



Facultés Universitaires
Notre-Dame de la Paix

A Methodology for Automating Guideline Review of Web Sites

Abdo Beirekdar

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Sciences
(Computer Science Option)

- August 30th, 2004 -

Director: Professor M. Noirhomme-Fraiture
Co-director: Professor J. Vanderdonckt, Université Catholique de Louvain, Belgium
Jury: Professor F. Bodart
Professor J.-L. Hainaut (President)
Professor Ch. Kolski, Université de Valenciennes, France
Professor Ph. Palanque, Université Paul Sabatier - Toulouse III, France

**Institut d'Informatique
NAMUR**

Table of Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1	The Situation	1
1.1.1	Usability	2
1.1.2	Accessibility	4
1.1.3	Relationship between Accessibility and Usability	5
1.2	The Problem	7
1.2.1	Reasons	7
1.2.2	Solution1: User testing	8
1.2.3	Solution2: U&A Guidelines	8
1.3	Our Approach to Automating Web U&A Evaluation....	10
1.4	Context of the proposed work.....	11
1.5	Aim of the research	11
1.6	Thesis content	12

Chapter 2. State of the Art of Automated Web Evaluation

2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	Usability evaluation: Basic Concepts	14
2.2.1	Usability and Web Site Design Process	14
2.2.2	Usability Evaluation	16
2.2.3	Empirical and analytical approaches	17
2.2.4	Evaluation phases	17
2.2.5	Black-testing and White-testing	17
2.3	Usability evaluation taxonomies	19
2.3.1	Balbo [1995]	19
2.3.2	Brajnik [2000]	19
2.3.3	Ivory and Hearst [2001]	20
2.3.4	Methods for Web ergonomic evaluation	21
2.3.5	Supported analyses	22
2.3.6	Our Focus: UE by Guideline Review	26
2.4	Overview of automated tools for evaluation by Guideline Review	29
2.4.1	Tools for traditional UI	29
2.4.2	Web tools	31
2.4.3	Characterization of user abilities	32
2.4.4	Evaluating whether Web sites support diverse user abilities	32
2.4.5	Comparison of tools Assessments	36

2.5	Expanding existing approaches to automating evaluation by guideline review	42
2.5.1	Anatomy of existing evaluation tools	43
2.5.2	A new approach for automated Web UE	46

Chapter 3. A Methodology for Automating Web Evaluation

3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	Evaluation scenarios	47
3.2.1	Web site evaluation.....	47
3.2.2	Web page design	48
3.3	The Methodology.....	49
3.3.1	Guideline Structuring.....	49
3.3.2	Web page parsing.....	50
3.3.3	Guideline evaluation	51
3.4	Evaluation Improvement.....	51
3.4.1	Guideline Structuring.....	51
3.4.2	Web page Parsing	52
3.4.3	Guideline evaluation	52
3.5	Evaluation activity based on the proposed methodology	53
3.5.1	Tasks	53
3.5.2	Quality factors.....	54
3.5.3	Automation Limits	54
3.6	Development of automated Web evaluation tool	56
3.6.1	Developing a Tool Based on Guidelines	56
3.6.2	Our Tools	57
3.7	Summary	58

Chapter 4. A Framework for Evaluation-Oriented Structuring of Web Guidelines

4.1	Introduction	59
4.1.1	Requirements	60
4.1.2	Related works.....	60
4.1.3	Our framework.....	64
4.2	The Framework.....	65
4.2.1	Step 1: Interpret the guideline.....	66
4.2.2	Step 2: Specify HTML elements useful for the evaluation.....	71
4.2.3	Step 3: Structure selected elements into evaluation sets.....	72
4.2.4	Step 4: Specify the evaluation logic.....	77
4.2.5	The formal Guideline.....	82
4.3	Framework Advantages	83

4.3.1	Control of the evaluation process	83
4.3.2	Support for multiple guidelines sources and interpretations.....	83
4.3.3	Semantic similarities and differences among guidelines.....	85
4.4	Approach Extensibility	88
4.4.1	Level Extension	88
4.4.2	Technology Extension	90
4.5	Summary	92

