 0 and 25% of the volume occupied by CSF, using the T2 values described above. The scaling parameter M_0 depends on the sensitivity of the MRI scanner in practice and was fixed to an arbitrary $M_0 = 1000$ in the groundtruth signals throughout all synthetic experiments.

Experiment 1.A. Single-fascicle groundtruth, effect of fixed diffusivity. This experiment focused on the estimation of microstructural properties in single fascicles and included no CSF contribution in the synthetic groundtruth and in the fitted models. Consequently Eq. (15) was solved with $w_{\text{csf}} = 0$ in our Monte Carlo dictionary estimation while the ball and dot compartments were ignored in the MMWMD fitting.

Equation (1) was used to generate reference groundtruth signals for 64 single-fascicle configurations obtained from the combinations of 8 groundtruth radius index values r from 0.6 to 4.8 μm by steps of 0.6 μm and 8 groundtruth density index values f from 0.42 to 0.84 by steps of 0.06. Each signal was corrupted by 10 independent simulations of Rician noise with 10 SNR levels varying from 5 to 150. Estimation was performed for all 4 combinations of groundtruth diffusivity $D^* = \{2.0, 3.0\} \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ and model diffusivity $D = \{2.0, 3.0\} \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ in order to investigate the effect of fixing D to an under- or overestimated value (with signals generated using data augmentation as explained in Section 1.3.2). This yielded a total of $64 \times 10 \times 10 \times 4 = 25\,600$ independent synthetic voxels.

Experiment 1.B. CSF partial volumes, effect of fixing T2 values. In this experiment, partial volumes of CSF were added both to the groundtruth voxels and to the fitted models. The 64 single-fascicle configurations selected in Exp. 1.A were considered and isotropic CSF contributions with physical volume fractions $\nu_{\text{csf}} = \{0.0, 0.25, 0.50\}$ were successively added following Eq. (1). The levels of Rician noise corruption and number of repetitions were as in

Exp. 1.A and the model diffusivities were set to the groundtruth values. Three configurations of T2 values were examined as detailed in Table 1. The “T2_✓” scenario was the ideal case; in the “T2_{x1}” setting the groundtruth values were unchanged but the models assumed uniform T2 at a typical 3T value of 70 ms; the “T2_{x2}” case was more challenging as the groundtruth signals were generated with different T2 values in the intra- and extra-axonal space of the single fascicle. MMWMD fitting was performed using the full four-compartment model including a dot compartment.

Table 1: T2 values of the intra-axonal, extra-axonal and CSF compartments assumed in the groundtruth (GT) and the model in three different scenarios for Exp. 1.B.

	T2 _✓		T2 _{x1}		T2 _{x2}	
	GT	Model	GT	Model	GT	Model
T2 _{in}	30	30	30	70	30	70
T2 _{ex}	30	30	30	70	45	70
T2 _{csf}	120	120	120	70	120	70

2.1.4. *In vivo experiment*

Animal model of Wallerian degeneration. Three female Long Evans rats (Janvier Labs, Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France; weight 180 – 200 g) underwent laminectomy of vertebrae L2-L3 to expose the spinal cord. A left unilateral dorsal root axotomy was then performed, inducing Wallerian degeneration in the ipsilateral side of the gracile fasciculus of the spinal cord while leaving the contralateral side untouched. Two similar rats served as the control group and underwent laminectomy at identical vertebral levels without the dorsal root axotomy, leaving the whole spinal cord unaffected. All rats were scanned 51 days after surgery (see next paragraph) and sacrificed immediately after the imaging session. The spinal cords were then extracted, frozen and sliced axially in 20- μ m thick sections to perform SMI312 staining, used to expose neurofilaments and indicate the presence of axons. The entire protocol was approved by the local animal care and ethics committee at Université catholique de Louvain (2016/UCL/MD/011).

In vivo DW-MRI. DW-MRI was performed on all five rats on an 11.7T Bruker BioSpec scanner (Bruker, Billerica, MA) using a 72-mm diameter transmitter volume coil and a 4-channel, 3 $\times$ 3 cm surface receiver coil covering the L4-T12 vertebral segments. Rats lay in the dorsal decubitus position

on a custom-made bed, anesthetized with an isoflurane-air mixture (2.5% for induction and 1-1.5% for maintenance). Respiration and rectal temperature were continuously monitored and body temperature was kept stable at 37 °C using a circulating warm-water pad. Diffusion-weighted images were acquired with the PGSE parameters described in Section 2.1.1 and $TR = 3$ s, using 2-D echo planar imaging (EPI) with in-plane voxel resolution $0.1 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}^2$ (128×128 matrix) and slice thickness 1 mm for 16 contiguous axial slices, for a total acquisition time of about 2.5 h per rat. Correction for animal motion and Eddy current was achieved by affine registration of each scalar DW-MRI to the b_0 images interleaved in the protocol. To this end, images were resampled to a resolution of $0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$ enabling improved multi-scale pyramidal registration.

Model fitting and statistical analysis. The gracile fasciculus was manually segmented based on the hypo-intense signal of the anterior spinal vein on the b_0 images and the high fractional anisotropy (FA) values of the corticospinal tract obtained from diffusion tensor fitting, while CSF voxels were manually removed at the periphery of the spinal cord.

Estimation was performed in the voxels of the gracile fasciculus of all five rats using our Monte Carlo dictionary approach, yielding estimates of r , f and ν_{csf} . MMWMD was estimated as described in Exp. 1.B, providing an extra parameter ν_{dot} . For further comparison, the four closed-form microstructural models DIAMOND (Scherrer et al., 2016), NODDI (Zhang et al., 2012), WMTI (Fieremans et al., 2011) and MAPL (Fick et al., 2016) were also fitted to the data. From DIAMOND, the compartment heterogeneity index cHEI, compartment radial diffusivity cRD, compartment axial diffusivity cAD and isotropic volume fraction ν_{iso} were examined. From NODDI, the intra-neurite volume fraction f_{icvf} , orientation dispersion index ODI and isotropic volume fraction ν_{iso} were estimated using the NODDI MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc, Natick, MA) Toolbox³. From WMTI, the axonal water fraction (AWF), intra-axonal radial diffusivity RD_{in} , extra-axonal radial diffusivity RD_{ex} and extra-axonal axial diffusivity AD_{ex} were estimated using the `reconst.dki_micro` module from the DIPY software project⁴ (Garyfalidis et al., 2014). From MAPL, the Return-to-Origin Probability RTOP,

³<http://mig.cs.ucl.ac.uk/index.php?n=Tutorial.NODDI matlab>

⁴<http://nipy.org/dipy/index.html>

449 Return-to-Axis Probability RTAP, Return-to-Plane Probability RTPP and
 450 mean squared displacement MSD were estimated using the `reconst.mapmri`
 451 module from DIPY.

452
 453 After model fitting, the following linear mixed-effect regression was es-
 454 timated for each of the microstructural parameters described above using
 455 MATLAB's `fitlme` routine

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_{\text{WD}} \times S \times I + \beta_{\text{surgery}} \times S + \beta_{\text{ipsilateral}} \times I, \quad (16)$$

456 where y is the microstructural property of interest, S and I are indicator
 457 variables respectively indicating surgery ($S = 1$) versus controls ($S = 0$) and
 458 ipsilateral ($I = 1$) versus contralateral ($I = 0$) sides. A subset of voxels in
 459 the gracile fasciculus corresponding to the original DW-MRI resolution were
 460 selected for the analysis in order to avoid artificially increasing our sample
 461 size and driving p-values to zero. In Eq. (16), the coefficient β_{WD} captures
 462 the effect of Wallerian degeneration. It should only be large for parameters
 463 *physically impacted by the surgery* and not by intrinsic differences between
 464 the control and the injured group (β_{surgery}) or rat-specific differences between
 465 the left and right sides of the spinal cord ($\beta_{\text{ipsilateral}}$). In this experiment,
 466 the quality of a model does not lie in its ability to detect significant group
 467 differences but rather in its ability to attribute the signal change to specific
 468 microstructural parameters in line with histological observations.

470 2.2. Validation on crossing fascicles

471 2.2.1. Diffusion protocol

472 All synthetic and *in vivo* experiments on crossing-fascicle configurations
 473 were carried out using the MGH-USC Adult Diffusion protocol of the Hu-
 474 man Connectome Project (HCP) described in Setsompop et al. (2013). The
 475 protocol comprised 4 PGSE HARDI shells containing 64 gradient directions
 476 at $b = 1000 \text{ s mm}^{-2}$, 64 at $b = 3000 \text{ s mm}^{-2}$, 128 at $b = 5000 \text{ s mm}^{-2}$, 256 at
 477 $b = 10\,000 \text{ s mm}^{-2}$ and 40 b_0 images interleaved throughout the protocol, for
 478 a total of 552 acquisitions. Gradients intensities reached $G = 219 \text{ mT m}^{-1}$
 479 with $\delta/\Delta = 12.9/21.8 \text{ ms}$, enabling $\text{TE} = 57 \text{ ms}$.

