



ASO AUTHOR REFLECTIONS

ASO Author Reflections: Complete Pathological Response after Neoadjuvant Treatment for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinomas—Curative Surgery in Cured Patients?

Pietro Addeo, MD, MPH, PhD, FACS¹ , Milena Muzzolini, MD², Christophe Laurent, MD, PhD³, Bruno Heyd, MD, PhD⁴, Alain Sauvanet, MD, PhD², Jonathan Garnier, MD, PhD⁵, Marie Sophie Alfano, MD⁵, Sebastien Gaujoux, MD, PhD⁶, Charles De Ponthaud, MD⁶, Ugo Marchese, MD, PhD⁷, Doris Da Silva, MD⁷, Emmanuel Buc, MD, PhD⁸, Regis Souche, MD, PhD⁹, Jean Michel Fabre, MD, PhD⁹, Pierre-Emanuel Colombo, MD, PhD¹⁰, Lorenzo Ferre, MD¹⁰, Maxime Foguene, MD¹¹, Catherine Hubert, MD, PhD¹¹, Mehdi El Amrani, MD, PhD¹², Stephanie Truant, MD, PhD¹², Lilian Schwartz, MD, PhD¹³, Nicolas Regenet, MD¹⁴, Aurelien Dupre, MD, PhD¹⁵, Raffaele Brustia, MD, PhD¹⁶, Rim Cherif, MD¹⁶, Julie Navez, MD¹⁷, Benjamin Darnis, MD¹⁸, Olivier Facy, MD, PhD¹⁹, Robin Grellet, MD¹⁹, Guillaume Piessen, MD, PhD²⁰, Julie Veziat, MD, PhD²⁰, Rami Rhaïem, MD, PhD²¹, Reza Kianmanesh, MD, PhD²¹, Elena Fernandez-De-Sevilla, MD, PhD²², Maximiliano Gelli, MD, PhD²², Abdelkader Taïbi, MD, PhD²³, Pauline Georges, MD²⁴, Jean Yves Mabrut, MD, PhD²⁴, Mickael Lesurtel, MD, PhD², Alexandre Doussot, MD, PhD⁴, and Philippe Bachellier, MD¹

¹Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Surgery and Liver Transplantation, Pôle des Pathologies Digestives et Hépatiques, Hôpital de Haute-pierre-Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France; ²Department of HBP Surgery, AP-HP, Hôpital Beaujon, University of Paris, Clichy, France; ³Department of Digestive Surgery, Centre Magellan, CHU Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France; ⁴Department of Digestive Surgical Oncology, University Hospital of Besançon, Besançon, France; ⁵Department of Oncological Surgery, Institut Paoli Calmettes, Marseille University, Marseille, France; ⁶Department of Hepato-Biliary and Pancreatic Surgery and Liver Transplantation, AP-HP, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France; ⁷Department of Digestive, Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, Cochin Hospital, AP-HP, Université de Paris, Paris, France; ⁸Department of Digestive and Hepatobiliary Surgery, Liver Transplantation, University Hospital Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France; ⁹Department of Surgery, Hopital Saint Eloi, Montpellier, France; ¹⁰Department of Surgical Oncology, Institut du Cancer de Montpellier, Montpellier, France; ¹¹Department of Abdominal Surgery and Transplantation, Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc, Brussels, Belgium; ¹²Department of Digestive Surgery and Transplantation, Lille University Hospital, Lille, France; ¹³Department of Digestive Surgery, Rouen University Hospital and Université de Rouen Normandie, Rouen, France; ¹⁴Department of Digestive Surgery, Nantes Hospital, Nantes, France; ¹⁵Department of Surgical Oncology, Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France; ¹⁶Department of Digestive and Hepato-Pancreatic-Biliary Surgery, Hôpital Henri-Mondor, AP-HP, Paris Est Créteil University, UPEC, Créteil, France; ¹⁷Department of Abdominal Surgery and Transplantation, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Bruxelles, Hopital Erasme,

This article refers to: Addeo P, Muzzolini M, Laurent C, et al. Prognosis associated with complete pathological response following neoadjuvant treatment for pancreatic adenocarcinoma in the FOFLIRINOX era: the multicenter TONO study. *Ann Surg Oncol.* (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-024-16735-2>.

© Society of Surgical Oncology 2025

First Received: 3 January 2025
Accepted: 4 January 2025
Published online: 1 February 2025

P. Addeo, MD, MPH, PhD, FACS
e-mail: pietrofrancesco.addeo@chru-strasbourg.fr

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium; ¹⁸Department of Digestive Surgery, Clinique de La Sauvegarde, Lyon, France; ¹⁹Department of Digestive and Surgical Oncology, University Hospital, Dijon, France; ²⁰Department of Digestive and Oncological Surgery, Claude Huriez University Hospital, Lille, France; ²¹Department of HBP and Digestive Oncological Surgery, Robert Debré University Hospital, University Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Reims, France; ²²Gustave Roussy Campus Center, Villejuif, France; ²³Department of Digestive Surgery, Dupuytren Limoges University Hospital, Limoges, France; ²⁴Department of General Surgery and Liver Transplantation, Croix-Rousse University Hospital, Hospices Civils de Lyon, University of Lyon I, Lyon, France

