

Bacillus-based biocontrol beyond chemical control in central Africa: the challenge of turning myth into reality

Gaspard Nihorimbere¹, Virginie Korangi Alleluya², François Nimbeshaho², Venant Nihorimbere³, Anne Legrève¹, Marc Ongena^{2*}

¹Université Catholique de Louvain, Belgium, ²University of Liège, Belgium, ³University of Burundi, Burundi

Submitted to Journal:
Frontiers in Plant Science

Specialty Section:
Plant Symbiotic Interactions

Article type:
Review Article

Manuscript ID:
1349357

Received on:
04 Dec 2023

Revised on:
02 Jan 2024

Journal website link:
www.frontiersin.org

Scope Statement

Disease protection by beneficial bacteria

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

CRediT Author Statement

Anne Legrève: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. François Nimbeshaho: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. Gaspard Nihorimbere: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. Marc Ongena: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. Virginie Korangi Alleluya: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. Venant Nihorimbere: Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing.

Keywords

Burundi, Rwanda, DRC, Diseases and pests, pesticide, IPM, Bacillus spp., biocontrol

Abstract

Word count: 180

Agricultural productivity in the Great Lakes Countries of Central Africa, including Burundi, Rwanda, and the Democratic Republic of Congo, is affected by a wide range of diseases and pests which are mainly controlled by chemical pesticides. However, more than 30% of the pesticides used in the region are banned in European Union due to their high toxicity. Globally available safe and ecofriendly biological alternatives to chemicals are virtually non-existent in the region. Bacillus PGPRbased biocontrol products are the most dominant in the market and have proven their efficacy in controlling major plant diseases reported in the region. With this review, we present the current situation of disease and pest management and urges the need to utilize Bacillus-based control as a possible sustainable alternative to chemical pesticides. A repertoire of strains from the Bacillus subtilis group that have shown great potential to antagonize local pathogens is provided, and efforts to promote their use, as well as the search for indigenous and more adapted Bacillus strains to local agro-ecological conditions, should be undertaken to make sustainable agriculture a reality in the region.

Funding information

The work of FN and GN was supported by the Académie de Recherche et d'Enseignement Supérieur-Commission de Coopération au Développement (ARES-CDD), Fédération Wallonie-Bruxelles) through the PRD instrument (Title: Vers une agriculture plus performante et durable au Burundi:

Funding statement

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Bacillus-based biocontrol beyond chemical control in central Africa: the challenge of turning myth into reality.

Gaspard Nihorimbere^{1,6*†}, Virginie Korangi Alleluya^{2,4*†}, François Nimbeshaho^{2,5*†}, Venant Nihorimbere³, Anne Legrève^{1*} and Marc Ongena^{2*}

¹ Phytopathology- Applied Microbiology, Earth, and Life Institute, UCLouvain, Louvain-la-neuve, Belgium

² Microbial Processes and interactions, TERRA/Teaching and research Center, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of Liège, Gembloux, Belgium

³ Food Sciences and Technology Department, Faculty of Agricultural and bio-engineering sciences, University of Burundi, Bujumbura, Burundi

⁴ Chemical and Agricultural Industries, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo

⁵ Laboratoire de Nutrition-Phytochimie, d'Ecologie et d'Environnement Appliquée, Centre Universitaire de Recherche et de Pédagogie Appliquées aux Sciences, Institut de Pédagogie Appliquée, Université du Burundi, Avenue de l'Unesco 2, P.O Box 1550 Bujumbura, Burundi

⁶ Institut des Sciences Agronomiques du Burundi, Avenue de la Cathédrale, Bujumbura, Burundi

[†] These authors have contributed equally to this work and share the authorship

*Correspondence:

Gaspard Nihorimbere: gaspard.nihorimbere@uclouvain.be

Virginie Korangi Alleluya : v.korangi@doct.uliege.be

François Nimbeshaho : fnimbeshaho@uliege.be

Anne Legrève : anne.legreve@uclouvain.be

Marc Ongena: marc.ongena@uliege.be

Keywords: Burundi, Rwanda, DRC, Diseases and pests, Pesticide, IPM, *Bacillus* spp., Biocontrol.

Abstract

Agricultural productivity in the Great Lakes Countries of Central Africa, including Burundi, Rwanda, and the Democratic Republic of Congo, is affected by a wide range of diseases and pests which are mainly controlled by chemical pesticides. However, more than 30% of the pesticides used in the region are banned in European Union due to their high toxicity. Globally available safe and eco-friendly biological alternatives to chemicals are virtually non-existent in the region. *Bacillus* PGPR-based biocontrol products are the most dominant in the market and have proven their efficacy in controlling major plant diseases reported in the region. With this review, we present the current situation of disease and pest management and urges the need to utilize *Bacillus*-based control as a

possible sustainable alternative to chemical pesticides. A repertoire of strains from the *Bacillus subtilis* group that have shown great potential to antagonize local pathogens is provided, and efforts to promote their use, as well as the search for indigenous and more adapted *Bacillus* strains to local agro-ecological conditions, should be undertaken to make sustainable agriculture a reality in the region.

1. Introduction

The importance of agriculture for the development of societies has been demonstrated for several centuries and it employs about 43% of the world's working population (Roser, 2023). In the Great Lakes Countries of Central Africa (GLCCA), referred to in this report as Burundi, Rwanda, and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), agriculture is the main activity employing more than 94%, 46% and 60% of the working population, while contributing to 40%, 30% and 36% of gross domestic product (GDP) in Burundi, Rwanda, and DRC respectively (FAAPA, 2021; Lokuruka, 2020; PND, 2018). However, this sector faces several constraints including low soil fertility and high incidence of diseases and pests leading to food insecurity (Bjornlund et al., 2020). For example, up to 52%, 43% and 38% of children under five in Burundi, DRC and Rwanda respectively are malnourished (FAO, 2023; Lokuruka, 2020; WFP, 2021). In the GLCCA, plant diseases and pests are generally controlled by chemical pesticides, especially those affecting cash crops such as coffee, cotton, tomatoes, potatoes, vegetables, and fruits (Muliele et al., 2018; Niyongere et al., 2015; Okonya et al., 2019a). However, some ~~bioaggressors~~[phytopathogens](#) are inefficiently managed by traditional practices, such as the use of plant extracts, while others are not controlled (Korangi Alleluya et al., 2021; MINAGRI-Burundi, 2018; Rutikanga, 2015).

Biocontrol products are the most promoted tools within the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) framework, as they are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) compared to chemical pesticides (Raveau et al., 2020). Biocontrol products include biochemicals (semiochemicals, plant extracts, plant growth regulators and organic acids), macroorganisms (insects, mites, and nematodes) and microorganisms (beneficial bacteria, fungi, protozoa, viruses, yeasts) and their derivatives (cyclic lipopeptides, enzymes, chitosan oligopolysaccharides, etc-...) (DunhamTrimmer, 2023). Among microorganisms, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), including species of the *Streptomyces*, *Paenibacillus*, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* genera, are the most commercially exploited for crop bioprotection, notably due to their efficient production of an arsenal of bioactive secondary metabolites (BSMs). These bacteria protect plants through direct antibiosis, competition with other pathogenic microbes for space and nutrients, and via their potential to induce plant systemic resistance (ISR) (Beneduzi et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2021; Dimkić et al., 2022). *Bacillus*-based products dominate the biocontrol market compared to their PGPR counterparts (DunhamTrimmer, 2023; Helepciuc and Todor, 2023) due to their ability to form resistant endospores, allowing stable formulations and ensuring long-term survival in the environment, especially in the current climate change context (Radhakrishnan et al., 2017; Miljaković et al., 2020). In this review, we provide a detailed topography of plant health and crop protection in the GLCCA, address constraints within the sector, and propose promising sustainable solutions. An overview of biological alternatives to

chemical pesticides is discussed, with a focus on *Bacillus subtilis* group strains PGPR for potential integration as biocontrol ingredients into local agricultural systems.

