



Diversity of soluble salt concentrations on volcanic ash aggregates from a variety of eruption types and deposits

Mathieu Colombier¹ · Sebastian B. Mueller² · Ulrich Kueppers¹ · Bettina Scheu¹ · Pierre Delmelle³ · Corrado Cimarelli¹ · Shane J. Cronin⁴ · Richard J. Brown⁵ · Manuela Tost⁴ · Donald B. Dingwell¹

Received: 5 February 2019 / Accepted: 31 May 2019

© International Association of Volcanology & Chemistry of the Earth's Interior 2019

Abstract

Ash aggregation is a common phenomenon in particle-laden environments of volcanic eruption plumes and pyroclastic density currents. Many of these initially fragile aggregates gain sufficient mechanical strength to remain intact after atmospheric transport and deposition. Several processes contribute to ash aggregate stability, including electrostatic and hydrostatic bonding, ice formation, and cementation by salt precipitates. Here, we compare leachate chemistry from aggregates from a variety of eruption and sedimentation conditions, ranging from dry magmatic eruptions with immediate deposition, to eruptions through seawater. The leachate data shows that the broad window of opportunity for aggregation and aggregate break-up may be used to qualitatively constrain suspended ash concentration and its temporal evolution. We show that aggregation rate and aggregate stability largely depend on the availability of external water and salt source. In particular, high humidity and extensive salt precipitation in seawater environments, such as during the Surtseyan eruptions, promote high aggregation rates and aggregate stability, with accordingly accentuated proximal deposition and aggregate concentration in the deposits. On the other hand, low humidity and salt concentrations during dry magmatic eruptions promote less aggregation and more efficient aggregate break-up, explaining the rarity of aggregates in the deposits. These results have strong implications for the ash budget in volcanic plumes and associated models of plume dispersal and related hazards.

Keywords Ash aggregates · Leaching · Salt precipitation · Particle binding · Plume dispersal

Introduction

Ash aggregation is a common phenomenon associated with explosive volcanism. Volcanic ash particles in both eruptive plumes and pyroclastic density currents (PDCs) are believed to experience repeated contact with other particles

(Saxby et al. 2018; Costa et al. 2006; Draxler and Hess 1997). Upon approach and contact, the physical forces of electrostatic or hydrostatic bonding (Alois et al. 2017; Mueller et al. 2016; Del Bello et al. 2015; Van Eaton et al. 2012; Costa et al. 2010; James et al. 2002; Gilbert and Lane 1994) may help to overcome rebounding forces, and thereby enhance aggregate growth (Ennis et al. 1991). Only aggregates strong enough to survive atmospheric transport and deposition are preserved in the geological record. These can be used as conservative input parameter for residence time of suspended ash influencing ash dispersal (Folch et al. 2010). The adhesion forces of hydrostatic bonding (that is related to hydrogen bonding due to polarity of H₂O molecules) and electrostatic bonding are too weak for long-term aggregation ($< 10^4$ nN, Salman et al. 2006), so that other mechanisms must stabilize new aggregates during transport and deposition. One key stabilization process is the precipitation of soluble salts in inter-particle voids (Mueller et al. 2016).

Several studies (e.g., Gilbert and Lane 1994; Kueppers et al. 2016) demonstrated the presence of salts in aggregates, and their importance in stabilizing aggregates has been

Editorial responsibility: L. Pioli

✉ Mathieu Colombier
mathieu.colombier@min.uni-muenchen.de

- ¹ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany
- ² Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI, USA
- ³ Earth & Life Institute, Environmental Sciences, Université catholique de Louvain, Ottignies-Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
- ⁴ School of Environment, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
- ⁵ Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Durham, UK

demonstrated both experimentally and numerically (Mueller et al. 2017a, b). Both volcanic and environmental gases and fluids can drive salt precipitation on volcanic ash surfaces. Ayris et al. (2013, 2014) showed how the direct adsorption of volcanic gases such as SO_2 , HCl , or HF drive diffusion-driven salt precipitation. Also, salts form by condensation of acidic liquid droplets (or aerosols) on ash surfaces (Rose 1977; Hoshyaripour et al. 2014). During transport in volcanic plumes, ash particles may become partially or fully coated with aerosol droplets (Delmelle et al. 2005; Lathem et al. 2011) that are formed through the condensation of volcanogenic acid solutions of H_2SO_4 , HCl , and/or HF (Oskarsson 1980; Rose 1977). Such acidic liquid droplets condense on ash surfaces and may rapidly dissolve both glass and mineral constituents (Delmelle et al. 2007; Hoshyaripour et al. 2014). Capillary forces focus thin films of acidic liquids to particle-particle connection points within aggregates causing point-source dissolution (Mueller et al. 2017a, b). Subsequent evaporation of the liquid phase means that these contact points may be bridged between particles by nanometer- to micrometer-sized crystals of sulfate and halide salts as the condensed liquid saturates. This type of salt cementation in volcanic ash aggregates occurs within a few seconds during experimental observations (Mueller et al. 2016). We note that low Reynolds numbers were used in these experiments (8–28, see Mueller et al. 2016). These are more applicable to dilute parts of PDCs, such as co-PDC plumes, rather than energetic basal parts (cf. Mueller et al. 2016). On the other hand, these experiments also computed a range of viscous Stokes numbers (St, Ennis et al. 1991) with values ranging between 10^0 and 10^2 .

Laboratory experiments suggest a minimum salt concentration of 1800 ppm for efficient cementation of ash aggregates (Mueller et al. 2016). However, natural system salt concentrations involved in aggregate cementation are poorly constrained. This is partly because aggregates are sampled too long after primary deposition so that initial salts may be removed or re-crystallized and replaced during weathering, diagenesis, or hydrothermal processes. Furthermore, several factors influence the efficiency of aggregation in nature, including (but not limited to) (1) ash granulometry (fraction of fine ash); (2) available humidity; (3) eruption “acidity”; and (4) suspension conditions (e.g., Brown et al. 2012; Mueller et al. 2017a, b; Mueller et al. 2018).

In this study, we quantify and compare the salt concentrations in natural ash aggregates across different eruptive settings. To explore conditions with a range of humidity and variable possible sources of salts, we chose four case study volcanic ash/aggregate settings: (1) “low-salt” dry eruption and dry atmosphere; the 29 December 2013 eruption of Chaparrastique (San Miguel, El Salvador) volcano in a dry atmosphere; (2, 3) “intermediate salt” in subaerial eruptions within a humid tropical atmosphere and with involvement of

external water; the August 2006 Tungurahua eruption in Ecuador (Douillet et al. 2013; Kueppers et al. 2016) and a 2010 dome collapse event at Soufrière Hills, Montserrat (Stinton et al. 2014; Burns et al. 2017); and (4) “high salt” shallow submarine (Surtseyan) 2015 eruption of the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha’apai volcano (Colombier et al. 2019). We use the comparison of these settings to explore the variety of possible aggregation processes and relate this, in-turn, to the quantification of suspended ash concentrations during different types of eruption.

