

## Documents

Vannuscorps, G.<sup>a b</sup>

**When does imagery require motor resources? A commentary on Bach et al., 2022**  
(2024) *Psychological Research*, 88 (6), pp. 1817-1819.

**DOI:** 10.1007/s00426-023-01917-6

<sup>a</sup> Psychological Sciences Research Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, Place Cardinal Mercier 10, Louvain-La-Neuve, 1348, Belgium

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Neuroscience, Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium

**Abstract**

Bach, Frank, and Kunde introduce a hypothesis that encompasses two main claims: (1) motor imagery relies primarily on representations of the perceptual effects of actions, and (2) the engagement of motor resources provides access to the specific timing, kinematic or internal bodily state that characterize an action. In this commentary, I argue that the first claim is compelling and suggest some alternatives to the second one. © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024.

**Index Keywords**

human, imagination, motor activity, physiology, psychomotor performance; Humans, Imagination, Motor Activity, Psychomotor Performance

**Correspondence Address**

Vannuscorps G.; Psychological Sciences Research Institute, Place Cardinal Mercier 10, Belgium; email: gilles.vannuscorps@uclouvain.be

**Publisher:** Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH

**ISSN:** 03400727

**PubMed ID:** 38214776

**Language of Original Document:** English

**Abbreviated Source Title:** Psychol. Res.

2-s2.0-85182205149

**Document Type:** Article

**Publication Stage:** Final

**Source:** Scopus