480 2.2.2. Implementation details of the dictionary estimation

481 In Step 1 of the inverse problem (Section 1.4), the same single-fascicle
 482 model as in Section 2.1.2 was selected, along with the same sampling of

the microstructural parameter space $\Omega = (r, f)$ and identical diffusivities. Groundtruth T2 values were set to typical human brain values at 3T with $T2_{wm} = 70$ ms based on Stanisiz et al. (2005) and Smith et al. (2008), assumed identical in all fascicles. In CSF, $T2_{csf} = 1000$ ms was interpolated from 0.14 T estimates in humans (Condon et al., 1987) as well as rat estimates at 4.7 T (Ting and Bendel, 1992) and 7 T (Cr  millieux et al., 1998).

Steps 2, 3, and 4 were performed as in the single-fascicle experiments except that the ball-and-sticks estimation in Step 3 was set to detect up to $K = 2$ fascicles. In step 5, $N^2 = 611\,524$ non-negative least squares subproblems were solved in voxels containing two fascicles.

2.2.3. Synthetic experiments

Two synthetic experiments were designed to validate the estimation method in a variety of controlled crossing-fascicle configurations and to investigate the effect of misestimating fixed or estimated parameters.

As with the rodent protocol, the scanner-specific scaling parameter was fixed to $M_0 = 1000$ and the SNR was computed as $SNR = 0.5M_0/\sigma$ based on unweighted b_0 signals without CSF contamination and with 25% of CSF in the voxel, using the above T2 values.

Experiment 2.A. Independent voxels, effect of orientation misestimation and crossing angle. This experiment focused on the estimation of microstructural properties in crossing fascicles and included no CSF contribution in the synthetic groundtruth and in the Monte Carlo dictionary estimation, i.e. Eq. (15) was solved with $w_{csf} = 0$.

Equation (1) was used to generate reference groundtruth signals for fascicles with identical microstructural properties $r = r_1 = r_2$ and $f = f_1 = f_2$ with volume occupied by the first fascicle $\nu_1 = \{0.3, 0.4, 0.5\}$ and $\nu_2 = 1 - \nu_1$, for all 32 combinations of 4 radius index values $r = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ μm and 8 density index values f from 0.42 to 0.84 by steps of 0.06. Each signal was corrupted by 10 independent simulations of Rician noise with 10 SNR levels varying from 5 to 150.

To examine the effect of an incorrect estimation of the fascicle's orientations in Step 3 of the inverse problem, the estimation was performed by independently selecting $\mathbf{u}_1$ and $\mathbf{u}_2$ randomly on a cone with principal axis along the groundtruth orientations, forcing angular errors of 0° , 5° and 10° successively. Fascicles crossing at angles $\angle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 = \{30^\circ, 60^\circ, 90^\circ\}$ were considered in order to study the effect of the groundtruth crossing angle, thereby yielding a total of $3 \times 32 \times 10 \times 10 \times 3 \times 3 = 86\,400$ independent voxel estimations.

Experiment 2.B. Synthetic 2D phantom, effect of dissimilar fascicles. A synthetic phantom was designed containing three axonal tracts (see Fig. 7(a)). Each tract had a constant radius index r (respectively 1.2, 1.6 and $2.0\,\mu\text{m}$) and spatially-smooth variations of the fascicle-specific density index f ranging from 0.45 to 0.81. The complete 2D-slice featured $17 \times 17 = 289$ voxels including 119 voxels containing one single fascicle of axons, 34 voxels containing a single fascicle with 25% of CSF and 86 voxels containing two fascicles intersecting at angles comprised in $[31.4^\circ, 86.0^\circ]$ with mean 62.7° . The crossing-fascicle configurations were more complex than in Exp. 2.A because the two crossing fascicles had different microstructural properties $r_1 \neq r_2$, $f_1 \neq f_2$ in general. Estimation was performed at SNR levels of 25, 50 and 100.

In the ball-and-sticks estimation of Step 3 of the Monte Carlo dictionary estimation, spatial regularization across voxels was enabled and sticks with directions separated by fewer than 15° were merged. Denoting by β_1 the largest weight attributed to a stick, all secondary sticks were then removed if their weight β verified either $\beta < \beta_1/2.5$ and $\beta < 0.20$ or just $\beta < 0.10$. The Monte Carlo dictionary estimation of Step 5 set $w_{\text{csf}} = 0$ when two fascicles were detected in Step 3.

2.2.4. In vivo experiment

In vivo DW-MRI. One healthy subject was randomly selected from the MGH Adult Diffusion data release⁵ (Setsompop et al., 2013).

Model fitting and statistical analysis. Voxels containing white matter were identified based on the segmentation obtained with the FAST algorithm

⁵<https://www.humanconnectome.org/study/hcp-young-adult/document/mgh-adult-diffusion-data-acquisition-details>

(Zhang et al., 2001) from the FMRIB Software Library (FSL)⁶. Monte Carlo dictionary estimation was performed independently in each voxel as described in the synthetic experiments.

Our experiment focused on extracting the distribution of apparent axonal radius index r and density index f of axons passing through the anterior, mid-anterior, central, mid-posterior and posterior sub-regions of the corpus callosum (CC) as identified by the subcortical segmentation tool of the FreeSurfer software (Fischl et al., 2002). As these axons cross other macroscopic tracts such as the corticospinal tract (CST) or the longitudinal fasciculus (LF), each fascicle or peak at the local voxel level had to be assigned to one or more of these macroscopic tracts. In order to do so, probabilistic tractography was first performed with 5 seeds per voxel and streamline segments constrained to follow the orientation of a detected peak in each voxel, using routines from DIPY. The streamlines were clustered into tracts using the white matter query language (Wassermann et al., 2016) and a local voxel fascicle was considered to belong to a macroscopic tract if at least 5% of all streamlines going through the local peak had been assigned to that tract.

3. Results

3.1. Validation on single fascicles

3.1.1. Experiment 1.A. Single-fascicle groundtruth, effect of fixed diffusivity

The mean absolute error (MAE) in the estimation of the radius and density index for our approach and for MMWMD are depicted in Fig. 1. When the correct diffusivity D was assumed (green curves), the MAE over all repetitions and over all configurations with our approach converged to zero with increasing SNR. Underestimating (resp. overestimating) D led to a systematic underestimation (resp. overestimation) of r and f as indicated by the blue (resp. yellow) estimate bars at SNR level 25. On the other hand, systematic errors persisted for MMWMD estimates at large SNR values even when the correct value for D was assumed in the model.

⁶<https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FSL>

3.1.2. Experiment 1.B. CSF partial volumes, effect of fixing T2 values

Figure 2 suggests that our approach was able to provide accurate microstructural estimates in the presence of CSF. Errors on r and f exhibited a moderate upward trend as the fraction of CSF increased, likely due to a reduced relative signal (and hence a reduction in apparent SNR) arising from the fascicle of axons. The estimation errors for MMWMD were systematically larger than in Exp. 1.A. The MAE on ν_{csf} hovered around 0.05 across SNR levels even in the T2 $\checkmark$ scenario and when there was no CSF in the groundtruth. As shown in Figure 3, the MMWMD estimates exhibited a larger variability than those of our Monte Carlo dictionary approach.

Notably, the estimates of r and f using the incorrect T2 values of the T2 $\times_1$ scenario (cross markers in Fig. 2) were identical to those obtained with the groundtruth T2 values (circle markers in Fig. 2) for both models. The error in T2 was simply corrected by scaling the fascicle and CSF signals by an adjusted weight w , which then led to a misestimated volume fraction ν_{csf} . This was no longer the case in the T2 $\times_2$ scenario because the model and the groundtruth signals no longer differed by just a scaling constant.

3.1.3. In vivo experiment

Histological slices of the rats which underwent surgery revealed lighter SMI312 staining on the ipsilateral side, indicating axonal loss induced by Wallerian degeneration (Fig. 4(a)).

As depicted in Fig. 4(b-c), our Monte Carlo dictionary approach exhibited an important decrease in axonal density index f (-0.15 , $p = 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$) and statistically non-significant changes in r and ν_{csf} . In contrast, MMWMD detected no significant change in f and a large increase in r ($+1.9 \mu\text{m}$, $p = 2.1 \times 10^{-2}$) while yielding no statistically-significant changes in ν_{csf} and ν_{dot} . NODDI found a non-significant increase in f_{icvf} , an increase in dispersion ($+0.09$, $p < 2.9 \times 10^{-22}$) and no significant change in ν_{iso} . DIAMOND obtained a non-significant change in cHEI, an increase in cRD ($+0.12 \mu\text{m}^2 \text{ms}^{-1}$, $p = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$), a decrease in cAD ($-0.40 \mu\text{m}^2 \text{ms}^{-1}$, $p = 4.2 \times 10^{-4}$) and a slight increase in ν_{iso} ($+0.07$, $p = 3.0 \times 10^{-2}$). WMTI saw a slight decrease in AWF (-0.04 , $p = 4.8 \times 10^{-3}$), no statistically-significant change in RD $_{\text{in}}$, an increase in RD $_{\text{ex}}$ ($+0.19 \mu\text{m}^2 \text{ms}^{-1}$, $p = 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$) and a decrease in AD $_{\text{ex}}$ ($-0.57 \mu\text{m}^2 \text{ms}^{-1}$, $p = 4.4 \times 10^{-12}$). MAPL identified a decrease in RTAP ($-5.4 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^{-2}$, $p = 1.6 \times 10^{-2}$), an increase in RTPP

619 $(+1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mu\text{m}^{-1}, p = 1.2 \times 10^{-8})$ and no statistically-significant changes
620 in RTOP and MSD.