Case studies

Chaparrastique (San Miguel) volcano

Chaparrastique is a composite volcano in central-eastern El Salvador with its Summit at 2130 m above sea level (a.s.l.). The 29 December, 2013 eruption produced a 9-km-high eruption column that dispersed ash to the west of the volcano (Scarlato et al. 2016). The eruption occurred during the dry season, on a nearly cloudless day, and video footage of the eruption, shot at distances of 8 km, indicates that the eruption commenced with a discrete explosion that rained tephra and ballistics over the summit. This was shortly followed by a larger explosion that produced a pyroclastic density current that traveled ~500 m down the flanks of the volcano before lofting (Scarlato et al. 2016). The eruption then transitioned to a phase characterized by a quasi-steady eruption column that dispersed scoria and ash to the west. Ash lofted from the pyroclastic density current drifted west across the flanks of the volcano and rained out ash aggregates over the western flank. The early explosions may have been driven by interaction between rising magma and groundwater/hydrothermal system in the subvolcanic structure (Scarlato et al. 2016). The aggregates form a framework-supported layer of less than 1-cm thick at the base of the tephra fall deposit. Aggregates represent only 5–10 wt% of the total ash deposit and were sampled by us in late March/early April 2014.

Tungurahua volcano

Tungurahua volcano has its summit at 5017 m a.s.l. and is characterized by a humid tropical atmosphere. We sampled aggregates from the August 2006 eruption which was a significant climatic point during an eruptive cycle that started in 1999 (Eycheenne et al. 2012; Wright et al. 2012). This dry magmatic eruption occurred during poor weather/visibility between the 16 and 17 of August and based on geophysical data lasted for ~8 h (Kelfoun et al. 2009) with estimated plume heights of 6 to 13 km (Steffke et al. 2010). PDCs generated by column collapse (Steffke et al. 2010) occurred down the NW- and W-flank of the volcano (Douillet et al.

2013). At few locations, the PDCs interacted with the Chambo River at 2000 m a.s.l, locally damming the river for few hours (Kueppers et al. 2016). Aggregates were observed exclusively at the top (fines-poor, clast-supported lapilli tuff) of the 10-m-thick and commonly massive ash lapilli tuffs, being limited to the top 50 cm of the stratigraphy where they represent less than 5 wt% of the deposit (Kueppers et al. 2016). These aggregates were sampled in August 2009.

Soufrière Hills volcano

Soufrière Hills volcano, 1050 m a.s.l., began a new cycle of activity characterized by dome extrusion punctuated by vulcanian explosions triggered by dome collapse in 2010 (Stinton et al. 2014). On 11 February 2010 at 15:52 (UTC), a northward-directed partial dome collapse ($50 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$) occurred triggering PDCs that traveled about 1 km offshore and added about 1 km² of land to the island (Stinton et al. 2014). Through entering the ocean and triggering steam explosions, these PDCs produced co-PDC plume deposits containing abundant accretionary lapilli (Stinton et al. 2014), which were used for this study. Hence, these accretionary lapilli deposits are thought to be at the salt-rich end of the spectrum, due to their interaction with ocean water. An extensive description of this event and its deposits was carried out by Stinton et al. (2014). The aggregates analyzed were sampled from Mueller et al. (2018) from the Unit III which was a 10-cm-thick fall layer, underlain and overlain by massive PDC deposits. The abundance of aggregates decreases from 24 to 2 wt% with increasing distance from the sea (Burns et al. 2017).

Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai tuff cone

The Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai tuff cone was generated by a series of Surtseyan eruptions that started from a vent at a depth of ~150 m on the floor of the Pacific Ocean 1 km offshore of the islands of Hunga Tonga and Hunga Ha'apai during late 2014 to early 2015 (Cronin et al. 2017). In a similar fashion to Surtsey (Kokelaar 1986; Cole et al. 2001), the Hunga eruptions progressively became emergent with the latest phases occurring within a water-filled subaerial crater (Cronin et al. 2017), with the deposit being divided into nine units (U1 through U9). Cronin et al. (2017) reported a "drying" process during the emergent phase with a gradual decrease in the degree of water-magma interaction. The aggregates consist of scoriaceous lapilli clasts surrounded by ash rims (Colombier et al. 2019), which were sampled on the flanks of the tuff cone (Colombier et al. 2018). Six samples from six different stratigraphic units in the cone were collected during November 2015. Four samples, HH35, HH33, HH28, and HH23, were collected on the south-western flanks ~

0.3 km from the center of the vent (in units U1, U3, U6, and U7). Instead, sample HH41 was collected on the south-west crater rim (<0.2 km from the vent) and corresponds to unit U9, and HH50 was sampled 1.4 km to the north of the vent center on the northern coastline and corresponds to the uppermost part of unit U6. Sample HH50 differs from the other samples as it is more distal and consists of an ash-rich layer with few lapilli and might represent a more energetic eruptive pulse. The stratigraphic units studied here represent a portion of the emergent phase of the eruption leading to the building of the tuff cone. Although the water depth likely decreased during the eruptive sequence, the vent remained below sea level throughout the entire eruption, yet became isolated from the ocean at the end of the eruption (Cronin et al. 2017; Garvin et al. 2018). The water in the eruptive vent was mainly seawater, possibly chemically modified by interaction with the vent system, rainfall run-off, and leachates from the growing cone around it. In this regard, the tuff cone was subject to significant rain immediately after the cessation of eruptive activity in January 2015, leading to a well-developed erosional rill network (Cronin et al. 2017). Surface run-off may have reduced efficient percolation of rain water so that these samples appear only weakly leached. Aggregates are ubiquitous in the deposit, making up >90 wt% of the lapilli fraction (Colombier et al. 2019).

Methodology

Field work and sampling of the aggregates from Chaparrastique volcano were conducted in late March/early April 2014 before the deposit had been washed out by rain. Aggregates were sampled at a distance of ~1 km from the vent in the western flank. The internal structure and grain size of these aggregates were analyzed using back-scattered electron images (SU 5000 Schottky FE-SEM, HITACHI). Aggregates from other volcanoes were available from previous studies.