2. Diversity of ~~plant bioaggressors~~ phytopathogens and their control

We performed a comprehensive survey of the major diseases and causal agents affecting crop production in the GLCCA region by compiling data from published studies (articles and books) with those obtained from governmental and non-governmental agencies in the form of reports, newspapers, or online databases. Either in the field or in storage, ~~It revealed that~~ agricultural crops, ~~either in the field or in storage~~, are mainly affected by microbial pathogens consisting of fungi, oomycetes, bacteria, and viruses (Table 1). Among the most important fungal diseases are late blight of potato and tomato caused by *Phytophthora infestans*, angular leaf spot of bean caused by *Pseudocercospora griseola*, early blight of tomato caused by *Alternaria solani*, stem rot caused by *Sclerotium rolfii*, late and early leaf spot caused by *Nothopassalora personata* and *Cercospora arachidicola* on peanut. These pathogens are most commonly controlled with the chemical mancozeb or its derivatives. Metalaxyl, benomyl, iprobenfos, and copper (II) chloride and metalaxyl are other important fungicides used in the region. One of the most common bacterial diseases prevailing in the region is *Xanthomonas* wilt of banana caused by *X. campestris* pv. *musacearum*, but unfortunately there is no effective pesticide available to control this pathogen (Table 1). Viral plant pathogens responsible for cassava mosaic disease, cassava brown streak disease, banana bunchy top disease and maize lethal necrosis, also ~~cause~~ serious threat to food security in the region but here again, no efficient chemical control is available.

Insects, nematodes, and weeds are also ~~cause~~ responsible for severe yield losses. Important insect pests affecting major crops in the region include fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*), tomato leafminer (*Tuta absoluta*), coffee bugs (*Antestiopsis orbitalis ghesquierei*), whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*), banana aphid (*Pentalonia nigronervosa*), and cotton aphid (*Aphis gossypii*) (Nyabyenda, 2005; Dushimirimana et al., 2016; MINAGRI-Burundi, 2018; Niyibizi et al., 2019; Belga, 2020; Mukwa et al., 2020; Cokola et al., 2021; Niassy et al., 2021). The control of these insects relies heavily on the use of synthetic products such as acephate against *S. frugiperda* and *T. absoluta*, chlorpyrifos-ethyl against *A. orbitalis ghesquierei* and imidacloprid against aphids. Other major insecticides active ingredients used in the region include lambda-cyhalothrin, cypermethrin, dimethoate, pirimiphos-methyl + permethrin, metaldehyde, abamectin and emamectin benzoate (MINAGRI-Burundi, 2018; ARECO-Rwanda Nziza, 2020; GUCE, 2022). Several species of nematodes also damage plants, including *Meloidogyne javanica* on various crops, *Pratylenchus goodeyi* and *Helicotylenchus multicinctus* on banana plants, and *Ditylenchus* spp. on potatoes (Coyne et al., 2018). These nematode-caused diseases ~~and~~ are managed by using chemicals such as dazomet and terbufos. Parasitic plants or weeds that compete with crops such as sugarcane, rice, sorghum, and maize are also problematic and include among others *Striga* spp., *Cyperus* spp. and *Echinochloa* spp. Their control involves chemical herbicides like glyphosate, atrazine and dalapon (Nyabyenda, 2005; Rodenburg et al., 2016; Runo and Kuria, 2018).

The spread of some of these diseases and pests has led to historic famines in the GLCCA. For example, the Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC) reported that nearly 13.1 million people in the DRC were acutely food insecure due to the spread of the fall armyworm on maize crops (MINAGRI-RDC, 2018; IPC, 2021). In 2004-2005, the outbreak of cassava mosaic disease led to severe food shortages that threatened many families in the north-eastern provinces of Kirundo and Muyinga in Burundi. As a result, 100 famine-related deaths were reported (Legg et al., 2006).

3. Limitations in local regulation of phytosanitary products and associated risks

Pesticide on the market must be regularly reconsidered by the relevant registration authorities and subsequently included in a list of approved or banned products. The aim is to ensure the quality, efficacy and safety of the ~~pesticides-chemicals~~ used. However, there are some specific features of the management of pesticides in the GLCCA region that deserve special attention. First, many chemical pesticides banned in the European Union (EU Food Safety, 2023) are still officially registered in the GLCCA countries, representing up to 30% (Table 2) of the total number of products legally distributed (Table S1). ~~It oncludes the highly hazardous~~ ~~For example, the~~ but most commonly used fungicide mancozeb and the insecticides imidacloprid, acephate and dimethoate ~~are-banned from use~~ in EU ~~after being found to be highly hazardous~~ (Lewis et al., 2016). Furthermore, some ingredients such as endosulfan and dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane, which have been officially discarded by governments in the GLCCA region, are still available in local markets (Ngweme et al., 2019; Bassily, 2022). Second, malpractices in the handling of chemical pesticides are common, either among traders or end-users such as farmers or industrial workers. These malpractices include the sale of adulterated products, failure to use personal protective equipment, incorrect dosage and selection of products, and application at inappropriate times (Okonya et al., 2019b; Balasha et al., 2023). One of the consequences is that residues of pesticides have been found at toxic levels in crops and vegetables such as tomato and amaranth (Kavatsurwa et al., 2014; Ngweme et al., 2021; Ndisanze et al., 2022).

Consequently, some acute symptoms and even deaths of humans and animals due to pesticide poisoning have been reported in the region. ~~as well as~~ ~~S~~severe symptoms ~~includesuch as~~ reddened eyes, itchy skin, teary eyes, burning eyes, runny nose, headache, difficulty breathing, and heavy sweating (Ndayambaje et al., 2019; Okonya, et al., 2019b; Balasha et al., 2023). Chronic effects of pesticide exposure, including cancer, infertility, and neurological problems (Mahmood et al., 2016), may be a reality in the region or may occur in the near ~~or distant~~ future, although no ~~such studies~~ ~~official survey~~ has ~~ve~~ been conducted to date. Several reasons ~~may explain this chaotic situation in the region~~ ~~encompassing such as~~ poverty, ignorance, and illiteracy among users (Niyongere et al., 2015; Muliele et al., 2018; Ndayambaje et al., 2019; Balasha et al., 2023), inadequate governance in the pesticide sector, and possible socio-economic influence of agrochemical companies on officials (Gaberell and Viret, 2022), ~~may explain this chaotic situation in the region.~~

4. Non-biological alternatives to chemical pesticides in GLLCA

The adverse effects of chemical pesticides have prompted the worldwide scientific community and policy makers to search for alternatives and promote the so-called IPM defined as a holistic approach

that aims to control plant pests and diseases by mobilizing all existing methods while reducing reliance on chemicals (Stenberg, 2017). IPM promotes the development of non-biological strategies such as prevention, monitoring, and rational use of chemical phytoprotectants products, and the implementation of biological control methods, which will be discussed later.

Good agricultural practices are preventive measures consisting, for example, ~~in~~ the use of certified varieties, crop rotation, field sanitation and ~~the use of~~ balanced fertilization. These practices have been adopted to some extent in the GLCCA region and promising results have been obtained. For example, cassava mosaic disease and maize streak disease have been controlled using resistant varieties (Legg et al., 2006; Nkurunziza et al., 2012). The banana bunchy top disease has recently been reduced via macro- and micropropagation of healthy suckers (Niyongere et al., 2013; Tchatchambe et al., 2019; Paka et al., 2021). The incidence of banana *Xanthomonas* wilt and potato bacterial wilt caused by *R. solani* has been limited via crop rotation, field sanitation, sterilization of farm tools, and avoidance of their exchange (Harahagazwe et al., 2007; Ndayihanzamaso et al., 2016; Uwamahoro et al., 2020). ~~However, d~~Despite many attempts involving certified varieties or other agricultural practices, no substantial results have been achieved to control fungal diseases in the region ~~using these approaches. In addition~~Moreover, implementation of good agricultural practices is ~~unfortunately~~ hampered by several factors, including demographic pressure on arable land, the relative time required to develop new varieties, the lack of durability of resistance in developed varieties, and the consequences of climate change in the agro-ecological context (Pandit et al., 2022).

Phytosanitary products must be used in a rational way, ~~considering~~ taking into account the epidemiological factors of the specific pathogens, which are usually obtained from accurate field monitoring. Where chemicals are irreplaceable, careful consideration must be given to the dose applied and the less toxic products must be favored. For example, flupyradifurone has been proposed to replace the insecticide imidacloprid (Maloney et al., 2020) and copper hydroxide in substitution of mancozeb (FPS Health, 2023) in EU. Unfortunately, this practice is less widespread in the region, where the choice of pesticide is made at random without prior information on the disease status and on recommended products for optimal efficiency.