Figure 1: (Exp. 1.A) **Consistent microstructural estimates in single fascicles with Monte Carlo (MC) dictionary estimation.** The left column displays the mean absolute error on the radius index r (top) and density index f (bottom) as a function of signal-to-noise ratios (SNR). The right column provides a snapshot of estimates at SNR=25, with markers indicating the median over all noise repetitions and groundtruth configurations and bars ranging from the 25th to the 75th percentile of all estimates. Asymptotic errors remained for the minimal model of white matter diffusivity (MMWMD) even though it used the true diffusivity D . 24




Figure 2: (Exp. 1.B) **Consistent microstructural estimates from Monte Carlo dictionary in the presence of CSF contamination.** Mean absolute errors on the radius index r (top), density index f (middle) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) volume fraction ν_{csf} (bottom) as a function of signal-to-noise ratio for various levels of CSF contamination. The MMWMD approach yielded generally larger errors. Assuming incorrect $T2$ values in the model did not affect the estimation of r and f in the the first two scenarios described in Table 1.




Figure 3: (Exp. 1.B) **Consistent microstructural estimates from Monte Carlo dictionary in the presence of CSF contamination.** Snapshot of the estimates of r , f and ν_{csf} at SNR=25 (see Fig. 2), with markers indicating the median over all noise repetitions and groundtruth configurations and bars ranging from the 25th to the 75th percentile of all estimates. The estimates of the $T2_{x2}$ scenario were left out for clarity. MMWMD had larger variability and more biased estimates.




Figure 4: **Monte Carlo dictionary approach yields physically-interpretable parameters consistent with histology** (a) Histology of a slice in the spinal cord of a rat which underwent surgery, stained with SMI312 immunohistochemistry. Darker colors indicate more neurofilaments present inside axons. (b) Representative map of axonal density index f obtained with our Monte Carlo dictionary approach, laid atop a fractional anisotropy (FA) map. (c) Effect of Wallerian degeneration (WD) on selected parameters from our approach and from popular closed-form models of the microstructure. Vertical bars indicate the 95% confidence interval on β_{WD} . Non-significant (ns) corresponds to $p > 0.05$; * to $p \leq 0.05$; ** to $p \leq 0.01$; *** to $p \leq 0.001$; **** to $p \leq 0.0001$.

621 3.2. Validation on crossing fascicles

622 3.2.1. Experiment 2.A. Independent voxels, effect of orientation misestimation and crossing angle

624 As suggested by Fig. 5, the crossing angle had a marginal impact on the
 625 estimation as all the curves are very close to one another. Figure 6 indicates
 626 that the MAE over all noise repetitions and groundtruth configurations converged
 627 to zero as the SNR increased when the orientation of each fascicle
 628 was perfectly estimated in Step 3 of our estimation procedure (blue curves).
 629 Misestimation of the orientation of fascicles introduced systematic errors in
 630 the microstructural estimation (red and yellow curves). The estimates were
 631 generally slightly better for the dominant groundtruth fascicle: at a crossing
 632 angle of 60° with $\nu_1 = 0.3$, the MAE on r_1 exceeded the MAE on r_2 in 25
 633 out of 30 cases with a mean signed difference of $0.23 \mu\text{m}$; the MAE on f_1
 634 exceeded the MAE on f_2 in all 30 cases, with a mean difference of 0.060 .

636 3.2.2. Experiment 2.B. Synthetic 2D phantom, effect of dissimilar fascicles

637 Figure 7 suggests that the errors on the estimated microstructural prop-
 638 erties converged to zero as the SNR increased. At SNR=25, the average
 639 absolute error made on the radius index r expressed as a percentage of the
 640 groundtruth value was 33.0% in single fascicles, close to its value of 37.4% in
 641 voxels of crossing fascicles (Fig. 7(b)). The difference was more pronounced
 642 for the density index f with an average 4.94% error in single-fascicle voxels
 643 and 29.7% in crossing fascicles. As shown in Fig. 7(c), the fastest conver-
 644 gence with SNR in r occurred for Tract 3, which had the largest groundtruth
 645 radius index. Convergence for f was slightly faster in Tracts 1 and 2, which
 646 were less exposed to fascicle crossings and CSF contamination. The mean
 647 absolute angular error on the fascicles' orientations by the ball-and-sticks
 648 routine at SNR=25 was as low as 0.43° in single-fascicle voxels and 0.85°
 649 in crossing-fascicle voxels, suggesting that the impact of Step 3 on the final
 650 errors was minimal.

651 3.2.3. In vivo experiment

652 The top row in Fig. 8 suggests that the estimates of both the apparent
 653 axonal radius index r and density index f were spatially smooth. The distri-
 654 butions over all voxel-level fascicles (histograms in Fig. 8) were smoother for
 655 r than f . As reported in Table 2, both parameters exhibited a low-high-low
 656 trend, with lower mean values in axons passing through the anterior and




Figure 5: (Exp. 2.A) **The groundtruth crossing angle has limited impact on the estimation of fascicles' microstructural properties.** Mean absolute error on each fascicle's radius index r_1 and r_2 , density index f_1 and f_2 and on the physical volume fraction occupied by the first fascicle ν_1 . The groundtruth volume fraction of the second fascicle decreases from left to right. The fascicles' orientations were perfectly estimated.




Figure 6: (Exp. 2.A) **Errors in the initial estimation of fascicles' orientations yield asymptotically-biased estimates.** Mean absolute error on each fascicle's radius index r_1 and r_2 , density index f_1 and f_2 and on the physical volume fraction occupied by the first fascicle ν_1 . The groundtruth volume fraction of the second fascicle decreases from left to right and the groundtruth crossing angle was fixed to 60° .




Figure 7: (Exp. 2.B) **Tract-specific microstructural estimated enabled by Monte Carlo dictionary estimation.** (a) Color-coded direction of all three axonal tracts with the gray-scale background indicating the level of CSF contamination in each voxel. (b) Signed error made on the estimated radius index r and density index f in regions of single (left) and crossing (right) fascicles. (c) Signed errors for the local voxel fascicles of each tract independently. In (b)-(c), the whiskers of the boxplots extend from the minimum to the maximum value of the data.

657 posterior parts of the CC compared to axons of the mid-anterior, central and
 658 mid-posterior CC. The standard deviations for the two parameters were very
 659 similar across the five considered sub-regions.

660

Table 2: **Low-high-low trend in apparent radius and density index in CC streamlines.** Mean and standard deviation over all local fascicles or peaks assigned to streamlines passing through five sub-regions of the corpus callosum (CC).

	apparent radius index	density index
anterior CC	4.06 ± 0.87	0.599 ± 0.12
mid-anterior CC	4.27 ± 0.73	0.636 ± 0.12
central CC	4.19 ± 0.90	0.627 ± 0.12
mid-posterior CC	4.22 ± 0.93	0.645 ± 0.13
posterior CC	3.87 ± 0.99	0.581 ± 0.14




Figure 8: **Spatially-smooth estimates of apparent radius and density indices obtained with Monte Carlo dictionary estimation.** (a) Left and inferior view of all the axons passing through the corpus callosum (CC), color-coded by the apparent axonal radius index r . (b) Histograms of estimated apparent radius index in the five axonal tracts studied in the experiment, respectively the anterior, mid-anterior, central, mid-posterior and posterior CC tracts. The mid-sagittal maps of color-coded axons are laid atop a referential T1 image warped into the DW-MRI space. (c)-(d) Same as (a) and (b) for the axonal density index f .

4. Discussion

Advantages of Monte Carlo modeling

The main strength of Monte Carlo simulations is their ability to provide exact signals for any fixed tissue geometry. This advantage was made apparent throughout the comparison with MMWMD, which captures the same level of tissue complexity as the particular single-fascicle model selected in this study but differs in the way the signal is formulated. MMWMD for instance failed to consistently estimate r and f in Exp. 1.A, which can only be attributed to the formulation of the extra-axonal signal. In Exp. 1.B, a non-zero CSF weight for the full 4-compartment MMWMD persisted although no isotropic compartment was included in the groundtruth, suggesting that some of the extra-axonal signal may be captured by the isotropic compartment. MMWMD relies on a zeppelin-like diffusion tensor with perpendicular diffusivity proportional to the parallel diffusivity and the extra-axonal volume fraction through a tortuosity model. Monte Carlo simulations on the other hand naturally incorporate physical compatibility between the intra- and extra-axonal compartment.