Aqueous leaching was used to determine surface salt concentration of ash aggregates from the different deposits. For each volcano, use of several aggregates was necessary in order to collect enough ash particles for the leaching analysis. We mechanically crushed aggregates and immersed fine- to coarse-grained ash particles in deionized water for 1 h at solid to solution ratios of 1:100, 1:500, and 1:1000 (Table 1). For Soufrière Hills and Tungurahua volcanoes, we also analyzed non-aggregated material (loose matrix ash) in which the aggregates were embedded. Water extracts were filtered through a 0.2- μm cellulose-acetate membrane filter and analyzed for Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} in a Dionex 2000 ion chromatograph with an IonPac AS14 anion exchange column at the Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium. Concentrations of Al, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, and Si in leachate solutions were also

Table 1 Results from the leaching analysis showing the concentrations of anions and single elements in parts per million

Study	Volcano	Type	Dilution ratio sample	Si	Mg	Ca	Na [ppm]	K	Mn	Cl ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻
This study	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:100	23	321	753	3828	164	14	6325	2291
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:500	23	351	1451	5420	164	17	8605	3733
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:1000	23	7255	1215	3939	153	17	5879	4344
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:500	41	194	2425	932	72	—	1060	6511
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:1000	41	210	2600	1188	78	—	1182	6650
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:500	50	1727	3000	16,070	1325	—	24,099	6450
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:100	33	1597	1817	14,850	530	53	27,703	5200
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:500	33	7740	1940	10,555	589	60	21,270	5202
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:1000	33	14,900	2049	12,350	593	73	24,332	6601
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:1000	35	7185	1201	16,060	436	25	26,082	9230
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:1000	35	7308	657	11,070	242	16	17,814	4599
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:500	28	676	1500	7920	239	—	13,732	3919
	Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga	Aggregates	1:1000	28	768	2200	8725	303	—	14,902	5455
	Soufrière Hills, Montserrat	Aggregates	1:500	—	140	2462	1297	72	—	400	—
	Tungurahua, Ecuador	Aggregates	1:500	—	8	58	58	24	—	88	85
	Chaparrastique, El Salvador	Aggregates	1:500	—	2	3	14	1	—	25	8
Witham et al. 2005 Ayris and Delmelle 2012 Cronin et al. 2003	Soufrière Hills, Montserrat	Non-aggregated material	1:500	31	31	1395	218	14	—	85	—
	Tungurahua, Ecuador	Non-aggregated material	1:500	4	4	40	37	11	—	49	86
	Compilation									4–11,160	2–21,775
	Compilation									<1–4240	<1–2560
Ruapehu										1–788	1–144
										1800–31,000	2712
Seawater concentrations										1272	400
										10,561	380
										0.01	18,980

determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) at the Université catholique de Louvain. Short-term analytical precision (repeatability) is better than 5% for the ion chromatography and ICP-AES analyses.

In addition, we performed chemical mapping and morphological observations on the ash particles from various aggregates from sample HH33 of Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai using a Hitachi SU 5000 scanning electron microscope (SEM) at the Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Germany. We carefully removed the ash grains from these aggregates in order to avoid complete disaggregation and to preserve particle clusters with binding salts. Five of these particle agglomerates were chemically mapped.

A forward model was used to predict the sequence of salts precipitating during equilibrium evaporation of seawater with an average composition (Stumm and Morgan 1996). The calculations were performed with the open-source geochemical code PHREEQC 3.4 and using Pitzer's equations for solute activities at high ionic strength (Parkhurst and Appelo 1999) at a temperature of 70 °C. The model allows precipitation (and possibly redissolution) of carbonate, sulfate, and chloride salts, including anhydrite (CaSO_4), gypsum ($\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$), halite (NaCl), sylvite (KCl), bischofite ($\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$), glauberite ($\text{Na}_2\text{Ca}(\text{SO}_4)_2$), kieserite ($\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$), polyhalite ($\text{K}_2\text{MgCa}_2(\text{SO}_4)_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$), hexahydrite ($\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$), and epsomite ($\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$).

Results

Aggregate types and characteristics

At Chaparrastique, the whitish aggregates are up to 10 mm in diameter and predominantly consist of poorly structured ash pellets with few armored lapilli. The ash particles in these agglomerates range in size from ~1 to 400 μm in diameter. At Tungurahua volcano, the aggregates are up to 8 mm in diameter and consist of pellets pyroclasts made of grains ranging between < 1 and 200 μm in diameter (Mueller et al. 2018). Aggregates at Soufrière Hills were described in detail by Burns et al. (2017) and further analyzed by Mueller et al. (2018) for particle size distribution. All are accretionary pellets with concentric structure and densities (ρ) and porosities (Φ) of 1300–1900 kg m^{-3} and 0.3–0.5, respectively (Burns et al. 2017). The aggregates range in diameter between 5 and 11 mm with ash grain sizes typically < 200 μm (Mueller et al. 2018). Aggregates at the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai tuff cone are essentially juvenile lapilli surrounded by an ash rim. Such particles would be termed “coated particles” using the nomenclature of Brown et al. (2012). However, we recently showed that a significant fraction of the ash grains from the rim were formed in situ by granulation of the core caused by

thermal stress rather than by aggregation of external ash particles (Colombier et al. 2019). This means that ash encasement is not always diagnostic of ash aggregation in the deposits from the Surtseyan eruptions. Additional aggregation of external particles was however not ruled out. Hereafter, we will use the term aggregate for simplicity but it should be kept in mind that the formation mechanism of ash rims during the Surtseyan eruptions may differ from other cases. The density and porosity of the aggregates are largely controlled by those of the central lapilli with values of ρ and Φ ranging between 300–1800 kg m^{-3} and 0.35–0.89, respectively. The size of the aggregates at Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai varies between 2 and 5 mm with ash particles typically smaller than a few hundreds of micrometer (Colombier et al. 2019).

Leaching analysis

The raw data of concentrations for anions and cations in aggregated and non-aggregated material analyzed are given in Table 1 and are summarized for all aggregates in Fig. 1. The concentrations of Cl^- , Na, SO_4^{2-} , Ca, Mg, and K evolve in a




Fig. 1 Concentrations in parts per million of Na, Cl^- , Ca, SO_4^{2-} , K, and Mg in aggregates from Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Soufrière Hills, Tungurahua, and Chaparrastique. For Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, a range is given for each ion. The dashed blue line corresponds to the highest Cl^- content previously found in the literature in ash samples from the Irazú volcano, Costa Rica (Taylor and Stoiber 1973). The gray field corresponds to the range for the highest SO_4^{2-} concentrations found at Ruapehu (Cronin et al. 2003) and Kilauea (Damby et al. 2018)

similar pattern between the aggregates from different settings and typically increase between our sites in the order Chaparrastique < Tungurahua < Soufrière Hills < Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai (Fig. 1). In all cases, leachate concentrations are systematically higher in the aggregates than in non-aggregated ash (Table 1).