5. Implementation of biological control

Biological control is a ~~promising~~ approach ~~for~~ plant protection based on the use of living organisms and/or their derivatives. These include macroorganisms (predatory insects, mites, and nematodes), microorganisms (beneficial bacteria, fungi, protozoa, viruses, yeasts) and biochemicals (semiochemicals, plant extracts, plant growth regulators and organic acids) (DunhamTrimmer, 2023). The biocontrol market accounts for 10% of the global pesticide market, with North America leading the way in promoting BCPs, while African share is very insignificant led by countries like Nigeria, South Africa, and Kenya (Marrone, 2023; Mordor Intelligence, 2023). Biological control is a new paradigm in GLCCA and only seven biocontrol products (3%) are registered up to now (Table 1S).

5.1. Macroorganisms

Macroorganisms are either predators or parasitoids of ~~living the same kind of pathogenic~~ organisms (insects, mites, or nematodes). ~~They are~~ used in the form of eggs, larvae, pupae, or adults to kill or parasitize the target pest (Ramalakshmi et al., 2020; DunhamTrimmer, 2023). Insect predators have been successfully used in the region to control some pests ~~in the region~~. For instance, *Epidinocarpis lopezi* ~~introduced from~~ isolated in South America, has been used to control the cassava mealy bug (*Phenacoccus Manihoti*) ~~in the region~~ (Neuenschwander, 2001; Nyabyenda, 2005), while *Gyranoidea tebygi* and *Anagyrus mangicola* have been ~~used~~ developed to control the devastating mango mealy bug in Burundi (FAO, 2022). Other common predators could be introduced locally to control a range of pests. ~~Indeed, such as~~ the green lacewing (*Chrysoperla carnea*), ~~the~~ Malaysian ladybird beetle (*Chilocorus nigritus*) and ~~the~~ mealybug ladybird (*Cryptolaemus montrouzieri*) have been reported to be effective in controlling the cotton aphid jassid, peanut thrips, sugarcane scales ~~and~~ coffee and mango mealybugs respectively (Ramalakshmi et al., 2020). ~~and could be introduced locally to control these pests.~~

5.2. Biochemicals

Biochemicals, in the form of plant extracts appear to be the most widely used biocontrol agents in the region, ~~but their use is rudimentary and based on traditional and community-based knowledge~~. ~~These~~ y are extracts are prepared derived from various plant species ~~such as like~~ *Azadirachta indica*, *Capsicum* spp., *Allium sativum*, *Tephrosia* spp., *Tithonia diversifolia* ~~or~~ *Ricinus communis*, ~~etc.~~ and are used as insecticides to control various pests such as *S. frugiperda* on maize, *Tuta absoluta* on tomato, *Ophiomyia phaseoli*, *Aphis fabae* on beans, etc. (Rutikanga, 2015; MINAGRI-Burundi, 2016; Korangi Alleluya et al., 2021). In addition, two plant molecules azadirachtin and pyrethrin, and spinosad from actinomycetes have been registered as insecticides in Rwanda (Table 1S). However, the use of these extracts is still globally rudimentary and based on traditional and community-based knowledge. Moreo ~~However, the use of this type of products plant extracts as biopesticides requires increased land for growing plants to prepare the extracts more space to grow, which would create inevitably compete competition~~ with ~~other~~ staple and cash crops for agricultural land.

5.3. Microorganisms

Several microorganisms encompassing bacteria, fungi, and yeasts are commercialized as biocontrol agents. Fungal based agents mainly include the genera *Trichoderma*, *Metarhizium* and *Beauveria*; while yeasts include the genera *Pichia*, *Yarrowia* and *Saccharomyces*. The largest group of worldwide marketed microorganisms are bacteria (up to 75%), dominated by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) of the genera *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas* and *Streptomyces* (Saeed et al., 2021; Bonaterra et al., 2022; Dimkić et al., 2022). However, these globally adopted microbial-based alternatives are ~~quite absent~~ only rarely used in the GLCCA region ~~and mainly as~~. ~~The~~ bioinsecticides such as *B. thuringiensis* ~~is used~~ is sold in the three countries ~~and~~, ~~while~~ *B. bassiana* and *T. harzanium* ~~are~~ only registered in Rwanda. Here, an overview of the PGPR reported in literature with biocontrol activities and their mechanisms of action is presented for their possible integration into local agricultural systems in GLCCA.

6. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in crop protection

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria are a group of bacteria found mainly in the vicinity of plant roots, the rhizosphere. The plant provides them with nutrients in the form of root exudates, while these bacteria protect the host and promote its growth. These benefits are mediated through various pathways, including biofertilization by nitrogen fixation and phosphate solubilization, phytostimulation by the production of phytohormones (cytokinin, abscisic acid, auxin, gibberellins, and ethylene), stress tolerance and biological control (Vejan et al., 2016; Anckaert et al., 2021; El-Saadony et al., 2022). ~~They~~ PGPR include several species belonging to different genera, namely *Rhizobium*, *Azotobacter*, *Streptomyces*, *Enterobacter*, *Klebsiella*, *Rhodococcus*, *Paenibacillus*, *Variovorax*, *Azospirillum*, *Bulkholderia*, *Serratia*, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* (Caulier et al., 2018). Bacterial strains of the genera *Streptomyces*, *Paenibacillus*, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* are the best described and have been shown to be effective biocontrol agents against various plant pathogens through their multiple mechanisms of action such as nutrient and niche competition, antibiosis, signal interference and induction of host resistance (Wang et al., 2021).

6.1. Competition

Competition for nutrients and niches between pathogens and beneficial microbes is an important factor in limiting disease incidence and severity (Bishnoi, 2015). Indeed, rhizosphere microorganisms compete for a variety of plant root exudates, including sugars, amino acids and organic acids; ~~vitamins, nucleosides, phytosiderophores and phenolic compounds~~. These exudates also act as chemoattractants for bacteria to plant roots. These beneficial bacteria colonize the root and can inhibit the growth of soil-borne phytopathogenic ~~fungi and bacteria~~ microbes by competing for nutrients such as glucose, asparagine, and iron (Dutta et al., 2022). Besides exudates, competition for iron is the most well-studied mechanism and involves several bacterial siderophores, such as hydroxamates (*Pseudomonas* spp.), carboxylates (*Rhizobium* spp.) and catecholates (*Pseudomonas* spp., *Bacillus* spp.), which are used to extract iron from insoluble forms and sequester it from other competitors by chelation (Arguelles-Arias et al., 2009; Mazumdar et al., 2020). On the other hand, rhizobacteria outcompete other microbes for space through their ability to form biofilms, which also act as shelter-like against pathogens (Flemming et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2022).

6.2. Antibiosis

Antibiosis is a biological process in which antimicrobial substances synthesized by microorganisms inhibit or kill plant pathogens (Fira et al., 2018). These are toxins that interfere with the synthesis of the cell membrane or other metabolic processes of the pathogen and are variable depending on the PGPR species. For example, *Pseudomonas* spp. are known to produce 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol, phenazine, pyoluteorin, pyrrolnitrin, hydrogen cyanide and a wide range of lipopeptides such as viscosin, amphisin, orfamide, bananamide and sessilin (Wang et al., 2021; Oni et al., 2022). *Bacillus* spp. produce various cyclic lipopeptides (CLPs), polyketides, dipeptides, RiPPs and volatile organic compounds (Arguelles Arias et al., 2013; Caulier et al., 2019; Anckaert et al., 2021). *Streptomyces* species are ~~a~~ known producers of several antibiotics such as kasugamycin (antifungal and

antibacterial), polyoxin B and D, validamycin (antifungal) families (Barka et al., 2016), as well as ~~the~~ ~~two~~-cyclic lipopeptides such as lipopeptides ~~A and B~~ recently discovered with antagonistic activity against *Fusarium* ~~o~~*xy**spor*um (Wang et al., 2023). *Paenibacillus* spp. are another important PGPR, producing mainly polymyxin active against Gram-negative bacteria, fusaricidin with anti-Gram-positive bacteria and antifungal activities (Ali et al., 2021), pelgipeptins and tridecaptins with antagonistic activity against fungi and bacteria (Le et al., 2020; Costa et al., 2022). PGPR also produce lytic enzymes (the so-called cell wall degrading enzymes) such as chitinase, glucanase, cellulase, ~~protease~~, xylanase, and pectinase, which are known for their antagonistic activities against pathogens (Abdelaziz et al., 2023).

