

Peritoneal Dialysis International

Absence of SARS-CoV-2 in the effluent of peritoneal dialysis patients

Journal:	<i>Peritoneal Dialysis International</i>
Manuscript ID	PDI-2020-00120.R2
Manuscript Type:	Short Report
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Jul-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Candellier, Alexandre; Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc, Nephrology; CHU Amiens-Picardie, Nephrology Sohy, Anais; Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc, Microbiology Gillet, Nicolas; Université de Namur, Virology Muylkens, Benoit; Université de Namur, Virology Morelle, Johann; Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc, Nephrology Belkhir, Leila; Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc, Infectious diseases Coupeau, Damien; Université de Namur, Virology Jadoul, Michel ; Cliniques universitaires Saint Luc, Nephrology Goffin, Eric; UC Louvain, Nephrology
Key Words:	SARS-CoV-2, Covid-19, peritoneal dialysis, peritoneal effluent.
Abstract:	<p>The pandemic of respiratory disease (Covid-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is life-threatening in peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients.</p> <p>In PD patients with systemic viral infections, peritoneal effluent may be theoretically contaminated. We searched for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material by real-time reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assays in serial PD effluents of three PD infected patients. Nasopharyngeal swabs obtained at admission showed high viral load in all three patients whereas none of the PD effluent specimen tested positive, even after dialysate concentration.</p> <p>Those results support at most a very low SARS-CoV-2 dissemination risk by the peritoneal effluent of PD patients. Imposing special disposal procedures, such as the instillation of hypochlorite in the drainage bags to prevent viral spread to healthcare workers, are probably not required.</p>

Peritoneal Dialysis International Declarations Form

A declarations form must be submitted for ALL types of manuscript.

The **Peritoneal Dialysis International declaration policy document** should be read before filling in this form.

This provides options for many of the declarations below.

Title of Manuscript: Absence of SARS-CoV-2 in the effluent of peritoneal dialysis patients.

Authors:

Alexandre Candellier, Anaïs Scohy, Nicolas Gillet, Benoit Muylkens, Johann Morelle, Leïla Belkhir, Damien Coupeau, Michel Jadoul, Éric Goffin.

Institutions:

Department of Nephrology, Cliniques Universitaires Saint Luc, Institute of Experimental and Clinical Research, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

Division of Nephrology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Amiens-Picardie, Amiens 80054 France

Division of Microbiology, Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

Veterinary Integrated Research Unit, Faculty of Sciences, Namur Research Institute for Life Sciences (NARILIS), University of Namur (UNamur), 5000 Namur, Belgium

Division of Infectious Disease, Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium.

Declaration of Conflicting Interest: None

Funding: None

Ethical Approval (include full name of committee approving the research and if available mention reference number of that approval): Cliniques Universitaire Saint Luc does not require ethical approval for reporting individual cases or case series.

Informed Consent to Participate: Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before the study.

Informed Consent to Publish: Written informed consent was obtained from patients for their anonymised information to be published in this article

Trial Registration (where applicable): not applicable

Authorship: EG and AC had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Research idea and study design: AC, EG.

Analysis, or interpretation of data: AC, EG.

Drafting of the manuscript: AC, EG

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: MJ, JM, BM, AS, LB.

Administrative, technical, or material support: BM, NG, AS, DC.

Supervision: EC, MJ, JM. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements:

We thank all the medical, nursing, and technical staff from Dialyse extra-hospitalière, Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc, Brussels.

We particularly thank Prof Hector Rodriguez-Villalobos (Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Brussels, Belgium) for their implication in PCR assays, Prof Antoine Froidure (Division of Pneumology) for his dedicated care of our dialysis patients and Dr Arnaud Devresse for fruitful discussions.

NOTE: Please do not leave any blank spaces. Appropriate wording can be found in the *Peritoneal Dialysis*

International declaration policy document

For Peer Review

Absence of SARS-CoV-2 in the effluent of peritoneal dialysis patients

Alexandre Candellier^{1,2} MD, Anaïs Scohy³, Nicolas Gillet⁴ PhD Benoit Muylkens⁴, PhD, Johann Morelle¹ MD, PhD, Leïla Belkhir⁵ MD, PhD, Damien Coupeau⁴ PhD, Michel Jadoul¹ MD, Éric Goffin¹ MD.