SEM observations and chemical mapping

Chemical mapping of aggregates from the HH33 sample of Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai that had the highest leachate concentrations (Table 1) reveals the presence of several different salt phases at the ash surface and at the contact between ash particles (Fig. 2). Chemical mapping and crystal form were used for identification. The most abundant salts are NaCl and CaSO_4 , with KCl and MgCl_2 being much less abundant. NaCl and CaSO_4 crystals are the coarsest, ranging between 5 and 50 μm , while the less common salts are < 5 μm in diameter. Relationship of 1:1 for the molar concentrations

of NaCl and CaSO_4 confirms the SEM observations that these are the most abundant at Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai (Fig. 3). No similar stoichiometric trend is observed for the molar concentrations of other anion-cation pairs at Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai.

Model of salt precipitation

The evolution of the saturation state of seawater with respect to carbonate, sulfate, and chloride salts during evaporation is shown in Fig. 4. Calcite precipitates immediately upon evaporation. Anhydrite starts to precipitate at a concentration factor of around three (i.e., after ~24% of evaporation), followed by halite at a concentration factor of ~11 (i.e., after ~91% of evaporation) and then glauberite. Sylvite and kieserite precipitate when evaporation is almost complete (after >98% of evaporation).

Discussion

We first consider the Surtseyan eruptions and how salt concentrations may evolve during progressive emergence and “drying” of eruptions. Secondly, we examine the process of salt precipitation on ash surfaces for a spectrum of “dry or low-salt” to “intermediate” and “wet or high-salt” eruption types. Finally, we generalize our findings to propose a conceptual model linking salt precipitation to eruptive scenario and the implications for ash dispersal and ash fall hazards.

Salt precipitation during the Surtseyan eruptions

The concentrations of Na, Cl^- , Ca, SO_4^{2-} , Mg, and K are higher in aggregates from Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai than for Soufrière Hills, Tungurahua, and Chaparrastique (in this order). To our knowledge, the maximum concentrations in Na, Cl^- , and K found in these aggregates are, to date, the highest values found by leaching analysis of volcanic material (see Witham et al. 2005 and Ayris and Delmelle 2012 for compilations).

Diagrams comparing the concentrations of these anions and cations allow us to represent the traces of evaporation of seawater brines (Babel and Schreiber 2014). We observed a 1:1 relationship for the molar concentrations of Na-Cl and CaSO_4 , consistent with NaCl and CaSO_4 seen in SEM (Fig. 3). Although K-Cl and Mg-Cl salts were observed during SEM analysis, their contribution to the total Cl^- content is negligible because of their low volumetric concentration (Fig. 2).

The Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai aggregates were sampled 10 months after deposition. There must have been some rainfall leaching so that the reported values are conservative estimates of primary salt precipitation in a volcanic plume, which will be favored by the temperature, salinity, and





Fig. 2 SEM BSE images and chemical mapping revealing the presence of NaCl and CaSO_4 in the ash rims of lapilli from sample HH33. **a** to **e** Large CaSO_4 salt (in blue) and Cl bearing salts visible in the Cl elemental map in (**e**). **f** to **i** Large NaCl salt (in green) and smaller CaSO_4 salts (in blue)

Fig. 3 Correlations between the molar concentrations of Na-Cl and Ca-SO₄ revealing an approximately 1:1 relationship implying the presence of salts NaCl and CaSO₄ in the ash rims prior to leaching




humidity conditions during a Surtseyan eruption. A significant amount of seawater is contained in the Surtseyan plumes and the high thermal budget likely drives it to rapidly boil, evaporate, and concentrate into a pervasive brine causing salt precipitation. Hovland et al. (2006) showed that evaporation associated with boiling is rapid and highly productive. The extreme conditions of salinity, humidity, temperature, and high mobility of the seawater in the Surtseyan settings probably contribute to the extensive salt precipitation observed here. Salts likely precipitate in the brine-soaked aggregates while they remain in the plume. Further, aggregates once formed could be re-coated by brine during transport in the plume, as well as after deposition. Once deposited, further burial by wet tephra accumulating above may cause brine to percolate downwards. In this way, successive episodes of evaporation and precipitation could explain the concentrations in excess of those of seawater (Table 1). Additional primary salt precipitation by gas scavenging likely occurs but appears to be overwhelmed by seawater evaporation-induced precipitation.

The equilibrium evaporation calculations, leachate data, and SEM analyses all point to the presence of CaSO₄ and NaCl salts in the ash aggregates. As illustrated in Fig. 4,

precipitation of sulfate and chloride salts on the ash surfaces required between 20 and 90% of evaporation of seawater droplets. Although the model results predict that calcite is stable, carbonate salts were not observed in the ash aggregates. In contrast to sulfate and chloride salts, the low-pH conditions imparted by the volcanic gas condensates to the liquid phase within the eruption plume probably prevented precipitation/preservation of carbonates. Minor amounts of potassium chloride salts are shown in the SEM analysis, consistent with the modeled appearance of sylvite after almost complete brine evaporation (Fig. 4).

Variations in the anion and cation concentrations through the eruptive sequence also show the dominance of primary seawater evaporation during the Hunga Surtseyan eruption (Fig. 5). Secondary depletion of salts due to dissolution by rainwater alone cannot explain why some stratigraphic units are depleted and others not. Figure 5 shows an overall decrease of Na and Cl⁻ contents through the eruptive sequence (with the exception of sample HH50 from unit 6 which is enriched in these elements). The evolution of Ca and SO₄²⁻ is similar except for the last (highest) stratigraphic level sample, unit 8, which is enriched in CaSO₄.

Fig. 4 Evolution of the saturation state of seawater with respect to carbonate, sulfate, and chloride salts during evaporation. The amount of different salts as a function of the concentration factor (a) and the degree of evaporation (b) are shown






Fig. 5 Evolution of concentrations in Cl^- , Na, Ca, and SO_4^{2-} analyzed in the aggregates from Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai as a function of their position in the stratigraphic sequence of the cone-building phase

This concentration pattern might reflect (i) variable volumes of seawater involved during each eruptive phase sampled here; (ii) variable efficiency of seawater evaporation during the eruption sequence; or (iii) changes in the seawater composition. As the eruption progressed, it clearly became emergent (Cronin et al. 2017); thus, less and less seawater was entrained in the plume. On the one hand, this “drying” process favors more efficient seawater evaporation because the particles stay hotter for longer due to the lower water to pyroclast ratios. However, the lower volumes of seawater entrained in the plume during emergence are likely responsible for the overall decrease in salt concentrations with height of the stratigraphy. These results hence suggest an overall decreasing degree of magma-water interaction during progressive emergence, in agreement with Cronin et al. (2017).