PAST

Surgery followed by adjuvant chemotherapy has represented the standard treatment for a long time for resectable and borderline pancreatic adenocarcinomas. The introduction of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (FOLFIRINOX) and chemoradiotherapy regimens has modified the therapeutic sequence for pancreatic cancer. Neoadjuvant treatment can select the best candidates for surgery by excluding those with rapidly progressive disease and/or with precarious/poor performance status (“futile surgery”).^{1,2} The benefits of this strategy have been reported by several retrospective studies and few prospective studies.^{3–6} With the increasing adoption of induction treatments, we have seen an increased rate of complete pathologic response (CPR) not reported previously.^{7,8} How this complete pathologic response affects the overall survival of patients remains to be determined.

PRESENT

In 2024, 13 years after the evidence of the survival benefits of the FOLFIRINOX regimens,⁹ two large retrospective studies including the current TONO study,^{8,10} showed that CPR is associated with considerable survival benefits exceeding 60% at 5-years. CPR, however, does not mean cure, and one third of patients recur even long after surgery. These recurrences appear more frequent and associated with lower survival in patients receiving preoperative radiochemotherapy, indicating that local control likely does not mean systemic control. Unfortunately, some of these patients did not really benefit from CPR because of postoperative mortality, which persists after pancreatic resection. Other patients received adjuvant chemotherapy with an impact on survival and recurrence that could not be accurately evaluated. Finally, the evolution of a CPR without surgery remains unknown.

FUTURE

The combination of chemotherapy and surgery treat pancreatic cancers. Since CPR is associated with proven long-term survival, cure efforts should be made to: (1) identify preoperatively predictive factors of response to FOLFIRINOX, (2) recognize biological and radiological

characteristics of responders, and (3) to develop noninvasive tests to precisely identify patients with “active” pancreatic cancers. In 2024, pancreatic surgery seems to reach its maximal development by reducing mortality, developing extended pancreatic surgery and reducing the invasiveness by minimally invasive surgery.^{11,12} In the immediate next future research could help to best select optimal candidate for surgery.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS Guillaume Piessen is a consultant and board member for BMS; consults for Nestlé, Astellas pharma, Daiichi, and Stryker; receives travel and accommodation grants from Medtronic; travel and accommodation grants from and consults, and lectures for MSD; and lectures for Elivie.

FUNDING This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sector.

DISCLOSURE The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Stoop TF, Theijse RT, Seelen LWF, et al. Preoperative chemotherapy, radiotherapy and surgical decision-making in patients with borderline resectable and locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2024;21:101–24.
2. Springfield C, Ferrone CR, Katz MHG, et al. Neoadjuvant therapy for pancreatic cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2023;20:318–37.
3. Janssen QP, Buettner S, Suker M, et al. Neoadjuvant FOLFIRINOX in patients with borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: a systematic review and patient-level meta-analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2019;111:782–94.
4. Cecchini M, Salem RR, Robert M, et al. Perioperative modified FOLFIRINOX for resectable pancreatic cancer: a nonrandomized controlled trial. *JAMA Oncol*. 2024;10:1027.
5. Goetze TO, Reichart A, Bankstahl US, et al. Adjuvant gemcitabine versus neoadjuvant/adjuvant FOLFIRINOX in resectable pancreatic cancer: the randomized multicenter phase II NEPA-FOX trial. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2024;31:4073–83.
6. Ghaneh P, Palmer D, Cicconi S, et al. Immediate surgery compared with short-course neoadjuvant gemcitabine plus capecitabine, FOLFIRINOX, or chemoradiotherapy in patients with borderline resectable pancreatic cancer (ESPAC5): a four-arm, multicentre, randomised, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2023;8:157–68.
7. Cloyd JM, Ejaz A, Shen C, et al. Pathologic complete response following neoadjuvant therapy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: defining the incidence, predictors, and outcomes. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2020;22:1569–76.

8. Stoop TF, Oba A, Wu YHA, et al. Pathological complete response in patients with resected pancreatic adenocarcinoma after preoperative chemotherapy. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2024;7:e2417625.
9. Conroy T, Desseigne F, Ychou M, et al. FOLFIRINOX versus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:1817–25.
10. Addeo P, Muzzolini M, Laurent C, et al. Prognosis associated with complete pathological response following neoadjuvant treatment for pancreatic AdenOcarciNOma in the FOFLIRINOX era: the multicenter TONO study. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-024-16735-2>.
11. Schneider M, Hackert T, Strobel O, Buchler MW. Technical advances in surgery for pancreatic cancer. *Br J Surg*. 2021;108(7):777–85. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjs/znab133>.
12. Jones LR, Zwart JWM, De Graaf N. Learning curve stratified outcomes after robotic pancreatoduodenectomy: international multicenter experience. *Surgery*. 2024;176(6):1721–9.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.