6.3. Signal interference

Like other organisms, bacteria live in communities where they communicate to obtain nutrients and perform other metabolic functions. Cell-to-cell communication within bacteria ~~is~~, known as quorum sensing (QS), ~~is~~-mediated by several molecules including N-acylhomoserine lactones, oligopeptides and LuxS/autoinducers (De Kievit, 2009; Rodríguez et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). QS ~~is~~are important for bacterial phenotype and virulence, biofilm formation and other physiological processes. QS-mediated metabolites from phytopathogenic bacteria can be chemically or enzymatically degraded by so-called quorum quenching (QQ) ~~molecules~~ enzymes such as lactonase, acylase and oxidoreductase produced by some PGPR ~~bacteria, i.e. *Bacillus* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Streptomyces* spp.~~; This which interferes with QS-regulated processes that are crucial for pathogen development results in the inhibition of bacterial behaviors under QS regulation (Sikdar and Elias, 2020) and s. Some important bacterial diseases such as *Pectobacterium carotovorum*, *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Ralstonia solanacearum*, *Erwinia amylovora* have been successfully managed by using this approach (Rodríguez et al., 2020).

6.4. Induced systemic resistance

Induced systemic resistance (ISR) is the process by which plants treated with PGPR species or their secreted molecules induce defense genes and develop immunity to subsequent plausible pathogen attack. PGPR-induced ISR is phenotypically similar to the well-studied systemic acquired resistance (SAR), which is activated after a first infection by an incompatible or necrotizing pathogen (Pršić and Ongena, 2020). This process is regulated by the jasmonate or ethylene phytohormone pathways, whereas SAR is dependent on the salicylic acid regulation pathway. The main elicitors of ISR produced by PGPR are Acyl-Homoserine Lactones, cyclic lipopeptides, rhamnolipids, N-alkylated benzylamine derivative, siderophores, volatile compounds and other metabolites with antibiotic functions such as 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol and phenazine (Pršić and Ongena, 2020; Wang et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2022). These elicitors act as microbial associated molecular patterns and are perceived by specific transmembrane pattern recognition receptors, as it has been shown for the pathogen triggered-SAR. However, for a successful symbiosis with plant roots, PGPR have evolved to evade or suppress the pattern triggered immunity developed by the plant as the first line of defense (Yu et al., 2022; Seth et al., 2023). This PGPR-induced plant immunity ultimately leads to defense mechanisms such as cell wall reinforcement via ~~ese sequential reactions results in immune events~~

~~including~~ deposition of lignin ~~or~~, callose, enhanced production of defense-related proteins (lipoxygenase, glucanase, chitinase, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase) and accumulation of phytoalexins as small-size antimicrobial metabolites, ~~production of phenolic compounds, phytoalexins~~ (Abdelaziz et al., 2023).

7. *Bacillus* as successful PGPR for biological control

Bacillus is a rod-shaped, gram-positive and spore-forming bacterium that is widespread in a variety of ecological niches (Yin et al., 2023). Phylogenetically, the *Bacillus* genus can be broadly species ~~are~~ divided into two clades, namely the *Bacillus cereus* group, which includes the well-known and most commercialized bioinsecticide *B. thuringiensis*, and the *Bacillus subtilis* group, which includes most of *Bacillus* strains marketed for the biocontrol of microbial pathogens (Dunlap, 2019; Nikolaidis et al., 2022). Strains in the *B. subtilis* group are generally recognized as safe and have therefore been used as alternatives to chemical pesticides in agriculture (Etesami et al., 2023). For example, *B. licheniformis* SB3086 (Ecoguard®, Novozymes®, Biofungicide®, Green Relief®), *B. pumilus* GB34 (GB34®, Concentrated Biological Fungicide®, Ballad®), *B. velezensis* MBI600 (Subtilex®, Histick N/T®), *B. velezensis* GBO3 (Kodiak®, Companion®), *B. velezensis* QST713 (Serenade®, Rhapsody®) and *B. velezensis* FZB42 (Taegro®, Rhizovital®) are among the commercial biocontrol agents from the *B. subtilis* group (Lahlali et al., 2022; Yadav et al., 2022). These strains are continuously attracting researchers and industrials thanks to their intrinsic ability to form resistant endospores that allow stable formulations, relatively rapid growth on different substrates, and the secretion of a wealth of bioactive secondary metabolites retaining key biocontrol functions (Prasad et al., 2023). The biosynthesis of these biochemicals ~~mobilizes~~ involves up to 10% of the bacterial genome and includes a huge diversity of compounds produced via non-ribosomal and ribosomal pathways (Grubbs et al., 2017; Borriss, 2020; Yin et al., 2023).

Cyclic lipopeptides are a group of compounds with a fatty acid and peptide moiety that are produced via the non-ribosomal pathway involving a ~~series of~~ multi-modular enzyme complexes (Iqbal et al., 2023). This machinery gives rise to a large chemical diversity with different structures, based on their amino acid sequence or on the length of the fatty acid chain. These metabolites are classified into the heptapeptides surfactins (pumilacidins/lichenisins) and iturins (iturin A, mycosubtilin, bacillomycin) and the decapeptides fengycins (plipastatins); together with their homologues of different alkyl chain lengths (C₁₂ - C₁₇ for surfactins, C₁₃-C₁₇ for iturins and C₁₄-C₁₈ for fengycins) (Théâtre et al., 2022). They are produced ~~in whole or in part~~ by members of the *Bacillus subtilis* group and are known to be involved in the induction of resistance in plants (Pršić and Ongena, 2020; Dimkić et al., 2022; Mahapatra et al., 2022) and, thanks to their amphiphilic nature, exhibit ~~antibacterial, antiviral (surfactins) and antifungal (iturins and fengycins)~~ antimicrobial activities against a wide range of pathogenic microorganisms by penetrating and disrupting the cell membrane of target organisms (Fira et al., 2018; Ngalamat et al., 2021; Puan et al., 2023).

Polyketides are bioactive metabolites synthesized via pathways catalyzed either by the polyketide synthases (difficidins and macrolactins) or by the hybrid polyketide synthases/non-ribosomal peptide synthetases (bacillaene) and are structurally composed of an alternation of polyenes and carbonyl

groups (Iqbal et al., 2023). They retain ~~a good~~[consistent](#) antibacterial activity against several ~~bacterial~~ phytopathogens either by interfering with protein synthesis or by damaging the bacterial cell wall (Rabbee and Baek, 2020; Miao et al., 2023; Zhang N. et al., 2023). The siderophore bacillibactin is a catecholate peptide [also](#) produced via the non-ribosomal pathway that retains a high affinity for iron. This property is very important in bacterial niche competition, helping bacilli to gain advantage over their competitors by chelating ~~all~~ available iron in the environment (Yu et al., 2011). The dipeptide bacilysin ~~is the smallest peptide produced by Bacillus subtilis group strains and~~ is biosynthesized via a typical non-ribosomal pathway not shared by the lipopeptide/polyketide/siderophore trio. ~~and~~ [It](#) exhibits ~~toxicity~~[dual properties](#) against bacteria by blocking peptidoglycan biosynthesis (Borriss, 2015) and against fungi by probably inhibiting fungal glycans in a manner similar to the inhibition of bacterial peptidoglycan synthesis (Han X. et al., 2021).

Ribosomally produced and post-translationally modified peptides include bacteriocins and lantibiotics ([such as](#) amylocyclicin, amylolysin, plantazolicin, subtilin, pumilarin ~~or~~ LCI) ~~etc.) and are~~ known for their high inhibitory potential against bacterial pathogens, either by vascularization of the protoplasm or by pore formation or cell disruption (Sumi et al., 2015). [In addition to these soluble metabolites](#), *Bacillus* volatile compounds (hydrocarbons nonane and tridecane, acetoin, 2,3-butanediol, benzaldehyde, 3-methylpropanoic acid, methylbenzene, benzothiazole, etc.) have been reported to inhibit the growth of some fungi, bacteria, and nematodes by disrupting cell wall integrity (Caulier et al., 2019; Kai, 2020; Iqbal et al., 2023).