It was also observed from detailed studies of the eruption footage of the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai eruption (Taniela Kula; pers. comm.) that there were repeated partial collapses of the southern flank of the tuff cone and re-opening of the crater to the sea during the eruption. This likely caused sporadic renewed entry of seawater explaining why some intermediate units could be enriched in salts (e.g., sample HH50; Fig. 5). That the uppermost stratigraphic unit (sample HH41; Fig. 5) has a higher $\text{CaSO}_4/\text{NaCl}$ than other units could also be due to rainfall leaching and the higher relative solubility of NaCl. Additional influences for these observed variations could be related to eruption of any hydrothermally altered materials from the conduit as the eruption progressed. However, this does not appear to be the case at Hunga,

because no evidences of hydrothermal clasts were found in any of the tephtras.


Similar S- and Cl-bearing sea salts were reported within the Soufrière Hills aggregates and likely further promoted aggregate cementation in the Co-PDC plumes (Burns et al. 2017). Morgavi et al. (2019) also recently proposed that high concentrations of seawater salts had a strong influence on aggregate cementation and resistance to breakage during the 7000 years BP eruption of Secche di Lazzaro in Italy.

Salt precipitation and implications for aggregates in other settings

Our data show that precipitation occurs in a range of eruptive scenarios, from dry eruptions with only atmospheric humidity available for aggregation, to an oversupply of water during a Surtseyan eruption and resulting tephra-brine interaction (Fig. 6). Intermediate cases involve subaerial eruptions involving groundwater or PDCs entering the sea. Using our quantitative dataset of salt concentrations in volcanic ash aggregates, we estimate here the minimum salt concentration needed for cementation.

Aggregates are preserved in the deposits from all eruptions examined, even with low total salt concentrations. With only < 100 ppm for the “low-salt” Chaparrastique aggregates, this is far lower than the threshold needed for aggregation suggested by laboratory-scale experiments (> 1800 ppm; Mueller et al. 2016). For Chaparrastique volcano (salt-poor setting), the low salt concentrations are likely produced by

Fig. 6 Schematic representation of the spectrum of natural volcanic settings for salt precipitation considered in our study and expected salt concentrations. Subaerial eruptions with massive degassing, presence of a crater lake, and hydrothermal activity are not shown in this diagram but also represent natural settings with extensive salt formation



the interaction of volcanic gas with atmospheric humidity or groundwater. We can also show that aggregate material has higher salt concentrations than non-aggregated free particles from the surrounding matrix in which the aggregates are embedded. This may reflect that the distribution of salts in the plume/PDC is not homogenous and permanent aggregation may only occur in particles in the salt-concentration zones. It may represent particular spatial mechanism for concentrating salts during an eruption (e.g., explosive interactions at the margins of a conduit or at the outer margins of a PDC entering water). Alternatively, it may represent short-lived conditions during an eruption as magma-water ratios vary or as vent conditions and atmospheric conditions change.

A minimum salt volume is therefore required to produce aggregates that survive transport and deposition. The ratio of aggregated to non-aggregated material might be related to the eruptive setting (amount of external water and salt source), the abundance and nature of the volcanic eruptive gas phase, and secondary processes such as rain water influencing the salt content in the tephra after deposition. Additional factors such as the amount of degassing, the intensity of the eruption, the grain size distribution, the degree and duration of interaction of the tephra with volcanic gas, the occurrence of hydrothermal alteration prior to an eruption, and the presence of a crater lake might also control the amount of salt precipitation and the aggregate stability (Armienta et al. 2002; Cronin et al. 2003).

Regarding the high salt concentrations found here for a seawater setting, we stress that high values of SO_4^{2-} and Cl^- have also been reported at other volcanoes (Fig. 1). As an example, Cronin et al. (2003) found SO_4^{2-} contents of up to 31,000 ppm in tephra layers from phreatomagmatic phases of the 1995–1996 Ruapehu eruption. Recently, Damby et al. (2018) reported the highest values for SO_4^{2-} ever found in volcanic leachates, with concentrations of up to 85,000 ppm being recorded in ash deposits from the 2018 Kilauea eruption. The high concentrations in the cases of Ruapehu were

attributed to the presence of an acidic crater lake and hydrothermal alteration prior to the eruption (Cronin et al. 2003). In the case of Kilauea, the very high values for SO_4^{2-} may partly be explained by the massive sulfur dioxide (SO_2) emissions (of up to 50,000 tons per day; Neal et al. 2018) leading to chemical reactions between ash and the SO_2 -rich plume causing the formation of calcium sulfates. The highest Cl^- values after those presented here for Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha’apai were found in ash samples from the Irazú volcano in Costa Rica (Taylor and Stoiber 1973). These high concentrations at Irazú might be explained by solfataric alteration (Taylor and Stoiber 1973) and/or enrichment by rain or the presence of a crater lake (Murata et al. 1966).

Implications for ash plume dispersal modeling and natural hazards

One of the key unknowns regarding aggregation in ash plume dispersal models involves aggregate break-up processes. Currently, models regard all aggregates as ash material which is quantitatively removed from the atmosphere (Folch et al. 2010). However, both experimental approaches (Mueller et al. 2017a, b) and in situ field observations (Taddeucci et al. 2011; Bonadonna et al. 2011; Bagheri et al. 2016) clearly demonstrate the fragile nature of aggregates. Thus, we must infer that only a fraction of aggregates will be deposited as such. Whereas the process of aggregation can happen without any salt but with the mere presence of humidity (Mueller et al. 2016; Van Eaton et al. 2012; Schumacher and Schmincke 1991; Gilbert and Lane 1994), the stability of aggregates, and therefore preservation chances increase with the amount of salts present as cementation agent. Experiments have shown that both the amount and presence of soluble salt compounds on ash surfaces can be derived from various eruption parameters, such as degassing rate or magma chemistry (Ayris et al. 2013, 2014; Delmelle et al. 2018).