Our *in vivo* rat model of spinal cord injury demonstrated that the parameters provided by Monte Carlo simulations are generally more specific and interpretable than those of closed-form continuous models (Figure 4). Wallerian degeneration is a process with dramatic consequences on the fascicles of the spinal cord which after several weeks is mainly characterized by severe axonal loss (Waller, 1850; George and Griffin, 1994). This was correctly captured by our Monte Carlo dictionary approach but not by MMWMD, which detected an increase in radius index. NODDI attributed all the signal differences to an increase in dispersion and not to a decrease in neurite density. This unexpected result is likely caused by the use of fixed parameters in NODDI which impairs our ability to interpret the remaining free parameters (Scherrer et al., 2016; Jelescu et al., 2016; Hutchinson et al., 2017). The increase in fascicle-specific RD and decrease in fascicle-specific AD detected by DIAMOND were in agreement with a large body of studies correlating diffusion tensor imaging with Wallerian degeneration (Song et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2013). However no parameter in DIAMOND directly relates to axonal density. The WMTI model predicted a decrease in axonal density similar (although of smaller magnitude) to our Monte Carlo dictionary method as well as changes in

extra-axonal RD and AD similar to DIAMOND. Taking the intra-axonal RD as a proxy for axonal radius then the non-significant change agrees with our own findings for r . The good agreement between our approach and WMTI will be investigated in the future. In MAPL, a decrease in RTAP has been shown to represent an increase in mean apparent axonal radius (Fick et al., 2016), similar to what MMWMD detected. Alternatively, considering that Wallerian degeneration causes the number of diffusion barriers to decrease in the extra-axonal space, RTAP could be interpreted as inversely proportional to the extra-axonal RD, which would be in agreement with DIAMOND and WMTI. Similarly, if diffusion is assumed unhindered along the axons, the increase in RTPP can be interpreted as a decrease in AD.

This highlights that signal models such as DIAMOND and MAPL do capture group differences; however these must be carefully interpreted a posteriori. Our approach outperforms geometric models such as MMWMD and NODDI by ascribing the signal difference to the correct variation in microstructural features.

Whole-brain estimation

The whole-brain HCP experiment was intended to showcase the ability of our method to extract microstructural properties *in vivo* in each voxel locally in areas of crossing fascicles, which is still an open issue in the field. The MIX optimization technique (Farooq et al., 2016) provides a faster and more stable algorithm to fit multi-fascicle extensions of analytical compartment-based models but these models still intrinsically rely on approximate analytical formulas. The multi-fascicle extension of Amico (Daducci et al., 2015) to Amico-X (Auría et al., 2015) uses a simple diffusion tensor for the extra-cellular signal and does not impose the geometrical compatibility between the intra- and extra-axonal signal that is naturally enforced in Monte Carlo simulations. DIAMOND was also formulated as a multi-fascicle model from the onset but its fascicle-specific parameters are tensor-related quantities and are therefore surrogate measurements of tissue properties.

The low-high-low trend in apparent axonal radius r observed in the human CC (Aboitiz et al., 1992) was found to extend to all callosal axons in our experiment. Remarkably, this pattern was obtained by independent estimations of our model at each voxel and was therefore not a consequence of

spatial regularization. In Girard et al. (2017), a similar trend for r was observed on most of the 34 HCP subjects, albeit on DW-MRI data upsampled for tractography analysis. In the same work, the streamline-specific estimates of apparent fiber density computed over all 34 subjects were found to be lowest in the anterior CC, intermediate in the mid-anterior and posterior CC and largest in the central and mid-posterior CC. This roughly coincides with the estimates of f obtained in our particular subject. It should be noted that the values of radius index reported in the experiment ($\approx 4 \mu\text{m}$) are considerably larger than actual measurements of axonal radius in the human brain ($\approx 0.5 - 1 \mu\text{m}$), as discussed in the next paragraph.

Limitations

In our framework, the tissue geometry selected for the Monte Carlo simulations at the single-fascicle level determines the complexity of the final model. To compare our approach with models of similar tissue complexity such as MMWMD, the simple hexagonal packing geometry was selected in this work. In particular, the use of the single scalar parameter r to characterize the whole intra-axonal signal is known to considerably overestimate actual axonal radii (Alexander et al., 2010; Dyrby et al., 2013) and as such should only be considered as an *index* of apparent axonal radius.

In order to fully exploit the potential of Monte Carlo simulations, future tissue geometries will need to be more realistic and include randomly-located axons with a distribution of radii (Hall et al., 2017), a myelin sheath around axons (Harkins and Does, 2016), axonal undulation (Nilsson et al., 2012), cells with complex morphology such as glia and neurons (Palombo et al., 2016, 2017) or tissue geometries directly obtained from histological slices (Xu et al., 2014). Incorporating axonal orientation dispersion in synthetic substrates for Monte Carlo simulations requires the careful configuration and location of all axons in order to avoid unrealistic intersections. Axonal oscillations with large periodicity may help achieve apparent orientation dispersion (Nilsson et al., 2012).

It is worth recalling that the only simplifying hypothesis made in our framework is that no water exchange occurs *between* fascicles during the acquisition, which allowed us to write the fundamental equation (1) as a simple superposition (Rensonnet et al., 2018). This however does not prevent the

incorporation of membrane permeability and water exchange *within* a fascicle.

In theory, the sparsity constraints used in Eq. (14) do not allow mixtures of fingerprints to reconstruct the signal arising from a single fascicle of axons. This could be a limitation for fascicles consisting of several well-delimited sub-regions exhibiting distinct microstructural properties (e.g., one half with a high and one half with a low axonal density). As discussed in Appendix B, with the DW-MRI protocols used in this study, the signals of non-uniform voxels were very similar to the fingerprints of uniform voxels with a density index f precisely corresponding to the average packing density of the non-uniform configuration. If non-uniform configurations were not distinguishable from uniform, “average” configurations, a possible workaround would be to add fingerprints arising from non-uniform configurations to the single-fascicle dictionary (Steps 1 and 2 in Section 1.4).

The traditional PGSE sequence used in our experiments has been shown to have limited sensitivity to microstructural features such as the axonal radius (Dyrby et al., 2013). Improved sensitivity may be obtained using other diffusion-encoding sequences such as OGSE (Drobnjak et al., 2016; Mercedi and Martin, 2018), STEAM-DTI with varying diffusion times (Fieremans et al., 2016) or b-tensor encoding (Topgaard, 2017). One major advantage of the presented framework is precisely its ability to promptly integrate such extensions, which will be considered in future work.

Fixed parameters and external routines

A number of parameters such as the intrinsic diffusivity and the T2 values of the intra-axonal, extra-axonal and CSF compartments were fixed a priori based on literature values rather than estimated from the data in order to simplify the estimation. Our synthetic experiments have shown however that the model is quite resilient to slightly misestimated parameter values, in particular for T2 relaxation which in some situations can be exactly compensated by the free weights w in Eq. (14). As seen in Exp. 1.B, care must be taken in case multiple T2 values should be present within a single fascicle, as has recently been suggested (Veraart et al., 2017).

It was shown in Experiment 2.A (Figure 6) that errors in the orientation of fascicles (estimated with an external routine) could bias the microstructural

estimates. To overcome this issue, orientation-estimation methods based on rotationally-invariant dictionary learning (Reisert et al., 2014; Christiaens et al., 2017) could use the estimated fingerprints as their fiber orientation response and retroactively refine the estimated orientations, eventually leading to more accurate microstructural estimates.

Efficiency

The pre-computing stage described as Step 2 of our estimation procedure in Section 1.4 may come with a high computational cost. The two canonical single-fascicle dictionaries used in this study required about 35 days worth of computation time on a standard laptop i5 core. In practice this was reduced to about 1-2 days using computing clusters at Université catholique de Louvain depending on cluster load and availability. Algorithmic improvements such as proposed by Hall et al. (2017), optimized implementation and mathematical properties such as presented in Section 1.3 should further help assuage the burden of massive Monte Carlo simulations in the future.

Runtime efficiency is not an issue in single-fascicle voxels, where Eq. (15) takes less than a second to solve for dictionary sizes N such as used in our experiments. For voxels containing $K > 1$ fascicles, exactly solving N^K convex problems leads to longer computation times and is likely to become a more important issue with larger dictionaries (resulting from a finer resolution in microstructural parameters for instance). Different techniques can be used to reduce the size of the problem, such as a multi-scale optimization approach starting with a coarse-grained dictionary or initial dictionary pruning using sparsity-enforcing methods (Canales-Rodríguez et al., 2015, 2018).

Conclusion

A framework was proposed for the estimation of microstructural features incorporating Monte Carlo simulations known for their accurate modeling of the DW-MRI signal. The inverse estimation problem was formulated as a sparse optimization problem on a large pre-computed dictionary and decomposed into many independent convex and easy-to-solve sub-problems. Owing to their unique correspondence with a microstructural configuration, the selected diffusion fingerprints provided the microstructural parameters for each fascicle of axons in each voxel.

In single-fascicle voxels, our approach demonstrated more accurate, consistent and interpretable results than popular closed-form microstructural models of the literature in many simulation settings as well as in the analysis of an *in vivo* dataset of rat spinal cord. When extended to crossing fascicles, our framework achieved consistent estimates of apparent axonal radius and density indices in synthetic experiments and on whole-brain HCP data. Future work will focus on using a more realistic model at the single-fascicle level and generalizing the microstructural trends found in one HCP subject to larger cohorts.

