

Editorial: on the road towards treatment of gastroparesis—accelerating but do we get closer?

Gastroparesis represents an opportunity for new drug development considering its burden to the healthcare system and the limited currently available effective treatments. Gastroparesis prevalence has been estimated from 0.1 to 0.3% of the general population and keeps increasing as the incidence of diabetes grows.¹ Furthermore, gastroparesis is associated with high direct and indirect costs, decreased quality of life, and increased morbidity and mortality.^{1,2} Effective therapy that successfully relieves symptoms would, therefore, be expected to be cost-effective. Moreover, reasonable side effects of any effective treatment may be acceptable as long as this treatment is associated with improved quality of life and reduction of associated morbidity/mortality. Since the diagnosis of gastroparesis is based on delayed gastric emptying, most drugs tested are prokinetic agents aimed to accelerate emptying with the hope of improving symptoms.

However, an unmet clinical need remains for pharmacological management of gastroparesis. Disappointingly, symptom improvement (when observed) has not always been related to gastric emptying acceleration.^{3,4} In fact, despite significant acceleration of gastric emptying, some pharmacological interventions were not associated with clinical efficacy.⁵ Also, serious adverse events including QT interval prolongation with domperidone and erythromycin, and extrapyramidal syndrome with metoclopramide, have led regulatory agencies to restrict their use. As a result, metoclopramide is currently the only treatment labelled for gastroparesis and, in the United States, is only approved for short-term use. Conversely, domperidone, erythromycin and prucalopride are used off-label in Europe and the United States for gastroparesis.

Among the different pharmacological classes of prokinetic agents, 5-HT₄ agonists followed a parallel road. For instance, revexepride failed to demonstrate significant acceleration of gastric emptying.⁶ Mosapride accelerated gastric emptying but failed to demonstrate clinical efficacy on symptoms of gastroparesis.⁷ Tegaserod and cisapride were removed from the market due to cardiovascular concerns although tegaserod has since been re-introduced in the United States, albeit not for gastroparesis. Prucalopride accelerated gastric

emptying and improved symptoms but these effects were not clearly correlated.³

Velusetrag is a next-generation selective 5-HT₄ agonist with a favourable safety profile lacking cardiovascular side effects. Velusetrag accelerated colonic transit and gastric emptying in healthy volunteers.⁸ In addition, velusetrag improved symptoms in patients with chronic idiopathic constipation.⁹ In the study conducted by Kuo *et al*,¹⁰ prokinetic effects of velusetrag were confirmed in patients with diabetic or idiopathic gastroparesis. In these patients, velusetrag was well tolerated and accelerated gastric emptying as demonstrated by a reduction of the gastric emptying half time measured using octanoic acid breath test. This study therefore provides promising data in the hope of validating a new treatment for gastroparesis. However, this phase IIa study was not designed or powered to assess symptomatic benefit in patients with gastroparesis.

Although multiple obstacles have been overcome by velusetrag in this difficult road towards gastroparesis treatment, demonstration of symptomatic efficacy in patients with gastroparesis remains the final, but probably the most difficult, step. Whether gastric emptying acceleration will translate into clinical efficacy is enthusiastically expected, but not guaranteed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Declaration of personal interests: FW served as a consultant for Menarini Belgium. GG served as a consultant for Allergan and Takeda.

LINKED CONTENT

This article is linked to Kuo *et al* papers. To view these articles, visit <https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.16344> and <https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.16395>

Fabien Wuestenberghs^{1,2} 

Guillaume Gourcerol¹ 

¹Physiology Department, INSERM 1073-CIC/CRB 1404, CHU de Rouen, Normandy University, Rouen, France

²CHU UCL Namur, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Université catholique de Louvain, Yvoir, Belgium

Email: guillaume.gourcerol@chu-rouen.fr

ORCID

Fabien Wuestenberghs  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6100-6017>

Guillaume Gourcerol  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8220-9155>

AP&T invited editorial columns are restricted to discussing papers that have been published in the journal. An editorial must have a maximum of 500 words, may contain one table or figure, and should have no more than 10 references. It should be submitted electronically to the Editors via <http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/apt>.

REFERENCES

1. Jung HK, Choung RS, Locke GR 3rd, et al. The incidence, prevalence, and outcomes of patients with gastroparesis in Olmsted County, Minnesota, from 1996 to 2006. *Gastroenterology*. 2009;136:1225–1233.
2. Gourcerol G, Coffin B, Bonaz B, et al.; ENTERRA Research Group. Impact of gastric electrical stimulation on economic burden of refractory vomiting: a French nationwide multicentre study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2020. in press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2020.11.011>
3. Carbone F, Van den Houte K, Clevers E, et al. Prucalopride in gastroparesis: a randomized placebo-controlled crossover study. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2019;114:1265–1274.
4. Camilleri M, McCallum RW, Tack J, Spence SC, Gottesdiener K, Fiedorek FT. Efficacy and safety of relamorelin in diabetics with symptoms of gastroparesis: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Gastroenterology*. 2017;153:1240–1250.
5. Talley NJ, Verlinden M, Snape W, et al. Failure of a motilin receptor agonist (ABT-229) to relieve the symptoms of functional dyspepsia in patients with and without delayed gastric emptying: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2000;14:1653–1661.
6. Tack J, Rotondo A, Meulemans A, Thielemans L, Cools M. Randomized clinical trial: a controlled pilot trial of the 5-HT₄ receptor agonist revexepride in patients with symptoms suggestive of gastroparesis. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2016;28:487–497.
7. Hallerbäck BI, Bommelaer G, Bredberg E, et al. Dose finding study of mosapride in functional dyspepsia: a placebo-controlled, randomized study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2002;16:959–967.
8. Manini ML, Camilleri M, Goldberg M, et al. Effects of Velusetrag (TD-5108) on gastrointestinal transit and bowel function in health and pharmacokinetics in health and constipation. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2010;22:42–49.
9. Goldberg M, Li YP, Johanson JF, et al. Clinical trial: the efficacy and tolerability of velusetrag, a selective 5-HT₄ agonist with high intrinsic activity, in chronic idiopathic constipation – a 4-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-response study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2010;32:1102–1112.
10. Kuo B, Barnes CN, Nguyen DD, et al. Velusetrag accelerates gastric emptying in subjects with gastroparesis: a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 2 study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2021;53:1090–1097.