Our study is the first to cover such a wide range of possible salt concentrations in volcanic ash aggregates, which represent a wide variety of eruptive settings as well as degrees of fresh and/or saltwater involvement. These data combined with recent experimental results (Mueller et al. 2017a, b) thus provide a resource for the consideration of aggregate stability and break-up in ash plume dispersal modeling. In experimental results, only about 50 wt.% of ash aggregates survived impact at terminal fall velocity at salt concentrations of 2000 ppm. Only at concentrations exceeding 50,000 ppm did 100 wt.% of ash aggregates survive impacts at terminal fall velocities. These experimental results are consistent with our observations on natural deposits. At Chaparrastique and Tungurahua, aggregates represent a low portion of the fall deposit (5–10 wt%), likely indicating both a lower aggregation rate related to low humidity, and a lower stability and higher break-up of the aggregates induced by the low salt concentrations. On the other hand, all lapilli formed during the Surtseyan eruption at the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai preserved ash rims after deposition. Although some particles might have been lost from the ash rims, this suggests that stability of these aggregates comes close to 100% due to the extremely high salt contents (tens of thousands of ppm), a conclusion which is also in agreement with the experiments of Mueller et al. (2017a, b). At Soufrière Hills, Burns et al. (2017) demonstrated that the abundance of aggregates decreases from 24 to 2 wt% as distance from the sea increases, further illustrating the role of sea salt concentration on the cementation and preservation of aggregates in the deposits. However, it is important to note that in all of these natural settings, additional parameters such as duration of transport, settling velocity, grain size, and morphology of the aggregates, as well as the time of sampling after deposition, might influence the preservation of the aggregates during transport and deposition, and the salt concentrations. Additional data is therefore required to clearly quantify the link between salt concentration and aggregate abundance in natural deposits.

This study highlights the necessity for ash plume models to treat break-up processes of ash aggregates differently in distinct volcanic settings. Aggregates from eruptions that do not have any significant external salt source involved such as seawater, crater lake, or hydrothermal systems (Cronin et al. 2003; Witham et al. 2005) exhibit salt concentrations well below 1000 ppm (Chaparrastique, Tungurahua, see Fig. 1). Paired with experimental results, this means that aggregates found in these deposits represent only a portion of the original number formed in the plume. Consequently, we infer that only a portion of aggregates incorporated in numerical ash plume models are actually removed from the atmosphere by aggregate deposition. The remaining portion is likely to stay airborne and become re-entrained into the dispersal process.

Considering the volcanic setting, and in particular the humidity and abundance of external salt sources, will help to estimate the order of magnitude of aggregation rate, salt concentration, and subsequent aggregate abundance and stability in volcanic plumes. Real-time leaching analysis of ash and aggregates during eruptive crises might thus provide a valuable tool for plume forecast modeling, especially regarding the efficiency of atmospheric ash removal through aggregation. We anticipate that our new findings may thus represent a resource for the consideration of eruption source parameters (ESPs, Mastin et al. 2009) in ash dispersal modeling in which break-up processes in ash plume modeling, while being recognized, have not yet been quantified (Folch et al. 2010).

Finally, our results can also be useful to efforts to constrain the concentrations of soluble salts in a range of eruptive settings for the analysis of secondary remobilization of these salts which affects water resources, agriculture, and human health (Damby et al. 2018). After deposition, the ash deposits can interact with water leading to dissolution of the salts causing, for instance, contamination of drinking water (Damby et al. 2018). Here, the survival of ash aggregates is a constraint that contributes to the accurate forecasting of such secondary risks.

Conclusion

We here move toward a general, data-driven model for the process of particle binding by salt formation that covers a wide spectrum of eruptive scenarios ranging from dry, salt-poor magmatic eruptions to high humidity, salt-rich Surtseyan eruptions (and including intermediate cases). Key findings of our study include the following:

1. Variations in salt concentrations during volcanic eruptions can result from fresh and/or saltwater precipitation, gas scavenging, hydrothermal alteration, and/or interaction with rain water.
2. An increase in the amount of salt sources in the vicinity of the vent or the transported tephra may lead to a dramatic increase in salt concentration promoting a greater stability of the aggregates.
3. The formation and survival of aggregates are ubiquitous during the Surtseyan eruptions occurring in seawater due to both the high humidity and pervasive salt precipitation during such eruptions. This was confirmed by the very high salt concentrations found in the aggregates from the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai 2014–2015 Surtseyan eruption.
4. The concentration of salts varies with stratigraphic height in tuff cones, with an overall reduction in salt content. This might reflect the progressive emergence during the Surtseyan eruptions and decreasing volumes of seawater involved with time.

5. At a given volcano with specific aggregate characteristics and time of sampling after deposition, aggregates contain systematically more salts than non-aggregated particles. This implies that aggregates will only survive up to deposition when they contain a sufficient amount of salts.

Future studies focusing on a quantitative correlation between humidity, salt concentration, and aggregate abundance in volcanic plumes and associated deposits will allow establishment of a link between volcanic eruption style (controlling grain size, abundance and nature of volcanic gas, suspension conditions), volcanic setting (controlling external salt sources and humidity), and aggregate abundance and preservation in volcanic plumes. This knowledge coupled with systematic real-time leaching analysis during volcanic eruptions may provide key information on the concentration, stability, and longevity of aggregates to be incorporated in ash plume dispersal models with far-reaching implications for hazards related to populations and air traffic. Our results suggest that water- and salt-rich volcanic settings, such as those during the Surtseyan eruptions, will favor higher aggregate concentration and stability in volcanic plumes, likely enhancing proximal tephra deposition. Real-time measurements of salt concentrations in shallow subaqueous environments could also shed light on the possible evolution toward dry magmatic phases during emergent phases.

Acknowledgments MC and DBD acknowledge support from an ERC Advanced Grant (Explosive Volcanism in the Earth System: EVOKES - 247076). UK and SM acknowledge financial support by the European Commission (FP7-MC-ITN grant number 607905: VERTIGO). UK acknowledges financial support from grant KU2689/2-1 from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. SJC and MT acknowledge funding support from the School of Environment and the Faculty Development Research Fund of the University of Auckland. MC and SBM are grateful to Koni for inspirational thoughts about this paper. We also thank the editor Andrew Harris and the associate editor Laura Pioli, as well as Eduardo Rossi and an anonymous reviewer, for discussions that contributed to substantial improvement of our initial manuscript.