This work paves the way for microstructure fingerprinting in which Monte Carlo simulations are used as the building blocks of a model of the diffusion signal which directly relate to the underlying microstructure. Our framework offers new opportunities for whole-brain quantitative and interpretable microstructure imaging. Such a capability may prove critical for studies exploring the pathogenesis of neurological and psychiatric disorders as well as in the assessment of responses to treatments.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Damien Jacobs for providing the *in vivo* data set of the rat model of Wallerian degeneration in the spinal cord, including DW-MRI acquisitions and histological analyses.

Gaëtan Rensonnet is a research fellow of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique de Belgique (F.R.S.-FNRS). Benoît Scherrer is supported by the 2016 Translational Innovator Award of the Translational Research Program (TRP) at Boston Children's Hospital. Gabriel Girard is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation [grant agreement 665667] (call 2015) and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). Maxime Taquet is funded by the Foulkes Foundation and a Foundation Fellowship of the Royal College of Psychiatrists.

This work was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers R01 NS079788, U01 NS082320, R01 EB019483, EB018988].

878 Computational resources have been provided by the Consortium des Équipements
879 de Calcul Intensif (CÉCI), funded by the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique
880 de Belgique (F.R.S.-FNRS) [grant number 2.5020.11].
881

882 **Appendix A. Choice of the reference diffusivity for data augmen-** 883 **tation**

884 This appendix discusses the relationship between the number N of refer-
885 ence Monte Carlo simulations required to simulate configurations with dif-
886 ferent diffusivities and the fixed diffusivity $D_{\text{sim}} \in [D_{\text{min}}, D_{\text{max}}]$ used during
887 those simulations, as described in Section 1.3.2. Specifically, we show that
888 N is independent of D_{sim} and only depends on the desired resolution δ_L in
889 the length scale parameter L , assuming a uniform sampling of L .

890 Let δ_L^{sim} be the step size separating consecutive values of L for which ref-
891 erence simulations using a diffusivity D_{sim} were performed. Given $D \neq D_{\text{sim}}$,
892 using Eq. (12) yields a sampling $\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{D}{D_{\text{sim}}}} L_{\text{sim},i} \right\}_{i=1}^N$ of the parameter L . The
893 corresponding step size therefore becomes $\delta_L(D) = \sqrt{\frac{D}{D_{\text{sim}}}} \delta_L^{\text{sim}}$, which admits
894 the upper bound $\delta_L(D) \leq \sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{max}}}{D_{\text{sim}}}} \delta_L^{\text{sim}}$ for all $D \in [D_{\text{min}}, D_{\text{max}}]$.
895

896 Let $\bar{\delta}_L$ denote the largest acceptable step size in L for all values of D in
897 $[D_{\text{min}}, D_{\text{max}}]$ (or equivalently, the coarsest acceptable granularity of a dictio-
898 nary). To ensure that $\delta_L(D) \leq \bar{\delta}_L \quad \forall D$, it is necessary to guarantee that
899

$$\sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{max}}}{D_{\text{sim}}}} \delta_L^{\text{sim}} \leq \bar{\delta}_L \Leftrightarrow \delta_L^{\text{sim}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{sim}}}{D_{\text{max}}}} \bar{\delta}_L. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

900 Recalling that the sampling of L should cover the interval $\left[\sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{sim}}}{D_{\text{max}}}} L_{\text{min}}, \sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{sim}}}{D_{\text{min}}}} L_{\text{max}} \right]$
901 during the reference simulations using D_{sim} , the lower bound on the total
902 number of simulations N becomes
903

$$\begin{aligned} N - 1 &= \frac{\sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{sim}}}{D_{\text{min}}}} L_{\text{max}} - \sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{sim}}}{D_{\text{max}}}} L_{\text{min}}}{\delta_L^{\text{sim}}} \\ &\geq \frac{\sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{sim}}}{D_{\text{min}}}} L_{\text{max}} - \sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{sim}}}{D_{\text{max}}}} L_{\text{min}}}{\sqrt{\frac{D_{\text{sim}}}{D_{\text{max}}}} \bar{\delta}_L} = \frac{L_{\text{max}}/\sqrt{D_{\text{min}}} - L_{\text{min}}/\sqrt{D_{\text{max}}}}{\bar{\delta}_L/\sqrt{D_{\text{max}}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where inequality (A.1) was used. Equation (A.2) shows that the minimum number of simulations does not depend on D_{sim} and is entirely determined by the biophysical constraints of the problem.

Appendix B. Effect of non-uniform voxels on the fitting

The sparsity constraints in Eq. (14) assume that a single fingerprint can explain the signal of a fascicle of axons. With the hexagonal-packing model selected at the single-fascicle level for our experiments, all the fingerprints of our dictionary arise from voxels containing uniform arrangements of axons. In practice however, a voxel may contain sub-regions exhibiting different microstructural properties. The experiment described below examined how the fitted parameters behaved when the groundtruth voxels consisted of axons with spatially heterogeneous packing.

Experiment. In this experiment, groundtruth voxels were split into two parts with different axonal packing density f . The DW-MRI signal S_{mixed} for such a non-uniform configuration was obtained as

$$S_{\text{mixed}}(\delta f_{\text{low}}, \delta f_{\text{high}}) = \nu_{\text{low}} S_{\text{unif}}(r^*, f^* - \delta f_{\text{low}}) + \nu_{\text{high}} S_{\text{unif}}(r^*, f^* + \delta f_{\text{high}}),$$

where S_{unif} is the fingerprint of a uniform configuration and where $\nu_{\text{low}} = \delta f_{\text{high}} / (\delta f_{\text{low}} + \delta f_{\text{high}})$ and $\nu_{\text{high}} = \delta f_{\text{low}} / (\delta f_{\text{low}} + \delta f_{\text{high}})$ ensure that the average packing density of the non-uniform voxel is f^* .

First, non-uniform groundtruth voxels with symmetric halves were considered by setting $\delta f_{\text{low}} = \delta f_{\text{high}} := \delta f$ (leading to $\nu_{\text{low}} = \nu_{\text{high}} = 0.5$) and letting δf vary from 0.03 to 0.18 in 6 equal increments. Second, asymmetric halves were studied by setting $\delta f_{\text{high}} = 0.06$ and letting δf_{low} vary from 0.03 to 0.18 in 6 equal increments. In both cases, the fitting procedure of Section 1.4 was performed setting $w_{\text{csf}} = 0$ for 3 values of reference radius index $r^* = \{0.8, 1.6, 3.0\} \mu\text{m}$ and 5 values of average density index f^* equally spaced between 0.36 and 0.72, provided that $f^* - \delta f_{\text{low}} > 0.20$ and $f^* + \delta f_{\text{high}} < 0.90$.

Results. The results are displayed in Figure B.9. In all 168 cases with the rodent protocol, the fitted axonal density index $\hat{f}$ precisely matched the average

933 density index f^* of the non-uniform voxels. This implied that $|\hat{f} - f^*| \leq 0.03$
 934 given the granularity in f of the single-fascicle dictionary used for the esti-
 935 mation (see Section 2.1.2). With the HCP protocol, the bound reached
 936 $|\hat{f} - f^*| \leq 0.06$ in only 4 out of 168 cases, systematically for the largest
 937 density spread δf . Root-mean-square differences between the normalized
 938 groundtruth DW-MRI signals and their best fits were of the order of 1×10^{-4} .
 939
 940 Even though our Monte Carlo fingerprints arise solely from voxels with a
 941 uniform packing density, our estimation procedure systematically explained
 942 the signal by selecting a packing density corresponding to precisely the av-
 943 erage density of the non-uniform voxel.




Figure B.9: Fingerprints assuming uniform microstructural configurations capture the average axonal packing density of non-uniform configurations. Fitted axonal density index $\hat{f}$ for groundtruth voxels containing two sub-regions of different axonal packing density, in a symmetric or asymmetric configuration, using the rodent protocol (Section 2.1.1) or the HCP protocol (Section 2.2.1). The missing data points correspond to scenarios in which one of the local density indices fell outside the range $]0.20, 0.90[$.