8. The potential of *Bacillus*-based biocontrol in the GLCCA region, a myth or reality

The market for biocontrol agents based on strains of the *B. subtilis* group for the control of plant diseases is growing rapidly around the world but no product based on this bacterium is registered in the region. However, some promising preliminary studies using *B. velezensis* strains to control fungal diseases in Burundi and DRC have demonstrated the potential of these bacteria to adapt to local agro-ecological conditions, which could pave the way for their possible future dissemination and adoption by local farmers. *Bacillus velezensis* S499, isolated in Ituri/DRC in 1950s (Delcambe and Devignat, 1957), is effective against *Fusarium* sp. on tomato (65-70% of disease reduction) under field conditions in Burundi, and lipopeptide-mediated ISR is proposed as the main involved mechanism (Nihorimbere et al., 2010). Lipopeptides secreted by the same strain showed high *in vitro* antagonistic activity against pathogens isolated from maize cob such as *Rhizopus stolonifer*, *Penicillium variable*, *Fusarium verticillioides*. In the same study, S499 was able to efficiently reduce disease severity (39-67%) caused by these fungi in greenhouse and field conditions (Kulimushi et al., 2018). In addition, *B. velezensis* GA1 and its cyclic lipopeptides played an important role in the control of peanut stem rot disease caused by *Athelia rolfsii*, providing up to 60% of protection (Korangi Alleluya et al., 2023).

Furthermore, a ~~growing~~ number of studies [have demonstrated](#) the ~~efficacy~~[potential](#) of ~~these bacteria~~[Bacillus isolates](#) in controlling a range of important and widespread phytopathogens reported in the GLCCA. For example, *B. altitudinis* and *B. velezensis* were shown to control rice blast caused by *Pyricularia oryzae* (15% and 25% of disease reduction, respectively) by direct antagonism and ISR involving iturin and fengycin (Lam et al., 2021). Volatiles from *B. amyloliquefaciens* NJN-6

reduce the mycelial growth of the banana pathogen *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense* *in vitro* by up to 30-40% (Yuan et al., 2012). *B. subtilis* AUBB20 inhibited the growth of the coffee pathogen *F. xylarioides* through its secreted lytic enzymes (Muleta et al., 2007). Antagonistic activities of *Bacillus subtilis* strains have also been reported against fungi and oomycetes, including *Alternaria linariae* (da Silva Junior et al., 2023), *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, *Rhizoctonia solani* (Al-Mutar et al., 2023), *Colletotrichum lindemuthianum* (Martins et al. 2019), *Mycosphaerella fijiensis* (Gutierrez-Monsalve et al., 2015), *Verticillium dahliae* (Dhouib et al., 2019), *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (Aydi Ben Abdallah et al., 2017), *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* (Luna-Bulbarela et al., 2018) and *Phytophthora infestans* (Zhang J. et al., 2023b).

The biocontrol ~~potential~~-activity of *Bacillus* has also been demonstrated against important bacterial phytopathogens. For example, the application of *B. subtilis* to potato plants infected with *R. solanacearum* reduced the incidence of wilt by about 50% (Elazouni et al., 2019). Tomato bacterial wilt caused by the same bacterium was efficiently controlled by *B. methylotrophicus* DR-08 due to its secreted metabolites oxydifficidin and difficidin (Im et al., 2019). *Bacillus megaterium* USB2103 efficiently controlled common bean bacterial wilt caused by *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *phaseoli* through ISR mechanisms (Giorgio et al., 2016). Brown sheath blight of rice caused by *Pseudomonas fuscovaginae* was successfully controlled (76.6%) by *B. amyloliquefaciens* Bk7 (Ullah Kakar et al., 2014). Other examples of *Bacillus* spp. with potential applications in the control of fungal and bacterial diseases for crop protection in the GLCCA are shown in Table 3.

In addition to their efficacy against phytopathogenic fungi and bacteria, ~~some~~ *Bacillus* spp. ~~are also~~ ~~recognized~~have been reported for their potential to control nematodes, insects and weeds (Mnif and Ghribi, 2015). For instance, surfactins from *B. velezensis* S499 showed insecticidal activity against the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* (Assié et al., 2002). The wheat rhizosphere *Bacillus altitudinis* D30202 was shown to have bioherbicidal potential on the grass *Avena fatua* L. (Ma et al., 2023). *Bacillus* strains such as *B. altitudinis*, *B. pumilis*, *B. velezensis*, *B. mojavensis* and *B. megaterium* showed nematicidal activity against *Meloidogyne incognita* (Padgham and Sikora, 2007; Huang et al., 2010; Xiang et al., 2017; El-Nagdi and Abd-El-Khair, 2019; Guimarães Pacifico et al., 2021; Ye et al., 2022) and *Heterodera glycines*, which threatens soybean production (Dalvan Do Nascimento et al., 2022). Cell-free culture supernatants and volatiles of *B. amyloliquefaciens* BV03, PTA4838 and *B. velezensis* MBI600 killed more than 85% of *Helicotylenchus dihystera* infesting soybean (Camatti et al., 2023). So even if very few products have been developed to the market scale so far, the results already available are promising for the design of bionematicides, bioinsecticides and bioherbicides based on *Bacillus*.

9. ~~C~~Discussion and conclusions

This review summarizes the current phytosanitary situation in the GLCCA region and the possibility of shifting to safer and more environmentally friendly alternatives to chemical pesticides for sustainable agriculture. Several diseases and pests are devastating many crops, leading to the use of chemical pesticides despite their known hazardous nature. Although pesticide regulations exist in the three countries, their implementation is problematic with one third of registered pesticides already

banned from market in EU and numerous mishandling incidents. For the sake of the terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, governments in the GLCCA need to take urgent action to mitigate the harmful effects of chemical pesticides. The regulatory systems should be reviewed and updated, and other less toxic pesticides or more sustainable control strategies should be promoted. Inspectors should be increased in number and motivation, and retailers and farmers should be made aware of pesticide regulations and the associated health and environmental risks.

Globally available alternatives inspired by integrated pest management (IPM), including good agricultural practices and biological control, are not widely used and/or in some cases non-existent. Although ~~seven~~ [a limited number of](#) biocontrol products are registered in the region, their use has yet to become a “reality”. ~~Moreover, a~~ [All of these available](#) products are used against insect pests, ~~while but~~ there is no biological solution [has been developed in practical terms to fight for highly prevalent](#) fungal and bacterial diseases, ~~despite their high prevalencee~~. ~~Some Bacillus isolates~~ [, subtilis group strains are clearly represent](#) promising tools for the control of ~~plant these microbial~~ diseases, and their inherent ability to form resistant endospores, to grow on poor substrates such as agro-industrial residues in semi-solid systems, and their potential to secrete a vast array of bioactive secondary metabolites (Bouassida et al., 2023; Kumar et al., 2021) would allow [designing](#) stable, rich, and affordable formulations of products suitable for the local socio-economic context. Unfortunately, although some preliminary studies have demonstrated efficacy in controlling some important local pathogens, these *Bacillus*-based products are still a “myth” for local farmers. Efforts should be directed towards promoting the use of already available *Bacillus*-based products against endemic pathogens devastating crops in local farming systems. However, bioprospecting for indigenous [Bacillus strains and that are](#) well-adapted ~~Bacillus strains~~ to local agro-ecological conditions, ~~with and retain strong~~ biocontrol properties should be promoted to ensure sustainable plant disease management in the region. The great potential of indigenous *Bacillus* strains over the commercial ones has been shown, for example in the management of grapevine trunk disease (Langa-Lomba et al., 2023) and tropical fruit diseases (Reyes-Estebanez, 2020). [Governments of the GLCCA countries should not only encourage the investment in such biocontrol products, which are virtually absent in the region, but also facilitate their registration and commercialization.](#)

Author contributions

GN, VKA, and FN contributed equally to the writing of the manuscript and provided the first original draft. VKA and MO designed the first plan of the work at DRC level, which was then adapted to GLCCA region with consensus of all the first-coauthors. VN revised the manuscript and, AN and MO supervised the work. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The work of FN and GN was supported by the Académie de Recherche et d’Enseignement Supérieur-Commission de Coopération au Développement (ARES-CDD), Fédération Wallonie-Bruxelles) through the PRD instrument (Title: Vers une agriculture plus performante et durable au Burundi:

471 application de microorganismes pour améliorer la santé et la croissance des plantes). VKA was
472 funded by ARES-CCD through the “Bourse exceptionnelle” program, University of Liège
473 scholarship and by the Schlumberger Foundation Faculty for the Future program. MO is Research
474 Director at the FRS-FNRS (National Fund for Scientific Research) in Belgium