References

- Alois S, Merrison J, Iversen JJ, Sesterhenn J (2017) Contact electrification in aerosolized monodispersed silica microspheres quantified using laser-based velocimetry. *J Aerosol Sci* 106:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2016.12.003>
- Armenta MA, La Cruz-Reyna SD, Morton O et al (2002) Chemical variations of tephra-fall deposit leachates for three eruptions from Popocatepetl volcano. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 113:61–80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273\(01\)00251-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273(01)00251-7)
- Ayris PM, Delmelle P (2012) The immediate environmental effects of tephra emission. *Bull Volcanol* 74:1905–1936. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-012-0654-5>
- Ayris PM, Lee A, Wilson K et al (2013) SO₂ sequestration in large volcanic eruptions: high-temperature scavenging by tephra. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 110:58–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.02.018>
- Ayris PM, Delmelle P, Maters EC et al (2014) HCl uptake by volcanic ash in the high temperature eruption plume: mechanistic insights. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 144:188–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.08.028>
- Babel M, Schreiber BC (2014) Geochemistry of evaporites and evolution of seawater. In: *Geochemistry of evaporites and evolution of seawater: treatise on geochemistry*. Second Edition. Elsevier Ltd, pp 488–544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-095975-7.00718-x>
- Bagheri G, Rossi E, Blass S, Bonadonna C (2016) Timing and nature of volcanic particle clusters based on field and numerical investigations. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 327:520–530. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2016.09.009>
- Bonadonna C, Genco R, Gouhier M, Pistolesi M, Cioni R, Alfano F, Hoskuldsson A, Ripepe M (2011) Tephra sedimentation during the 2010 Eyjafjallajökull eruption (Iceland) from deposit, radar, and satellite observations. *J Geophys Res* 116:B12202. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008462>
- Brown RJ, Bonadonna C, Durant AJ (2012) A review of volcanic ash aggregation. *Phys Chem Earth* 45–46:65–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2011.11.001>
- Burns FA, Bonadonna C, Pioli L, Cole PD, Stinton A (2017) Ash aggregation during the 11 February 2010 partial dome collapse of the Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 335:92–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2017.01.024>
- Cole P, Guest J, Duncan A et al (2001) Capelinhos 1957–1958, Faial, Azores: deposits formed by an emergent surtseyan eruption. *Bull Volcanol* 63:204–220. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004450100136>
- Colombier M, Scheu B, Wadsworth FB, Cronin S, Vasseur J, Dobson KJ, Hess KU, Tost M, Yilmaz TI, Cimarelli C, Brenna M, Ruthensteiner B, Dingwell DB (2018) Vesiculation and quenching during Surtseyan Eruptions at Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha’apai Volcano, Tonga. *J Geophys Res Solid Earth* 123:3762–3779. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JB015357>
- Colombier M, Scheu B, Kueppers U, Cronin SJ, Mueller SB, Hess KU, Wadsworth FB, Tost M, Dobson KJ, Ruthensteiner B, Dingwell DB (2019) In situ granulation by thermal stress during subaqueous volcanic eruptions. *Geology* 47:179–182. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G45503.1/4607844/g45503>
- Costa A, Macedonio G, Folch A (2006) A three-dimensional Eulerian model for transport and deposition of volcanic ashes. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 241:634–647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.11.019>
- Costa A, Folch A, Macedonio G (2010) A model for wet aggregation of ash particles in volcanic plumes and clouds: 1. Theoretical formulation. *J Geophys Res* 115:1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JB007175>
- Cronin SJ, Neall VE, Lecointre JA, Hedley MJ, Loganathan P (2003) Environmental hazards of fluoride in volcanic ash: a case study from Ruapehu volcano, New Zealand. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 121:271–291. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273\(02\)00465-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273(02)00465-1)
- Cronin SJ, Brenna M, Smith IEM et al (2017) New volcanic island unveils explosive past. *Eos* 98. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017EO076589>
- Damby DE, Peek S, Lerner AH, et al. (2018) Volcanic ash leachate chemistry from increased 2018 activity of Kīlauea Volcano, Hawaii: U.S. Geological Survey data release. <https://doi.org/10.5066/P98A07DC>
- Del Bello E, Taddeucci J, Scarlato P et al (2015) Experimental investigation of the aggregation-disaggregation of colliding volcanic ash particles in turbulent, low-humidity suspensions. *Geophys Res Lett* 42:1068–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062292>
- Delmelle P, Villiéras F, Pelletier M (2005) Surface area, porosity and water adsorption properties of fine volcanic ash particles. *Bull Volcanol* 67:160–169. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-004-0370-x>
- Delmelle P, Lambert M, Dufrène Y, Gerin P, Óskarsson N (2007) Gas/aerosol-ash interaction in volcanic plumes: new insights from surface analyses of fine ash particles. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 259:159–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.04.052>