References

- Aboitiz, F., Scheibel, A. B., Fisher, R. S., Zaidel, E., 1992. Fiber composition of the human corpus callosum. *Brain research* 598 (1-2), 143–153.
- Alexander, D. C., Hubbard, P. L., Hall, M. G., Moore, E. A., Ptito, M., Parker, G. J., Dyrby, T. B., 2010. Orientationally invariant indices of axon diameter and density from diffusion MRI. *Neuroimage* 52 (4), 1374–1389.
- Auría, A., Romascano, D., Canales-Rodriguen, E., Wiaux, Y., Dyrby, T., Alexander, D., Thiran, J.-P., Daducci, A., 2015. Accelerated microstructure imaging via convex optimisation for regions with multiple fibres (AM-ICOx). In: *Image Processing (ICIP), 2015 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, pp. 1673–1676.
- Avram, A. V., Sarlls, J. E., Barnett, A. S., Özarslan, E., Thomas, C., Irfanoglu, M. O., Hutchinson, E., Pierpaoli, C., Basser, P. J., 2016. Clinical feasibility of using mean apparent propagator (MAP) MRI to characterize brain tissue microstructure. *NeuroImage* 127, 422–434.
- Balls, G. T., Frank, L. R., 2009. A simulation environment for diffusion weighted MR experiments in complex media. *Magnetic resonance in medicine* 62 (3), 771–778.
- Basser, P. J., Mattiello, J., LeBihan, D., 1994. MR diffusion tensor spectroscopy and imaging. *Biophysical journal* 66 (1), 259–267.
- Budde, M. D., Frank, J. A., 2010. Neurite beading is sufficient to decrease the apparent diffusion coefficient after ischemic stroke. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107 (32), 14472–14477.
- Burcaw, L. M., Fieremans, E., Novikov, D. S., 2015. Mesoscopic structure of neuronal tracts from time-dependent diffusion. *NeuroImage* 114, 18–37.
- Callaghan, P. T., 1995. Pulsed-gradient spin-echo NMR for planar, cylindrical, and spherical pores under conditions of wall relaxation. *Journal of magnetic resonance, Series A* 113 (1), 53–59.
- Callaghan, P. T., Manz, B., 1994. Velocity exchange spectroscopy. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance, Series A* 106 (2), 260–265.

- 974 Canales-Rodríguez, E. J., Daducci, A., Sotiropoulos, S. N., Caruyer, E.,
975 Aja-Fernández, S., Radua, J., Mendizabal, J. M. Y., Iturria-Medina, Y.,
976 Melie-García, L., Alemán-Gómez, Y., et al., 2015. Spherical deconvolution
977 of multichannel diffusion MRI data with non-gaussian noise models and
978 spatial regularization. *PloS one* 10 (10), e0138910.
- 979 Canales-Rodríguez, E. J., Legarreta, J. H., Pizzolato, M., Rensonnet, G.,
980 Girard, G., Patiño, J. R., Barakovic, M., Romascano, D., Alemán-Gomez,
981 Y., Radua, J., et al., 2018. Sparse wars: A survey and comparative study
982 of spherical deconvolution algorithms for diffusion mri. *NeuroImage*.
- 983 Caruyer, E., Lenglet, C., Sapiro, G., Deriche, R., 2013. Design of multi-
984 shell sampling schemes with uniform coverage in diffusion MRI. *Magnetic
985 resonance in medicine* 69 (6), 1534–1540.
- 986 Christiaens, D., Sunaert, S., Suetens, P., Maes, F., 2017. Convexity-
987 constrained and nonnegativity-constrained spherical factorization in
988 diffusion-weighted imaging. *NeuroImage* 146, 507–517.
- 989 Clayden, J. D., Nagy, Z., Weiskopf, N., Alexander, D. C., Clark, C. A.,
990 2015. Microstructural parameter estimation in vivo using diffusion MRI
991 and structured prior information. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*.
- 992 Condon, B., Patterson, J., Jenkins, A., Wyper, D., Hadley, D., Grant, R.,
993 Rowan, J., Teasdale, G., 1987. MR relaxation times of cerebrospinal fluid.
994 *Journal of computer assisted tomography* 11 (2), 203–207.
- 995 Cook, P., Bai, Y., Nedjati-Gilani, S., Seunarine, K., Hall, M., Parker, G.,
996 Alexander, D., 2006. Camino: open-source diffusion-MRI reconstruction
997 and processing. In: 14th scientific meeting of the international society for
998 magnetic resonance in medicine. Vol. 2759. Seattle WA, USA.
- 999 Cory, D., Garroway, A., Miller, J., 1990. Applications of spin transport as a
1000 probe of local geometry. In: Abstracts of papers of the American Chemical
1001 Society. Vol. 199. American Chemical Society, pp. 105–poly.
- 1002 Crémillieux, Y., Ding, S., Dunn, J. F., 1998. High-resolution in vivo measure-
1003 ments of transverse relaxation times in rats at 7 Tesla. *Magnetic resonance
1004 in medicine* 39 (2), 285–290.

- 1005 Daducci, A., Canales-Rodríguez, E. J., Zhang, H., Dyrby, T. B., Alexander,
1006 D. C., Thiran, J.-P., 2015. Accelerated microstructure imaging via convex
1007 optimization (AMICO) from diffusion MRI data. *NeuroImage* 105, 32–44.
- 1008 de Graaf, R. A., Brown, P. B., McIntyre, S., Nixon, T. W., Behar, K. L.,
1009 Rothman, D. L., 2006. High magnetic field water and metabolite proton
1010 T1 and T2 relaxation in rat brain in vivo. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*
1011 56 (2), 386–394.
- 1012 Dhital, B., Reisert, M., Kellner, E., Kiselev, V. G., 2017. Intra-axonal diffu-
1013 sivity in brain white matter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.04565*.
- 1014 Drobnjak, I., Zhang, H., Hall, M. G., Alexander, D. C., 2011. The matrix for-
1015 malism for generalised gradients with time-varying orientation in diffusion
1016 NMR. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance* 210 (1), 151–157.
- 1017 Drobnjak, I., Zhang, H., Ianuş, A., Kaden, E., Alexander, D. C., 2016. PGSE,
1018 OGSE, and sensitivity to axon diameter in diffusion MRI: Insight from a
1019 simulation study. *Magnetic resonance in medicine* 75 (2), 688–700.
- 1020 Dyrby, T. B., Hall, M. G., Ptito, M., Alexander, D., et al., 2013. Contrast
1021 and stability of the axon diameter index from microstructure imaging with
1022 diffusion MRI. *Magnetic resonance in medicine* 70 (3), 711–721.
- 1023 Farooq, H., Xu, J., Nam, J. W., Keefe, D. F., Yacoub, E., Georgiou, T.,
1024 Lenglet, C., 2016. Microstructure imaging of crossing (MIX) white matter
1025 fibers from diffusion MRI. *Scientific reports* 6, 38927.
- 1026 Fick, R. H., Wassermann, D., Caruyer, E., Deriche, R., 2016. MAPL: Tissue
1027 microstructure estimation using laplacian-regularized MAP-MRI and its
1028 application to HCP data. *NeuroImage* 134, 365–385.
- 1029 Fieremans, E., Burcaw, L. M., Lee, H.-H., Lemberskiy, G., Veraart, J.,
1030 Novikov, D. S., 2016. In vivo observation and biophysical interpretation of
1031 time-dependent diffusion in human white matter. *NeuroImage* 129, 414–
1032 427.
- 1033 Fieremans, E., De Deene, Y., Delputte, S., Özdemir, M. S., DAsseler, Y.,
1034 Vlassenbroeck, J., Deblaere, K., Achten, E., Lemahieu, I., 2008. Simula-
1035 tion and experimental verification of the diffusion in an anisotropic fiber
1036 phantom. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance* 190 (2), 189–199.

- 1037 Fieremans, E., Jensen, J. H., Helpert, J. A., 2011. White matter characteri-
1038 zation with diffusional kurtosis imaging. *Neuroimage* 58 (1), 177–188.
- 1039 Fieremans, E., Novikov, D. S., Jensen, J. H., Helpert, J. A., 2010. Monte
1040 Carlo study of a two-compartment exchange model of diffusion. *NMR in*
1041 *Biomedicine* 23 (7), 711–724.
- 1042 Fischl, B., Salat, D. H., Busa, E., Albert, M., Dieterich, M., Haselgrove,
1043 C., Van Der Kouwe, A., Killiany, R., Kennedy, D., Klaveness, S., et al.,
1044 2002. Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical
1045 structures in the human brain. *Neuron* 33 (3), 341–355.
- 1046 Garyfallidis, E., Brett, M., Amirbekian, B., Rokem, A., Van Der Walt, S.,
1047 Descoteaux, M., Nimmo-Smith, I., 2014. Dipy, a library for the analysis of
1048 diffusion MRI data. *Frontiers in neuroinformatics* 8, 8.
- 1049 George, R., Griffin, J. W., 1994. The proximo-distal spread of axonal degen-
1050 eration in the dorsal columns of the rat. *Journal of neurocytology* 23 (11),
1051 657–667.
- 1052 Ginsburger, K., Poupon, F., Beaujoin, J., Estournet, D., Matuschke, F.,
1053 Mangin, J.-F., Axer, M., Poupon, C., 2018. Improving the realism of white
1054 matter numerical phantoms: A step toward a better understanding of the
1055 influence of structural disorders in diffusion MRI. *Frontiers in Physics* 6,
1056 12.
- 1057 Girard, G., Daducci, A., Petit, L., Thiran, J.-p., Whittingstall, K., Deriche,
1058 R., Wassermann, D., Descoteaux, M., 2017. Atract: Toward microstruc-
1059 ture informed tractography. *Human brain mapping* 38 (11), 5485–5500.
- 1060 Grebenkov, D., 2007. Residence times and other functionals of reflected
1061 Brownian motion. *Physical Review E* 76 (4), 041139.
- 1062 Grebenkov, D. S., 2008. Laplacian eigenfunctions in NMR. I. A numerical
1063 tool. *Concepts in Magnetic Resonance Part A* 32 (4), 277–301.
- 1064 Gross, B., Kosfeld, R., 1969. Anwendung der spin-echo-methode der messung
1065 der selbstdiffusion. *Messtechnik* 77, 171–177.
- 1066 Hall, M. G., Alexander, D. C., 2009. Convergence and parameter choice for
1067 Monte-Carlo simulations of diffusion MRI. *IEEE transactions on medical*
1068 *imaging* 28 (9), 1354–1364.