1. Department of Nephrology, Cliniques Universitaires Saint Luc, Institute of Experimental and Clinical Research, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium
2. Division of Nephrology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Amiens-Picardie, Amiens 80054 France
3. Division of Microbiology, Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium
4. Veterinary Integrated Research Unit, Faculty of Sciences, Namur Research Institute for Life Sciences (NARILIS), University of Namur (UNamur), 5000 Namur, Belgium
5. Division of Infectious Disease, Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, Covid-19, peritoneal dialysis, peritoneal effluent.

Corresponding author:

Eric Goffin Department of Nephrology, Cliniques Universitaires Saint Luc, Institute of Experimental and Clinical Research, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium
eric.goffin@uclouvain.be
Twitter : @er_goffin

Abstract:

The pandemic of respiratory disease (Covid-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is life-threatening in peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients. In PD patients with systemic viral infections, peritoneal effluent may be theoretically contaminated. We searched for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material by real-time reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assays in serial PD effluents of three PD infected patients. Nasopharyngeal swabs obtained at admission showed high viral load in all three patients whereas none of the PD effluent specimen tested positive, even after dialysate concentration. Those results support at most a very low SARS-CoV-2 dissemination risk by the peritoneal effluent of PD patients. Imposing special disposal procedures, such as the instillation of hypochlorite in the drainage bags to prevent viral spread to healthcare workers, are probably not required.

Peer Review

Introduction:

The pandemic of respiratory disease (Covid-19) caused by a novel human coronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is particularly life-threatening in the elderly and comorbid populations including patients with kidney failure (1). Approximately 300,000 patients receive peritoneal dialysis (PD) worldwide (2). The peritoneal effluent has been previously identified as a potential source of contamination in patients with systemic viral infections secondary to hepatitis C virus or human immunodeficiency virus (3)(4). Although SARS-CoV-2 has recently been detected in some body fluids (5), it is unknown whether the peritoneal effluent from patients with Covid-19 also represents a potential source of infection and how it should be handled during the current pandemic (6)(7). To address this concern, we searched for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material by quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assays in serial PD effluents of three PD infected patients hospitalized in our unit.

Methods:

Serial samples of nasopharyngeal swab, blood and PD effluent from three consecutive PD patients were obtained throughout the active phase of the disease. All experiments were performed in two certificated independent laboratories (Namur and Brussels, Belgium). We collected a 50 ml peritoneal effluent sample after a 12-hours dwell time and spun it at 3000 rpm, 20 min at 4°C or not, respectively. For centrifugated samples, supernatant was discarded and 1mL of pellet was used. RNA was extracted from 550µL of clinical specimen using Abbott m2000sp instrument, according to manufacturer's magnetic microparticle-based protocol (Abbott molecular, IL, USA) in Brussels and from 100 µl of clinical specimens following guanidinium thiocyanate and phase separation method in Namur. Total RNA was further analyzed by qRT-PC assays targeting SARS-CoV-2 RdRp and E genes respectively by using published primers and probes published in ref (8). qRT-PCR cycle threshold (CT) values were

1
2
3 collected. The cycle threshold value correlates with the number of copies of the virus in an
4
5 inversely proportional and exponential manner. RNA was considered undetectable if CT value
6
7 > 45; the lower limit of detection of the qRT-PCR assay targeting SARS-CoV-2 E gene used
8
9 was 6.6 copies of RNA per reaction equating to 0.66 copies/ μ l, and 4.6 copies of RNA per
10
11 reaction equating to 0.58 copies/ μ l in the original sample for RdRp gene. Internal control (IC)
12
13 RNA incorporated into the different biological matrices were used to deliver reliable results in
14
15 case of negative testing. To consider a negative result as valid, IC value of the corresponding
16
17 sample must be < 35.
18
19
20

21
22 Finally, to ensure detectability of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in PD dialysate, serial dilutions
23
24 were performed from the positive control of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) CE IVD genesig®
25
26 kit in 2 different sterile matrix (Physioneal® 1,36% (Baxter Healthcare Corporation) and
27
28 Extraneal® (Baxter Healthcare Corporation)). These serial dilutions both showed linear
29
30 decrease in CT values ($y = -3.362x + 34.401$ $R^2 = 0.9995$ and $y = -3.164x + 34.017$ $R^2 = 0.996$),
31
32 respectively after dilution from 1/10 to 1/100 000 (supplementary figure 1 A and B).
33
34

35
36 Written informed consent was obtained from all 3 patients.