- Delmelle P, Wadsworth FB, Maters EC, Ayris PM (2018) High temperature reactions between gases and ash particles in volcanic eruption plumes. *Rev Mineral Geochem* 84:285–308. <https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2018.84.8>
- Douillet GA, Tsang-Hin-Sun È, Kueppers U, Letort J, Pacheco DA, Goldstein F, von Aulock F, Lavallée Y, Hanson JB, Bustillos J, Robin C, Ramón P, Hall M, Dingwell DB (2013) Sedimentology and geomorphology of the deposits from the August 2006 pyroclastic density currents at Tungurahua volcano, Ecuador. *Bull Volcanol* 75:765. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-013-0765-7>
- Draxler RR, Hess GD (1997) Description of the HYSPLIT4 modeling system. NOAA Tech Mem ERL ARL-224
- Ennis BJ, Tardos G, Pfeffer R (1991) A microlevel-based characterization of granulation phenomena. *Powder Technol* 65:257–272
- Eychenne J, Le Pennec J-L, Troncoso L et al (2012) Causes and consequences of bimodal grain-size distribution of tephra fall deposited during the August 2006 Tungurahua eruption (Ecuador). *Bull Volcanol* 74:187–205. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-011-0517-5>
- Folch A, Costa A, Durant A, Macedonio G (2010) A model for wet aggregation of ash particles in volcanic plumes and clouds: 2. Model application. *J Geophys Res* 115:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JB007176>
- Garvin JB, Slayback DA, Ferrini V, Frawley J, Giguere C, Asrar GR, Andersen K (2018) Monitoring and modeling the rapid evolution of earth's newest volcanic island: Hunga Tonga Hunga Ha'apai (Tonga) using high spatial resolution satellite observations. *Geophys Res Lett* 45:3445–3452. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076621>
- Gilbert JS, Lane SJ (1994) The origin of accretionary lapilli. *Bull Volcanol* 56:398–411. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00326465>
- Hoshyaripour G, Hort M, Langmann B (2014) Ash iron mobilization in volcanic eruption plumes. *Atmosph Chem Phys Disc* 14:32535–33258
- Hovland M, Rueslatten HG, Johnsen HK et al (2006) Salt formation associated with sub-surface boiling and supercritical water. *Mar Pet Geol* 23:855–869. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2006.07.002>
- James MR, Gilbert JS, Lane SJ (2002) Experimental investigation of volcanic particle aggregation in the absence of a liquid phase. *J Geophys Res* 107:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000950>
- Kelfoun K, Samaniego P, Palacios P, Barba D (2009) Testing the suitability of frictional behaviour for pyroclastic flow simulation by comparison with a well-constrained eruption at Tungurahua volcano (Ecuador). *Bull Volcanol* 71:1057–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-009-0286-6>
- Kokelaar P (1986) Magma-water interactions in subaqueous and emergent basaltic volcanism. *Bull Volcanol* 1:275–289
- Kueppers U, Ayris P, Bernard B, et al. (2016) Volcano vs. environment: where, when and why does ash aggregate? *Cities on Volcanoes 9*, November 2016, Puerto Varas, Chile
- Latham TL, Kumar P, Nenes A, Dufek J, Sokolik IN, Trail M, Russell A (2011) Hygroscopic properties of volcanic ash. *Geophys Res Lett* 38:2–5. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047298>
- Mastin LG, Guffanti M, Servranckx R, Webley P, Barsotti S, Dean K, Durant A, Ewert JW, Neri A, Rose WI, Schneider D, Siebert L, Stunder B, Swanson G, Tupper A, Volentik A, Waythomas CF (2009) A multidisciplinary effort to assign realistic source parameters to models of volcanic ash-cloud transport and dispersion during eruptions. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 186:10–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2009.01.008>
- Morgavi D, Valentini L, Porreca M et al (2019) Volcanic ash aggregation enhanced by seawater interaction: the case of the Secche di Lazzaro phreatomagmatic deposit (Stromboli). *Ann Geophys* 61. <https://doi.org/10.4401/ag-7874>
- Mueller SB, Kueppers U, Ayris PM, Jacob M, Dingwell DB (2016) Experimental volcanic ash aggregation: internal structuring of accretionary lapilli and the role of liquid bonding. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 433:232–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.11.007>
- Mueller SB, Ayris PM, Wadsworth FB, Kueppers U, Casas AS, Delmelle P, Taddeucci J, Jacob M, Dingwell DB (2017a) Ash aggregation enhanced by deposition and redistribution of salt on the surface of volcanic ash in eruption plumes. *Sci Rep* 7:45762. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45762>
- Mueller SB, Kueppers U, Ametsbichler J, Cimarelli C, Merrison JP, Poret M, Wadsworth FB, Dingwell DB (2017b) Stability of volcanic ash aggregates and break-up processes. *Sci Rep* 7:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07927-w>
- Mueller SB, Kueppers U, Huber MS, Hess KU, Poesges G, Ruthensteiner B, Dingwell DB (2018) Aggregation in particle rich environments: a textural study of examples from volcanic eruptions, meteorite impacts, and fluidized bed processing. *Bull Volcanol* 80:32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-018-1207-3>
- Murata K, Dondoli C, Saenz R (1966) The 1963–65 Eruption of Irazú Volcano, Costa Rica (the period of march 1963 to October 1964). *Bull Volcanol* 29:763–793
- Neal CA, Brantley SR, Antolik L, Babb JL, Burgess M, Calles K, Capps M, Chang JC, Conway S, Desmither L, Dotray P, Elias T, Fukunaga P, Fuke S, Johanson IA, Kamibayashi K, Kauahikaua J, Lee RL, Pekalib S, Miklius A, Million W, Moniz CJ, Nadeau PA, Okubo P, Parcheta C, Patrick MR, Shiro B, Swanson DA, Tollett W, Trusdell F, Younger EF, Zoeller MH, Montgomery-Brown EK, Anderson KR, Poland MP, Ball JL, Bard J, Coombs M, Dietterich HR, Kern C, Thelen WA, Cervelli PF, Orr T, Houghton BF, Gansecki C, Hazlett R, Lundgren P, Diefenbach AK, Lerner AH, Waite G, Kelly P, Clor L, Werner C, Mulliken K, Fisher G, Damby D (2018) The 2018 rift eruption and summit collapse of Kīlauea Volcano. *Science* (80-) 363:367–374. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav7046>
- Oskarsson N (1980) The interaction between volcanic gases and tephra: fluorine adhering to tephra of the 1970 Hekla eruption. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 8:251–266
- Parkhurst DL, Appelo CAJ (1999) User's guide to PHREEQC (version 2)—a computer program for speciation, reaction-path, 1D-transport, and inverse geochemical calculations. US Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 99–4259:312p
- Rose WI (1977) Scavenging of volcanic aerosol by ash: atmospheric and volcanologic implications. *Geology* 5:621–624
- Salman AD, Hounslow MJ, Seville JPK (2006) Handbook of powder technology—granulation, eleventh Ed. Elsevier, Amsterdam, p 1402
- Saxby J, Beckett F, Cashman K, Rust A, Tennant E (2018) The impact of particle shape on fall velocity: implications for volcanic ash dispersion modelling. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 362:32–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2018.08.006>
- Scarlato P, Mollo S, Del Bello E et al (2016) The 2013 eruption of Chaparrastique volcano (El Salvador): effects of magma storage, mixing, and decompression. *Chem Geol* 448:110–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.11.015>
- Schumacher R, Schmincke H (1991) Internal structure and occurrence of accretionary lapilli—a case study at Laacher See Volcano. *Bull Volcanol* 53:612–634
- Steffke AM, Fee D, Garces M, Harris A (2010) Eruption chronologies, plume heights and eruption styles at Tungurahua Volcano: integrating remote sensing techniques and infrasound. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 193:143–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.03.004>
- Stinton AJ, Cole PD, Stewart RC, Odbert HM, Smith P (2014) The 11 February 2010 partial dome collapse at Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Geol Soc Lond Mem* 39(1):133–152. <https://doi.org/10.1144/M39.7>
- Stumm W, Morgan JJ (1996) Aquatic chemistry: chemical equilibria and rates in natural waters. John Wiley & Sons

- Taddeucci J, Scarlato P, Montanaro C, Cimarelli C, del Bello E, Freda C, Andronico D, Gudmundsson MT, Dingwell DB (2011) Aggregation-dominated ash settling from the Eyjafjallajökull volcanic cloud illuminated by field and laboratory high-speed imaging. *Geology* 39:891–894. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G32016.1>
- Taylor PS, Stoiber RE (1973) Soluble material on ash from active Central American volcanoes. *Geol Soc Am Bull* 84:1031–1042
- Van Eaton AR, Muirhead JD, Wilson CJN et al (2012) Growth of volcanic ash aggregates in the presence of liquid water and ice: an experimental approach. *Bull Volcanol* 74:1963–1984. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-012-0634-9>
- Witham CS, Oppenheimer C, Horwell CJ (2005) Volcanic ash-leachates: a review and recommendations for sampling methods. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 141:299–326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2004.11.010>
- Wright HMN, Cashman KV, Mothes PA, Hall ML, Ruiz AG, le Pennec JL (2012) Estimating rates of decompression from textures of erupted ash particles produced by 1999 – 2006 eruptions of Tungurahua volcano, Ecuador. *Geology* 40:619–622. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G32948.1>