Chapter 5. A Formal Evaluation-Oriented Guideline Definition Language (GDL)

5.1	Introduction	95
5.1.1	The syntax	95
5.1.2	The semantics.....	97
5.1.3	Aims of the GDL	98
5.1.4	Models of a GDL specification.....	98
5.2	Semantics of the GDL	99
5.2.1	GUIDELINE	99
5.2.2	INTERPRETED_GL	99
5.2.3	INTER_CONTEXT	100
5.2.4	FORMAL_GL.....	100
5.2.5	HTML_ELEMENT.....	101
5.2.6	EVALUATION_SET	101
5.2.7	USER_VALUE.....	102
5.2.8	EVALUATION_CONDITION	103
5.2.9	META_VARIABLE	103
5.2.10	OPERATION	104
5.2.11	ARGUMENT	104
5.2.12	ACTION	105
5.3	XML in a nutshell	105
5.3.1	XML Documents	106
5.3.2	GDL restrictions caused by XML.....	110
5.4	Specification of GDL-compliant structure for a Web guideline	110
5.4.1	Scope of the specification	110
5.4.2	Organization of the specification	111
5.4.3	Abstract and concrete syntaxes	112
5.4.4	GDL specification.....	112
5.4.5	Guideline.....	113
5.4.6	Interpretation.....	113
5.4.7	Formal Guideline	114
5.4.8	Evaluation Structure.....	114
5.4.9	HTML Element.....	114
5.4.10	Evaluation Set	114
5.4.11	Set Exclusion	115

5.4.12	Evaluation Logic	115
5.4.13	User values.....	116
5.4.14	Predefined Simple Data Types and Operations	116
5.4.15	Predefined Constructed Data Types and Operations	117
5.4.16	Evaluation Conditions.....	119
5.4.17	Meta evaluation Condition.....	119
5.4.18	Operations in evaluation conditions.....	119
5.4.19	Direct Evaluation Condition	120
5.4.20	Mapped Evaluation Condition	121
5.5	Evaluation function.....	121
5.5.1	"Respected" Guideline	123
5.5.2	"Violated" Guideline.....	123
5.5.3	A Quality Model for the evaluation result	124
5.6	Feasibility of Automatic Evaluation.....	125
5.6.1	ERGOVAL	125
5.6.2	WAI guidelines	127
5.6.3	ISO 9241 - 12.....	127
5.6.4	Automation limits of our approach	129
5.7	Summary	131

Chapter 6. Tools for Automated Web Evaluation

6.1	Introduction.....	133
6.2	Requirements and Architecture	133
6.3	Implementation and Application.....	137
6.3.1	GDL editor (Not implemented)	137
6.3.2	GDL Viewer (Implemented and Functional).....	140
6.3.3	Configuration module (Not implemented).....	141
6.3.4	Page locator (Implemented and Functional)	142
6.3.5	The GDL parser (Implemented and Functional).....	142
6.3.6	The GDL evaluator (Implemented and Functional).....	143
6.3.7	The report viewer (Implemented and Functional)	143
6.4	Case Study.....	143
6.4.1	Guideline Structuring.....	143
6.4.2	Structure visualization	144
6.4.3	Page evaluation	144
6.5	Summary	146

Chapter 7. Cost-Benefit Analysis

7.1	Usability of the GDL	149
7.2	KWARESMI tool	149
7.2.1	Utility	149

7.2.2	Cost and Benefits	149
7.2.3	Exploitation.....	151
7.2.4	Actors.....	152

Chapter 8. Conclusions and Perspectives

8.1	Contributions of the work	153
8.2	Limits of the work.....	154
8.3	Perspectives.....	154
8.4	The DESTINE project	155

Bibliography

Annex A: GDL Abstract and Concrete Syntaxes

Annex B: Some Application Examples

Annex C: Web Guidelines Integrated by Major Existing Evaluation Tools