- 1069 Hall, M. G., Nedjati-Gilani, G., Alexander, D. C., 2017. Realistic voxel sizes
1070 and reduced signal variation in Monte-Carlo simulation for diffusion MR
1071 data synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.03634*.
- 1072 Harkins, K. D., Does, M. D., 2016. Simulations on the influence of myelin
1073 water in diffusion-weighted imaging. *Physics in Medicine & Biology* 61 (13),
1074 4729.
- 1075 Harms, R., Fritz, F., Tobisch, A., Goebel, R., Roebroek, A., 2017. Robust
1076 and fast nonlinear optimization of diffusion MRI microstructure models.
1077 *NeuroImage* 155, 82–96.
- 1078 Hutchinson, E. B., Avram, A. V., Irfanoglu, M. O., Koay, C. G., Barnett,
1079 A. S., Komlosh, M. E., Özarslan, E., Schwerin, S. C., Juliano, S. L., Pier-
1080 paoli, C., 2017. Analysis of the effects of noise, DWI sampling, and value
1081 of assumed parameters in diffusion MRI models. *Magnetic resonance in*
1082 *medicine* 78 (5), 1767–1780.
- 1083 Ianuş, A., Drobnjak, I., Alexander, D. C., 2016. Model-based estimation of
1084 microscopic anisotropy using diffusion MRI: a simulation study. *NMR in*
1085 *Biomedicine* 29 (5), 672–685.
- 1086 Jelescu, I. O., Veraart, J., Fieremans, E., Novikov, D. S., 2016. Degeneracy in
1087 model parameter estimation for multi-compartmental diffusion in neuronal
1088 tissue. *NMR in Biomedicine* 29 (1), 33–47.
- 1089 Kakkar, L. S., Bennett, O. F., Siow, B., Richardson, S., Ianuş, A., Quick, T.,
1090 Atkinson, D., Phillips, J. B., Drobnjak, I., 2017. Low frequency oscillating
1091 gradient spin-echo sequences improve sensitivity to axon diameter: An
1092 experimental study in viable nerve tissue. *NeuroImage*.
- 1093 Kim, J. H., Loy, D. N., Liang, H.-F., Trinkaus, K., Schmidt, R. E., Song,
1094 S.-K., 2007. Noninvasive diffusion tensor imaging of evolving white matter
1095 pathology in a mouse model of acute spinal cord injury. *Magnetic Reso-*
1096 *nance in Medicine* 58 (2), 253–260.
- 1097 Lam, W. W., Jbabdi, S., Miller, K. L., 2015. A model for extra-axonal diffu-
1098 sion spectra with frequency-dependent restriction. *Magnetic resonance in*
1099 *medicine* 73 (6), 2306–2320.

- 1100 Lampinen, B., Szczepankiewicz, F., Mårtensson, J., van Westen, D., Sund-
 1101 gren, P. C., Nilsson, M., 2017. Neurite density imaging versus imaging of
 1102 microscopic anisotropy in diffusion MRI: a model comparison using spher-
 1103 ical tensor encoding. *Neuroimage* 147, 517–531.
- 1104 Lawson, C. L., Hanson, R. J., 1995. Solving least squares problems. Vol. 15.
 1105 Siam.
- 1106 Lin, M., He, H., Tong, Q., Ding, Q., Yan, X., Feiweier, T., Zhong, J.,
 1107 2018. Effect of myelin water exchange on DTI-derived parameters in diffu-
 1108 sion MRI: Elucidation of TE dependence. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*
 1109 79 (3), 1650–1660.
- 1110 Liu, M., Gross, D. W., Wheatley, B. M., Concha, L., Beaulieu, C., 2013.
 1111 The acute phase of Wallerian degeneration: longitudinal diffusion tensor
 1112 imaging of the fornix following temporal lobe surgery. *Neuroimage* 74, 128–
 1113 139.
- 1114 McCall, D. W., Douglass, D. C., Anderson, E. W., 1963. Self-diffusion studies
 1115 by means of nuclear magnetic resonance spin-echo techniques. *Berichte der*
 1116 *Bunsengesellschaft für physikalische Chemie* 67 (3), 336–340.
- 1117 McHugh, D. J., Zhou, F., Cristinacce, P. L. H., Naish, J. H., Parker, G. J.,
 1118 2015. Ground truth for diffusion MRI in cancer: a model-based investi-
 1119 gation of a novel tissue-mimetic material. In: *International Conference on*
 1120 *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*. Springer, pp. 179–190.
- 1121 Mercredi, M., Martin, M., 2018. Toward faster inference of micron-scale axon
 1122 diameters using Monte Carlo simulations. *Magnetic Resonance Materials*
 1123 *in Physics, Biology and Medicine*, 1–20.
- 1124 Merlet, S., Caruyer, E., Ghosh, A., Deriche, R., 2013. A computational diffu-
 1125 sion MRI and parametric dictionary learning framework for modeling the
 1126 diffusion signal and its features. *Medical Image Analysis* 17 (7), 830–843.
- 1127 Nedjati-Gilani, G. L., Schneider, T., Hall, M. G., Cawley, N., Hill, I., Cic-
 1128 carelli, O., Drobnjak, I., Wheeler-Kingshott, C. A. G., Alexander, D. C.,
 1129 2017. Machine learning based compartment models with permeability for
 1130 white matter microstructure imaging. *NeuroImage* 150, 119–135.

- 1131 Nilsson, M., Alerstam, E., Wirestam, R., Sta, F., Brockstedt, S., Lätt, J.,
1132 et al., 2010. Evaluating the accuracy and precision of a two-compartment
1133 k  rger model using Monte Carlo simulations. *Journal of Magnetic Reso-*
1134 *nance* 206 (1), 59–67.
- 1135 Nilsson, M., L  tt, J., Nordh, E., Wirestam, R., St  hlberg, F., Brockstedt,
1136 S., 2009. On the effects of a varied diffusion time in vivo: is the diffusion
1137 in white matter restricted? *Magnetic resonance imaging* 27 (2), 176–187.
- 1138 Nilsson, M., L  tt, J., St  hlberg, F., Westen, D., Hagsl  tt, H., 2012. The
1139 importance of axonal undulation in diffusion MR measurements: a Monte
1140 Carlo simulation study. *NMR in Biomedicine* 25 (5), 795–805.
- 1141 Ning, L.,   zarslan, E., Westin, C.-F., Rathi, Y., 2017. Precise inference and
1142 characterization of structural organization (picaso) of tissue from molecular
1143 diffusion. *NeuroImage* 146, 452–473.
- 1144 Novikov, D. S., Veraart, J., Jelescu, I. O., Fieremans, E., 2018. Rotationally-
1145 invariant mapping of scalar and orientational metrics of neuronal mi-
1146 crostructure with diffusion mri. *NeuroImage* 174, 518–538.
- 1147   zarslan, E., Koay, C. G., Shepherd, T. M., Komlosh, M. E.,   rfano  lu,
1148 M. O., Pierpaoli, C., Basser, P. J., 2013. Mean apparent propagator (MAP)
1149 MRI: a novel diffusion imaging method for mapping tissue microstructure.
1150 *NeuroImage* 78, 16–32.
- 1151 Palombo, M., Ligneul, C., Hernandez-Garzon, E., Valette, J., 2017. Can we
1152 detect the effect of spines and leaflets on the diffusion of brain intracellular
1153 metabolites? *NeuroImage*.
- 1154 Palombo, M., Ligneul, C., Najac, C., Le Douce, J., Flament, J., Escartin, C.,
1155 Hantraye, P., Brouillet, E., Bonvento, G., Valette, J., 2016. New paradigm
1156 to assess brain cell morphology by diffusion-weighted MR spectroscopy in
1157 vivo. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113 (24), 6671–
1158 6676.
- 1159 Panagiotaki, E., Hall, M. G., Zhang, H., Siow, B., Lythgoe, M. F., Alexander,
1160 D. C., 2010. High-fidelity meshes from tissue samples for diffusion MRI
1161 simulations. In: *International Conference on Medical Image Computing*
1162 *and Computer-Assisted Intervention*. Springer, pp. 404–411.