37 38 **Results:**

39
40 Serial samples were collected from three mild and moderate SARS-CoV-2 infected PD
41
42 patients hospitalized in our unit. Clinical features are summarized in Table 1. All three were
43
44 admitted for dry cough, but fever was present in one patient only. None presented with severe
45
46 acute respiratory distress syndrome nor required immediate supplemental oxygen, but one
47
48 patient need higher-level oxygen support measures and non-invasive ventilation to correct
49
50 hypoxemia. Two patients developed intestinal symptoms. All three had lymphopenia
51
52 (<1.2x10⁹/L) and, moderate acute phase response. On admission, bilateral glass-ground
53
54 opacities abnormalities in chest computed tomography scan were detected among all patients,
55
56 one patient had extended involvement.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Nasopharyngeal swabs obtained at admission showed high viral load in the three
4 patients (mean CT value < 30 for specific RdRp and gene E assay). None of the PD effluent
5 specimen tested positive, even after dialysate concentration. Blood sample was positive in only
6 one patient (Table 2).
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

14 **Discussion:**

15
16 In this report we used highly sensitive qRT-PCR to demonstrate the absence of SARS-
17 CoV-2 genetic material in serial PD effluent samples from patients with mild to moderate active
18 Covid-19, whereas concomitant nasopharyngeal swabs showed high viral loads. Those results
19 support at most a very low SARS-CoV-2 dissemination risk by the peritoneal effluent of PD
20 patients. Such data are in line with the absence of SARS RNA detection in PD effluents from
21 PD patients with SARS infection in 2003 (9).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 SARS-CoV-2 is an RNA virus belonging to the broad family of coronaviruses.
31 Transmission occurs primarily via respiratory droplets from coughs and sneezes. Unlike HCV
32 or HIV characterized by parenteral or sexual transmission, coronaviruses have been
33 inconstantly found in body secretions and excretions. SARS-CoV-2 RNA has been detected in
34 stools in one third to one half of infected patients (5), but in blood (5) and in the pleural fluid
35 (10) only in very few severely ill patients with severe broncho-alveolar injury, suggesting
36 potential local viral translocation. It has not been found in the urine (5) nor in the amniotic fluid
37 (11) of infected patients. It is therefore not surprising that SARS-CoV-2 RNA was not found in
38 the PD effluent of our patients. Still, hematogenous diffusion within the peritoneal cavity or
39 viral translocation across the peritoneal membrane barrier in patients with acute colitis might
40 theoretically occur. Also, intra- or peri-luminal viral transmission via touch contaminations are
41 possible.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 PD samples were tested here for the presence of RNA by qRT-PCR, a sensitive
59 amplification assay capable of detecting extremely small amounts of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in
60

1
2
3 fluid, and using primers based on two recognized nucleotide sequences of two independent
4 regions (highly specific RdRp gene and E gene) in an attempt of maximizing the chance to
5 amplify and identify SARS-CoV-2. All tests on PD effluent were negative.
6
7
8
9

10 The present data must however be taken cautiously since they rely on PD effluent
11 samples analysis from a small number of patients, and our observation requires replication in a
12 larger cohort. Independently of the awaited confirmation of those results, in-hospital PD
13 exchanges for Covid-19 infected patients still should be performed with waterproof disposable
14 gown, gloves, face shield and FFP2 face mask and with special care when handling the PD
15 catheter as SARS-CoV-2 may remain viable on plastic for up to 3 days (12).
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 In conclusion, we did not find SARS-CoV-2 genetic material in PD effluents of three
25 covid-19 infected patients. These results suggest that imposing special disposal procedures,
26 such as the instillation of hypochlorite in the drainage bags to prevent viral spread to healthcare
27 workers, are probably not required, but it seems prudent to drain spent PD effluent into a toilet
28 that needs to be disinfected thereafter.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Clinical Features of PD Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2