475 Acknowledgments

476 A particular gratitude is towards Mr. Christian Tebila and Mr. Alex Sulu of MINAGRI-DRC [and the](#)
477 [Crop Protection Department of MINAGRI-Burundi](#) for their helpful contribution by providing [data](#)
478 [on pesticides regulation and their importation data for the DRC, the Crop Protection Department of](#)
479 [the MINAGRI-Burundi for sharing the data about chemical pesticides importations.](#)

480 References

481 Abdelaziz, A. M., Hashem, A. H., El-Sayyad, G. S., El-Wakil, D. A., Selim, S., Alkhalifah, D. H. M.,
482 and Attia, M. S. (2023). Biocontrol of soil borne diseases by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria.
483 *Trop. plant pathol.* 48, 105–127. doi: 10.1007/s40858-022-00544-7

484 Adams, I. P., Harju, V. A., Hodges, T., Hany, U., Skelton, A., Rai, S., Deka, M. K., Smith, J., Fox, A.,
485 Uzayisenga, B., Ngaboyisonga, C., Uwumukiza, B., Rutikanga, A., Rutherford, M., Ricthis, B., Phiri,
486 N., and Boonham, N. (2014). First report of maize lethal necrosis disease in Rwanda. *New Disease*
487 *Reports* 29, 22. doi: 10.5197/j.2044-0588.2014.029.022

488 Ali, M. A., Lou, Y., Hafeez, R., Li, X., Hossain, A., Xie, T., Lin, L., Li, B., Yin, Y., Yan, J., and An, Q.
489 (2021). Functional analysis and genome mining reveal high potential of biocontrol and plant growth
490 promotion in nodule-inhabiting bacteria within *Paenibacillus polymyxa* Complex. *Front. Microbiol.*
491 11:618601. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.618601

492 Al-Mutar, D. M. K., Noman, M., Alzawar, N. S. A., Qasim, H. H., Li, D., and Song, F. (2023). The
493 Extracellular lipopeptides and volatile organic compounds of *Bacillus subtilis* DHA41 display broad-
494 spectrum antifungal activity against soil-borne phytopathogenic fungi. *J. Fungi* 9, 797. doi
495 10.3390/jof9080797

496 Anckaert, A., Arguelles-Arias, A., Hoff, G., Calonne-Salmon, M., Declerck, S., Ongena, M. (2021).
497 “The use of *Bacillus* spp. as bacterial biocontrol agents to control plant diseases,” in Microbial
498 Bioprotectants for Plant Disease Management, eds. Köhl, J., Ravensberg, W. (Cambridge,
499 Cambridgeshire : Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing), 1–54. doi: 10.19103/as.2021.0093.10

500 ARECO-Rwanda Nziza. (2020). IPEN toxics-free SDGS campaign highly hazardous pesticides (HHPS)
501 Rwanda situation report.

502 https://ipen.org/sites/default/files/documents/final_rwanda_hhps_report_areco_10_july_2020.pdf

503

504 Arguelles Arias, A., Ongena, M., Devreese, B., Terrak, M., Joris, B., and Fickers, P. (2013).
505 Characterization of amylolysin, a novel lantibiotic from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* GA1. *PLoS ONE*
506 8, e83037. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083037

- 507 Arguelles-Arias, A., Ongena, M., Halimi, B., Lara, Y., Brans, A., Joris, B., and Fickers, P. (2009).
 508 *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* GA1 as a source of potent antibiotics and other secondary metabolites for
 509 biocontrol of plant pathogens. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 8, 63. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-8-63
- 510 Arkhipov, A., Carvalhais, L. C., and Schenk, P. M. (2023). PGPR control *Phytophthora capsici* in
 511 tomato through induced systemic resistance, early hypersensitive response and direct antagonism in a
 512 cultivar-specific manner. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 167, 811–832. doi: 10.1007/s10658-023-02734-8
- 513 Assié, L. K., Deleu, M., Arnaud, L., Paquot, M., Thonart, P., Gaspar, C., and Haubruge, E. (2002).
 514 Insecticide activity of surfactins and iturins from a biopesticide *Bacillus subtilis* Cohn (S499 strain).
 515 *Meded. Rijksuniv. Gent. Fak. Landbouwk. Toegep. Biol. Wet.* 67, 647– 655
- 516 Aydi Ben Abdallah, R., Stedel, C., Garagounis, C., Nefzi, A., Jabnoun-Khiareddine, H., Papadopoulou,
 517 K. K., and Daami-Remadi, M. (2017). Involvement of lipopeptide antibiotics and chitinase genes and
 518 induction of host defense in suppression of *Fusarium* wilt by endophytic *Bacillus* spp. in tomato.
 519 *Crop Protection*, 99, 45–58. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2017.05.008
- 520 Balasha, A. M., Mulume, D. A., Mwishu, S. W., Fyama, J. N. M., and Kalumbu, J. T. (2023). Utilisation
 521 des pesticides en cultures maraîchères sur l’île d’Idjwi à l’est de la République démocratique du
 522 Congo : connaissances et pratiques des agriculteurs. *Cahiers Agricultures* 32, 5. doi:
 523 10.1051/CAGRI/2022033
- 524 Bararyenya, A., Nduwimana, A., and Nyawakira, D. (2018). Assessment of opportunities for Burundian
 525 Small-scale potato farmers to increase productivity and income. *Potato Res.* 61, 73–88. doi:
 526 10.1007/s11540-018-9359-2
- 527 Barka, E. A., Vatsa, P., Sanchez, L., Gaveau-Vaillant, N., Jacquard, C., Klenk, H.-P., Clément, C.,
 528 Ouhdouch, Y., and van Wezel, G. P. (2016). Taxonomy, physiology, and natural products of
 529 actinobacteria. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 80, 1–43. doi: 10.1128/mmbr.00019-15
- 530 Bassily, N. (2022). Burundi: Toxic pesticides threaten health and environment (Syfia Grands Lacs).
 531 [https://wire.farmradio.fm/farmer-stories/3-burundi-toxic-pesticides-threaten-health-and-environment-](https://wire.farmradio.fm/farmer-stories/3-burundi-toxic-pesticides-threaten-health-and-environment-syfia-grands-lacs/)
 532 [syfia-grands-lacs/](https://wire.farmradio.fm/farmer-stories/3-burundi-toxic-pesticides-threaten-health-and-environment-syfia-grands-lacs/)[Accessed September 12, 2022]
- 533 Bayebila, M. T., Dufour, P., Pirard, C., Nsangu, J., Mufusama, J. P., Mbinze, K. J., Marini, D. R., and
 534 Charlier, C. (2021). Bio-surveillance of environmental pollutants in the population of Kinshasa,
 535 Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC): a small pilot study. *Archives of Public Health* 79, 1–15. doi:
 536 10.1186/s13690-021-00717-x
- 537 Belete, T., Kurtulus Bastas, K., Francesconi, S., and Balestra, G. M. (2021). Biological effectiveness of
 538 *Bacillus subtilis* on common bean bacterial blight. *J. Plant Pathol.* 103, 249–258. doi:
 539 10.1007/s42161-020-00727-8
- 540 Belga. (2020). La RDC à son tour touchée par les criquets ravageurs, une première depuis 1944.
 541 [https://www.rtb.be/article/la-rdc-a-son-tour-touchee-par-les-criquets-ravageurs-une-premiere-](https://www.rtb.be/article/la-rdc-a-son-tour-touchee-par-les-criquets-ravageurs-une-premiere-depuis-1944-10443038)
 542 [depuis-1944-10443038](https://www.rtb.be/article/la-rdc-a-son-tour-touchee-par-les-criquets-ravageurs-une-premiere-depuis-1944-10443038)[Accessed February 27, 2022]
- 543 Beneduzi, A., Ambrosini, A., and Passaglia, L. M. P. (2012). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria
 544 (PGPR): their potential as antagonists and biocontrol agents. *Genetics and Molecular Biology* 35,
 545 1044–1051. doi: 10.1590/S1415-47572012000600020