- 1163 Pizzolato, M., Wassermann, D., Boutelier, T., Deriche, R., 2015. Exploiting
1164 the phase in diffusion MRI for microstructure recovery: towards axonal
1165 tortuosity via asymmetric diffusion processes. In: International Confer-
1166 ence on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention.
1167 Springer, pp. 109–116.
- 1168 Pohmann, R., Shajan, G., Balla, D., 2011. Contrast at high field: relax-
1169 ation times, magnetization transfer and phase in the rat brain at 16.4 T.
1170 *Magnetic resonance in medicine* 66 (6), 1572–1581.
- 1171 Raffelt, D., Tournier, J.-D., Rose, S., Ridgway, G. R., Henderson, R., Crozier,
1172 S., Salvado, O., Connelly, A., 2012. Apparent fibre density: a novel mea-
1173 sure for the analysis of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance images. *Neu-
1174 roimage* 59 (4), 3976–3994.
- 1175 Reisert, M., Skibbe, H., Kiselev, V. G., 2014. The diffusion dictionary in
1176 the human brain is short: Rotation invariant learning of basis functions.
1177 In: *Computational Diffusion MRI and Brain Connectivity*. Springer, pp.
1178 47–55.
- 1179 Rensonnet, G., Jacobs, D., Macq, B., Taquet, M., 2015. A hybrid method
1180 for efficient and accurate simulations of diffusion compartment imaging
1181 signals. In: *11th International Symposium on Medical Information Pro-
1182 cessing and Analysis (SIPAIM 2015)*. International Society for Optics and
1183 Photonics, pp. 968107–968107.
- 1184 Rensonnet, G., Jacobs, D., Macq, B., Taquet, M., 2016. Fast and accurate
1185 simulations of diffusion-weighted MRI signals for the evaluation of acquisi-
1186 tion sequences. In: *SPIE Medical Imaging*. International Society for Optics
1187 and Photonics, pp. 97843L–97843L.
- 1188 Rensonnet, G., Scherrer, B., Warfield, S. K., Macq, B., Taquet, M., 2018. As-
1189 sessing the validity of the approximation of diffusion-weighted-MRI signals
1190 from crossing fascicles by sums of signals from single fascicles. *Magnetic
1191 resonance in medicine* 79 (4), 2332–2345.
- 1192 Scherrer, B., Schwartzman, A., Taquet, M., Sahin, M., Prabhu, S. P.,
1193 Warfield, S. K., 2016. Characterizing brain tissue by assessment of
1194 the distribution of anisotropic microstructural environments in diffusion-
1195 compartment imaging (DIAMOND). *Magnetic resonance in medicine*
1196 76 (3), 963–977.

- 1197 Scherrer, B., Taquet, M., Schwartzman, A., St-Onge, E., Rensonnet, G.,
1198 Prabhu, S. P., Warfield, S. K., 2017. Decoupling axial and radial tissue
1199 heterogeneity in diffusion compartment imaging. In: International Confer-
1200 ence on Information Processing in Medical Imaging. Springer, pp. 440–452.
- 1201 Scherrer, B., Warfield, S. K., 2012. Parametric representation of multiple
1202 white matter fascicles from cube and sphere diffusion MRI. PLoS one
1203 7 (11), e48232.
- 1204 Sepehrband, F., Alexander, D. C., Kurniawan, N. D., Reutens, D. C., Yang,
1205 Z., 2016. Towards higher sensitivity and stability of axon diameter estima-
1206 tion with diffusion-weighted MRI. NMR in Biomedicine 29 (3), 293–308.
- 1207 Setsompop, K., Kimmlingen, R., Eberlein, E., Witzel, T., Cohen-Adad, J.,
1208 McNab, J. A., Keil, B., Tisdall, M. D., Hoecht, P., Dietz, P., et al., 2013.
1209 Pushing the limits of in vivo diffusion MRI for the Human Connectome
1210 Project. Neuroimage 80, 220–233.
- 1211 Smith, S. A., Edden, R. A., Farrell, J. A., Barker, P. B., Van Zijl, P., 2008.
1212 Measurement of T1 and T2 in the cervical spinal cord at 3 tesla. Magnetic
1213 resonance in medicine 60 (1), 213–219.
- 1214 Song, S.-K., Sun, S.-W., Ju, W.-K., Lin, S.-J., Cross, A. H., Neufeld,
1215 A. H., 2003. Diffusion tensor imaging detects and differentiates axon and
1216 myelin degeneration in mouse optic nerve after retinal ischemia. Neuroim-
1217 age 20 (3), 1714–1722.
- 1218 Stanis, G. J., Odrobina, E. E., Pun, J., Escaravage, M., Graham, S. J.,
1219 Bronskill, M. J., Henkelman, R. M., 2005. T1, T2 relaxation and magne-
1220 tization transfer in tissue at 3T. Magnetic resonance in medicine 54 (3),
1221 507–512.
- 1222 Stejskal, E. O., Tanner, J. E., 1965. Spin diffusion measurements: spin echoes
1223 in the presence of a time-dependent field gradient. The journal of chemical
1224 physics 42 (1), 288–292.
- 1225 Sun, S.-W., Liang, H.-F., Cross, A. H., Song, S.-K., 2008. Evolving Walle-
1226 rian degeneration after transient retinal ischemia in mice characterized by
1227 diffusion tensor imaging. Neuroimage 40 (1), 1–10.

- 1228 Szafer, A., Zhong, J., Anderson, A. W., Gore, J. C., 1995. Diffusion-weighted
1229 imaging in tissues: theoretical models. *NMR in biomedicine* 8 (7), 289–296.
- 1230 Tanner, J. E., Stejskal, E. O., 1968. Restricted self-diffusion of protons in
1231 colloidal systems by the pulsed-gradient, spin-echo method. *The Journal*
1232 *of Chemical Physics* 49 (4), 1768–1777.
- 1233 Ting, Y.-L., Bendel, P., 1992. Thin-section MR imaging of rat brain at 4.7
1234 T. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 2 (4), 393–399.
- 1235 Topgaard, D., 2017. Multidimensional diffusion MRI. *Journal of Magnetic*
1236 *Resonance* 275, 98–113.
- 1237 Tuch, D. S., Reese, T. G., Wiegell, M. R., Makris, N., Belliveau, J. W.,
1238 Wedeen, V. J., 2002. High angular resolution diffusion imaging reveals in-
1239 travoxel white matter fiber heterogeneity. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*
1240 48 (4), 577–582.
- 1241 Vangelder, P., DesPres, D., Vanzijl, P., Moonen, C., 1994. Evaluation
1242 of restricted diffusion in cylinders. Phosphocreatine in rabbit leg muscle.
1243 *Journal of Magnetic Resonance, Series B* 103 (3), 255–260.
- 1244 Vellmer, S., Edelhoff, D., Suter, D., Maximov, I. I., 2017. Anisotropic diffu-
1245 sion phantoms based on microcapillaries. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*
1246 279, 1–10.
- 1247 Veraart, J., Novikov, D. S., Fieremans, E., 2017. TE dependent diffu-
1248 sion imaging (TEdDI) distinguishes between compartmental T2 relaxation
1249 times. *Neuroimage*.
- 1250 Waller, A., 1850. Experiments on the section of the glossopharyngeal and hy-
1251 poglossal nerves of the frog, and observations of the alterations produced
1252 thereby in the structure of their primitive fibres. *Philosophical Transac-*
1253 *tions of the Royal Society of London* 140, 423–429.
- 1254 Wassermann, D., Makris, N., Rathi, Y., Shenton, M., Kikinis, R., Kubicki,
1255 M., Westin, C.-F., 2016. The white matter query language: a novel ap-
1256 proach for describing human white matter anatomy. *Brain Structure and*
1257 *Function* 221 (9), 4705–4721.

- 1258 Whitaker, S., 1967. Diffusion and dispersion in porous media. *AIChE Journal*
1259 13 (3), 420–427.
- 1260 Xing, D., Papadakis, N. G., Huang, C. L.-H., Lee, V. M., Carpenter, T. A.,
1261 Hall, L. D., 1997. Optimised diffusion-weighting for measurement of ap-
1262 parent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in human brain. *Magnetic resonance*
1263 *imaging* 15 (7), 771–784.
- 1264 Xu, J., Li, H., Harkins, K. D., Jiang, X., Xie, J., Kang, H., Does, M. D., Gore,
1265 J. C., 2014. Mapping mean axon diameter and axonal volume fraction by
1266 MRI using temporal diffusion spectroscopy. *NeuroImage* 103, 10–19.
- 1267 Zhang, H., Schneider, T., Wheeler-Kingshott, C. A., Alexander, D. C., 2012.
1268 NODDI: practical in vivo neurite orientation dispersion and density imag-
1269 ing of the human brain. *Neuroimage* 61 (4), 1000–1016.
- 1270 Zhang, J., Jones, M., DeBoy, C. A., Reich, D. S., Farrell, J. A., Hoffman,
1271 P. N., Griffin, J. W., Sheikh, K. A., Miller, M. I., Mori, S., et al., 2009.
1272 Diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging of Wallerian degeneration in
1273 rat spinal cord after dorsal root axotomy. *Journal of Neuroscience* 29 (10),
1274 3160–3171.
- 1275 Zhang, Y., Brady, M., Smith, S., 2001. Segmentation of brain MR im-
1276 ages through a hidden markov random field model and the expectation-
1277 maximization algorithm. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging* 20 (1),
1278 45–57.
- 1279 Zucchelli, M., Brusini, L., Méndez, C. A., Daducci, A., Granziera, C.,
1280 Menegaz, G., 2016. What lies beneath? diffusion eap-based study of brain
1281 tissue microstructure. *Medical image analysis* 32, 145–156.