	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3
	Baseline		
Clinical characteristics			
Age (years)	60	53	68
Gender	Male	Female	Male
Major comorbidity	Ischemic heart disease	None	Stroke
Cause of ESKD	Diabetes mellitus	ADPKD	Glomerulonephritis
PD duration (months)	56	1	11
Mode of dialysis	CAPD	CAPD	CAPD
Icodextrin 12h-dwell	+	+	-
Mean 12h-dwell ultrafiltration (ml)	350	500	200
Previous PD peritonitis	No	No	No
SARS-CoV-2 history			
Source of SARS-CoV-2	Contact with infected person	Contact with infected person	Contact with infected person
Other family members affected	Yes (wife)	Yes (husband)	No
Duration from illness onset to admission (days)	2	3	1
Signs and symptoms at presentation			
Fever	+	-	-
Dry cough	+	+	+
Shortness of breath	+	-	+
Rhinorrhea	-	+	-
Diarrhea	+	-	+
Vital signs at presentation			
Temperature	38.5°C	37.6°C	37.2°C
Respiratory rate (breaths/min)	20	18	19
Pulse oximeter O ₂ saturation	95%	97%	92%
Blood pressure, mmHg	138/90	122/95	152/101
Heart rate, bpm	95	105	92
Baseline blood investigations			
WBCs, x10 ⁹ /L	6.75	5.4	5.02
Platelets, x10 ⁹ /L	181	104	173
Lymphocytes, x10 ⁹ /L	0.72	0.74	0.84
C-reactive protein, mg/L	8.6	8.8	22.3
LDH, U/L	372	211	425
Chest CT-scan			
	Right and left-sided patchy consolidation and multiple bilateral ground-glass opacities. Extension: 10-25%	Subpleural patchy consolidation in the right lung and multiple bilateral ground-glass opacities. Extension: 10%	Multiple bilateral ground-glass opacities with crazy paving. Extension: 25-50%
Clinical course			
Day 7 blood investigation			
WBCs, x10 ⁹ /L	8.79	5.42	6.39

Platelets, x10 ⁹ /L	374	271	301
Lymphocytes, x10 ⁹ /L	0.95	0.69	0.71
C-reactive protein, mg/L	52.9	54.3	48.7
LDH, U/L	528	306	419
Respiratory features			
Maximal oxygen requirement (l/min)	10	1	3
Noninvasive ventilation	+	-	-
Invasive ventilation	-	-	-
Length of stay (days)	25	12	11
Clinical outcome	Discharge Home	Discharge Home	Discharge Rehabilitation Unit

ESKD: End stage kidney disease; PD: Peritoneal dialysis; ADPKD: autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease; CAPD: Continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis; APD: Automated peritoneal dialysis; WBCs: White blood cells; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; CRP: C-reactive protein; CT-scan: computerized tomography scanner.

Table 2: qRT-PCR results for SARS-Cov-2 detection.

Day from diagnosis	Patient 1			Patient 2			Patient 3		
	Sample type	ARN copies /ml	IC CT value	Sample type	ARN copies /ml	IC CT value	Sample type	ARN copies /ml	IC CT value
0	Nasopharynx	1.05x10 ⁶	27.2	Nasopharynx	2.02x10 ⁵	27.9	Nasopharynx	1.3x10 ⁴	27.5
	PD effluent	Undetectable		PD effluent	Undetectable	26.5	PD effluent	Undetectable	26.6
	PD effluent*	Undetectable		PD effluent*	Undetectable	28.3	PD effluent*	Undetectable	26.7
3	Nasopharynx ^s	3.51x10 ⁸	31.4	Nasopharynx ^s	1.15x10 ⁸	30.1	Nasopharynx ^s	2.05x10 ⁶	30.0
	Blood ^s	Undetectable	33.8	Blood ^s	Undetectable	34.4	Blood ^s	1.06x10 ⁴	32.7
	PD effluent ^s	Undetectable	33.2	PD effluent ^s	Undetectable	32.9	PD effluent ^s	Undetectable	30.4
	PD effluent* ^s	Undetectable	31.8	PD effluent* ^s	Undetectable	30.7	PD effluent* ^s	Undetectable	30.3
4	Nasopharynx	3.23x10 ⁵	31.4	Nasopharynx	3.7x10 ⁴	27.3	Nasopharynx	3.9x10 ⁴	26.9
	PD effluent	Undetectable	27.8	PD effluent	Undetectable	27.1	PD effluent	Undetectable	27.2
	PD effluent*	Undetectable	28.0	PD effluent*	Undetectable	26.9	PD effluent*	Undetectable	27.2
7	Nasopharynx	84	27.5	Nasopharynx	3.3x10 ³	26.8	Nasopharynx ^s	Undetectable	29.3
	PD effluent	Undetectable	28.3	PD effluent	Undetectable	26.9	Blood ^s	Undetectable	30.6
				PD effluent*	Undetectable	27.2	PD effluent ^s	Undetectable	28.8
Dialysate cells count									
Day 1		11			7		94		
Day 7		4			73		ND		
Dialysate Culture		sterile			sterile		sterile		