- 546 Bigirimana, S., Barumbanze, P., Ndayihanzamaso, P., Shirima, R., and Legg, J. P. (2011). First report of
 547 cassava brown streak disease and associated Ugandan cassava brown streak virus in Burundi. *New*
 548 *Disease Reports* 24,26. doi: 10.5197/j.2044-0588.2011.024.026
- 549 Bigirimana, S., Barumbanze, P., Obonyo, R., and Legg, J. P. (2004). First evidence for the spread of
 550 east African cassava mosaic virus - Uganda (EACMV-UG) and the pandemic of severe cassava
 551 mosaic disease to Burundi. *Plant Pathology* 53, 231. doi: 10.1111/J.0032-0862.2004.00971.x
- 552 Biruma, M., Pillay, M., Tripathi, L., Blomme, G., Abele, S., Mwangi, M., Bandyopadhyay, R.,
 553 Muchunguzi, P., Kassim, S., Nyine, M., Turyagyenda, L., Eden-Green, S., Kiggundu, A., Pillay, M.,
 554 Viljoen, A., Gold, C., Tushemereirwe, W., and Kunert, K. (2007). Banana *Xanthomonas* wilt: a
 555 review of the disease, management strategies and future research directions. *African Journal of*
 556 *Biotechnology* 6, 953–962. <http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB>
- 557 Bishnoi, U. (2015). “PGPR interaction: an ecofriendly approach promoting the sustainable agriculture
 558 system”, in *Advances in Botanical Research*, eds. Bais, H., Sherrie, J. (London, UK: Academic Press,
 559 Elsevier), 81-113. doi:10.1016/bs.abr.2015.09.006
- 560 Bjornlund, V., Bjornlund, H., and Rooyen, A. F. Van. (2020). Why agricultural production in sub-
 561 Saharan Africa remains low compared to the rest of the world – A historical perspective.
 562 *International Journal of Water Resources Development* 36, 20–53. doi:
 563 10.1080/07900627.2020.1739512
- 564 Boloy, F. N., Nkosi, B. I., Losimba, J. K., Bungamuzi, C. L., Siwako, H. M., Walunkonka Balowe, F.,
 565 Lohaka, J. W., Dhed’a Djailo, B., Lepoint, P., Sivirihauma, C., and Blomme, G. (2014). Assessing
 566 incidence, development and distribution of banana bunchy top disease across the main plantain and
 567 banana growing regions of the Democratic Republic of Congo. *African Journal of Agricultural*
 568 *Research* 9, 2611–2623. doi: 10.5897/AJAR2014.8751
- 569 Bonaterra, A., Badosa, E., Daranas, N., Francés, J., Roselló, G., and Montesinos, E. (2022). Bacteria as
 570 biological control agents of plant diseases. *Microorganisms* 10, 1759. doi:
 571 10.3390/microorganisms10091759
- 572 Borriss, R. (2015). “*Bacillus*, a plant-beneficial bacterium”. in *Principles of Plant-Microbe Interactions*,
 573 ed. Lugtenberg, B., (Cham, Bavaria: Springer International Publishing), 379-391. doi: 10.1007/978-
 574 3-319-08575-3_40
- 575 Borriss, R. (2020). “Phytostimulation and biocontrol by the plant-associated *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*
 576 FZB42: An update”. in *Phyto-Microbiome in Stress Regulation. Environmental and Microbial*
 577 *Biotechnology*, eds. Kumar, M., Kumar, V., Prasad, R. (Singapore: Springer). doi: 10.1007/978-981-
 578 15-2576-6_1
- 579 Bouassida, M., Mnif, I., Hammami, I., Triki, M. A., and Ghribi, D. (2023). *Bacillus subtilis* SPB1
 580 lipopeptide biosurfactant: antibacterial efficiency against the phytopathogenic bacteria
 581 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and compared production in submerged and solid state fermentation
 582 systems. *Food Science and Biotechnology* 32, 1595–1609. doi: 10.1007/s10068-023-01274-5
- 583 Busogoro, J. P., Jijakli, M. H., and Lepoivre, P. (1999). Identification of a novel source of resistance to
 584 angular leaf spot disease of common bean within the secondary gene pool. *Plant Breeding* 118, 417–
 585 423. doi: 10.1046/J.1439-0523.1999.00413.X

586 Camatti, G., Mulinari dos Santos, F., Luis dos Santos Rodrigues Júnior, G., Pereira Camargo, D.,
587 Stefanello Manfio, G., Rodrigo Pereira Santos, J., and Carlos Pereira da Silva, J. (2023). *Bacillus*-and
588 *Trichoderma*-based products control the spiral nematode *Helicotylenchus dihystrera* in soybean.
589 *Rhizosphere* 27, 100717. doi: 10.1016/j.rhisph.2023.100717

590 Cao, Y., Zhang, Z., Ling, N., Yuan, Y., Zheng, X., Shen, B., and Shen, Q. (2011). *Bacillus subtilis* SQR
591 9 can control *Fusarium* wilt in cucumber by colonizing plant roots. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 47,
592 495–506. doi: 10.1007/s00374-011-0556-2

593 Casinga, C. M., Shirima, R. R., Mahungu, N. M., Tata-Hangy, W., Bashizi, K. B., Munyerenkana, C.
594 M., Ughento, H., Enene, J., Sikirou, M., Dhed'a, B., Monde, G., Kumar, P. L., and Legg, J. P.
595 (2021). Expansion of the cassava brown streak disease epidemic in Eastern Democratic Republic of
596 Congo. *Plant Disease* 105,2177-2188. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-05-20-1135-RE

597 Caulier, S., Gillis, A., Colau, G., Licciardi, F., Liépin, M., Desoignies, N., Modrie, P., Legrève, A.,
598 Mahillon, J., and Bragard, C. (2018). Versatile antagonistic activities of soil-borne *Bacillus* spp. and
599 *Pseudomonas* spp. against *Phytophthora infestans* and other potato pathogens. *Front. Microbiol.*
600 9:143. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00143

601 Caulier, S., Nannan, C., Gillis, A., Licciardi, F., Bragard, C., and Mahillon, J. (2019). Overview of the
602 antimicrobial compounds produced by members of the *Bacillus subtilis* Group. *Front. Microbiol.*
603 10:302. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00302

604 Chakraborty, U., Chakraborty, B., and Basnet, M. (2006). Plant growth promotion and induction of
605 resistance in *Camellia sinensis* by *Bacillus megaterium*. *Journal of Basic Microbiology* 46, 186–195.
606 doi: 10.1002/jobm.200510050

607 Chen, L., Wu, Y. D., Chong, X. Y., Xin, Q. H., Wang, D. X., and Bian, K. (2019). Seed-borne
608 endophytic *Bacillus velezensis* LHSB1 mediate the biocontrol of peanut stem rot caused by
609 *Sclerotium rolfsii*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 128, 803–813. doi: 10.1111/jam.14508

610 Chen, M. C., Wang, J. P., Zhu, Y. J., Liu, B., Yang, W. J., and Ruan, C. Q. (2019). Antibacterial activity
611 against *Ralstonia solanacearum* of the lipopeptides secreted from the *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*
612 strain FJAT-2349. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 126, 1519–1529. doi:10.1111/jam.14213

613 Chen, M., Wang, J., Liu, B., Zhu, Y., Xiao, R., Yang, W., Ge, C., and Chen, Z. (2020). Biocontrol of
614 tomato bacterial wilt by the new strain *Bacillus velezensis* FJAT-46737 and its lipopeptides. *BMC*
615 *Microbiol.* 20, 160. doi: 10.1186/s12866-020-01851-2

616 Cokola, M. C., Ndjadi, S. S., Bisimwa, E. B., Ahoton, L. E., and Francis, F. (2021). First report of
617 *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in South Kivu, Eastern
618 DR Congo. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* 65, e20200083. doi: 10.1590/1806-9665-RBENT-
619 2020-0083

620 Costa, A., Corallo, B., Amarelle, V., Stewart, S., Pan, D., Tiscornia, S., and Fabiano, E. (2022).
621 *Paenibacillus* sp. Strain UY79, isolated from a root nodule of *Arachis villosa*, displays a broad
622 spectrum of antifungal activity. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 88, e01645-21. doi:
623 10.1128/AEM.01645-21

624 Coyne, D. L., Cortada, L., Dalzell, J. J., Claudius-Cole, A. O., Haukeland, S., Luambano, N., and
625 Talwana, H. (2018). Plant-parasitic nematodes and food security in sub-saharan Africa. *Annual*
626 *Review of Phytopathology* 56, 381-403. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-080417-045833