1
2
3 **SARS-Cov-2 RNA was considered undetectable if qRT-PCR CT value >45. To consider a negative**
4 **result as valid, IC value of the corresponding sample must be < 35.**
5
6
7

8 qRT-PCR: Quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction; CT: Cycle
9 Threshold; IC: internal control; *: concentrated peritoneal effluent, §: qRT-PCR assays targeting E
10 genes. ND: not done
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

References

1. Henry BM, Lippi G. Chronic kidney disease is associated with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection. *Int Urol Nephrol* [Internet]. 2020 Mar 28 [cited 2020 May 2]; Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11255-020-02451-9>
2. Mehrotra R, Devuyst O, Davies SJ, Johnson DW. The Current State of Peritoneal Dialysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016 Nov;27(11):3238–52.
3. Cusumano AM, Poratto F, Del Pino N, Fernández JL, Vilches A. Identification of Hepatitis C Virus RNA in Peritoneal Dialysis Fluid of Patients with Viremia. *Perit Dial Int*. 2005 Sep;25(5):478–82.
4. Goffin E, Vandercam B, Burtonboy, G. Detection of HIV antigen in peritoneal dialysis fluid. *Perit Dial Int*. 1994;(14):889.
5. Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R, Lu R, Han K, Wu G, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Different Types of Clinical Specimens. *JAMA* [Internet]. 2020 Mar 11 [cited 2020 Mar 30]; Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2762997>
6. Wilkie M, Davies S. Peritoneal Dialysis in the time of COVID-19. *Perit Dial Int*. 2020 Apr 21.
7. International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD). Strategies regarding COVID-19 in PD patients, <https://ispd.org/strategies-covid19/> (2020, accessed 6 April 2020).
8. Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DK, et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. *Eurosurveillance* [Internet]. 2020 Jan 23 [cited 2020 Apr 16];25(3). Available from: <https://www.eurosurveillance.org/content/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045>
9. Kwan BC-H, Leung C-B, Szeto C-C, Wong VW-S, Cheng Y-L, Yu A-W-Y, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome in Dialysis Patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2004 Jul 1;15(7):1883–8.
10. Lescure F-X, Bouadma L, Nguyen D, Parisey M, Wicky P-H, Behillil S, et al. Clinical and virological data of the first cases of COVID-19 in Europe: a case series. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020 Mar;S1473309920302000.
11. Chen H, Guo J, Wang C, Luo F, Yu X, Zhang W, et al. Clinical characteristics and intrauterine vertical transmission potential of COVID-19 infection in nine pregnant women: a retrospective review of medical records. *The Lancet*. 2020 Mar;395(10226):809–15.
12. van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, Holbrook MG, Gamble A, Williamson BN, et al. Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 Apr 16;382(16):1564–7.

Acknowledgements:

We thank all the medical, nursing, and technical staff from Dialyse extra-hospitalière, Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc, Brussels.

We particularly thank Prof Hector Rodriguez-Villalobos (Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Brussels, Belgium) for his implication in PCR assays, Prof Antoine Froidure (Division of Pneumology) for his dedicated care of our dialysis patients and Dr Arnaud Devresse for fruitful discussions.

For Peer Review