627 da Silva Junior, A. L., Borges, Á. V., da Silva, H. A. O., Leite, I. C. H. L., Alves, K. S., de Medeiros, L.
628 S., and Abreu, L. M. de. (2023). Lipopeptide-enriched extracts of *Bacillus velezensis* B157 for
629 controlling tomato early blight. *Crop Protection* 172, 106317. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2023.106317

630 Dalvan Do Nascimento, D., Rodrigues, M., Ferreira, R. J., Marchioro, V., Macedo Da Silva, E., Alberto,
631 C., Junior, S., Kupper, K. C., Polanczyk, R. A., Luiz, P., and Soares, M. (2022). Soybean growth-
632 promotion and *Heterodera glycines* suppression in two application methods of *Bacillus* strains.
633 *Biological Control* 175, 1049–9644. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2022.105039

634 De Kievit, T. R. (2009). Quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. *Environmental*
635 *Microbiology*, 11, 279-288. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01792.x

636 Delcambe, L., Devignat, R. (1957). L'iturine, nouvel antibiotique d'origine congolaise. *Acad. Roy. Sci.*
637 *Coloniales* 6, 1–77. <https://www.kaowarsom.be/>

638 Dhouib, H., Zouari, I., Ben Abdallah, D., Belbahri, L., Taktak, W., Triki, M. A., and Tounsi, S. (2019).
639 Potential of a novel endophytic *Bacillus velezensis* in tomato growth promotion and protection
640 against *Verticillium* wilt disease. *Biological Control* 139, 104092. doi:
641 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2019.104092

642 Di Francesco, A., Milella, F., Mari, M., and Roberti, R. (2017). A preliminary investigation into
643 *Aureobasidium pullulans* as a potential biocontrol agent against *Phytophthora infestans* of tomato.
644 *Biological Control* 114, 144–149. doi:10.1016/J.BIOCONTROL.2017.08.010

645 Dimkić, I., Janakiev, T., Petrović, M., Degrassi, G., and Fira, D. (2022). Plant-associated *Bacillus* and
646 *Pseudomonas* antimicrobial activities in plant disease suppression via biological control mechanisms-
647 A review. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 117, 101754. doi:
648 10.1016/j.pmpp.2021.101754

649 Do Prado Mattos, A., Rissato, B. B., Itako, A. T., Júnior, J. B. T., and Estrada, K. R. F. S. (2023).
650 *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* PKM16 acts as an antagonist of white mold and an inducer of defense
651 enzymes in tomato plants. *Acta Scientiarum. Agronomy* 45, e59586. doi:1
652 0.4025/actasciagron.v45i1.59586

653 DunhamTrimmer. (2023). DunhamTrimmer® Global Biocontrol Report: Market Overview, Trends,
654 Drivers and Insights. <https://dunhamtrimmer.com/reports/global-biocontrol-market-report/>

655 Dunlap, C. A. (2019). Taxonomy of registered *Bacillus* spp. strains used as plant pathogen antagonists.
656 *Biological Control* 134, 82–86. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2019.04.011

657 Dushimirimana, S., Gasogo, A., Kazitsa, E.-G., and Hance, T. (2016). Dynamics and seasonal
658 variability of *Bemisia tabaci* colonies in cassava. *Modern Agricultural Science and Technology* 2,
659 26–32. doi: 10.15341/mast(2375-9402)/01.02.2016/004

- 660 Dutta, S., and Lee, Y. H. (2022). High-throughput identification of genes influencing the competitive
661 ability to obtain nutrients and performance of biocontrol in *Pseudomonas putida* JBC17. *Scientific*
662 *Reports*, 12, 872. | doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-04858-z
- 663 Elazouni, I., Abdel-Aziz, S., and Rabea, A. (2019). Microbial efficacy as biological agents for potato
664 enrichment as well as bio-controls against wilt disease caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *World J.*
665 *Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 35, 30. doi: 10.1007/s11274-019-2596-y
- 666 El-Nagdi, W. M. A., and Abd-El-Khair, H. (2019). Application of *Bacillus* species for controlling root-
667 knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* in eggplant. *Bull. Natl. Res. Cent.* 43, 154. doi:
668 10.1186/s42269-019-0187-6
- 669 El-Saadony, M. T., Saad, A. M., Soliman, S. M., Salem, H. M., Ahmed, A. I., Mahmood, M., El-Tahan,
670 A. M., Ebrahim, A. A. M., Abd El-Mageed, T. A., Negm, S. H., Selim, S., Babalghith, A. O., Elrys,
671 A. S., El-Tarabily, K. A., Abuqamar, S. F., Vassilev, N., Singh, J., and Castaldi, S. (2022). Plant
672 growth-promoting microorganisms as biocontrol agents of plant diseases: Mechanisms, challenges
673 and future perspectives. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:923880. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.923880
- 674 Etesami, H., Ryong Jeong, B., and Glick, B. R. (2023). Potential use of *Bacillus* spp. as an effective
675 biostimulant against abiotic stresses in crops-A review. *Current Research in Biotechnology* 5,
676 100128. doi: 10.1016/j.crbiot.2023.100128
- 677 EU Food Safety. (2023). EU Pesticides Database. [https://food.ec.europa.eu/plants/pesticides/eu-](https://food.ec.europa.eu/plants/pesticides/eu-pesticides-database_en)
678 [pesticides-database_en](https://food.ec.europa.eu/plants/pesticides/eu-pesticides-database_en) [Accessed September 18, 2023]
- 679 FAAPA. (2021). Le secteur agricole contribue à 36 % dans la formation du PIB en RDC.
680 <http://www.faapa.info/blog/le-secteur-agricole-contribue-a-36-dans-la-formation-du-pib-en->
681 [rdc](http://www.faapa.info/blog/le-secteur-agricole-contribue-a-36-dans-la-formation-du-pib-en-) [Accessed January 20, 2021]
- 682 FAO. (2022). FAO employs innovative plant pest control solutions in Eastern Africa.
683 <https://reliefweb.int/report/burundi/fao-employs-innovative-plant-pest-control-solutions-eastern->
684 [africa](https://reliefweb.int/report/burundi/fao-employs-innovative-plant-pest-control-solutions-eastern-) [Accessed April 10, 2022]
- 685 FAO. (2023). En Afrique centrale, environ 42,7 millions de personnes sont en situation d'insécurité
686 alimentaire et nutritionnelle. <https://www.fao.org/africa/news/detail-news/fr/c/1273669/> [Accessed
687 June 20, 2023]
- 688 Farrow, A., Muthoni-Andriatsitohaina, R. (2020). Atlas for common bean production in Africa, 2nd
689 Edition. Nairobi : CIAT Publication
- 690 Fazle Rabbee, M., Baek, K.-H. (2020). Antimicrobial activities of lipopeptides and polyketides of
691 *Bacillus velezensis* for Agricultural Applications. *Molecules* 25, 4973. doi:
692 10.3390/molecules25214973
- 693 Feng, Y., Zhang, Y., Shah, O. U., Luo, K., and Chen, Y. (2023). Isolation and identification of
694 endophytic bacteria *Bacillus* sp. ME9 that exhibits biocontrol activity against *Xanthomonas phaseoli*
695 pv. *manihotis*. *Biology* 12, 1231. doi: 10.3390/biology12091231
- 696 Fira, D., Dimki, I., Beri, T., and Lozo, J. (2018). Biological control of plant pathogens by *Bacillus*
697 species. *Journal of Biotechnology* 285, 44–55. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2018.07.044

698 Flemming, H. C., Wingender, J., Szewzyk, U., Steinberg, P., Rice, S. A., and Kjelleberg, S. (2016).
 699 Biofilms: an emergent form of bacterial life. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 14, 563-575. doi:
 700 10.1038/nrmicro.2016.94

701 FPS Health (2023). Phytoweb | Plant protection and Fertilising Products.
 702 <https://fytoweb.be/en>[Accessed September 11, 2023]

703 Freitas, M. A., Medeiros, F. H. V., Melo, I. S., Pereira, P. F., Peñaflor, M. F. G. V., Bento, J. M. S., and
 704 Pará, P. W. (2019). Stem inoculation with bacterial strains *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (GB03) and
 705 *Microbacterium imperiale* (MAIIF2a) mitigates *Fusarium* root rot in cassava. *Phytoparasitica* 47,
 706 135–142. doi: 10.1007/s12600-018-0706-2

707 Gaberell, L., and Viret, G. (2022). Les géants de l'agrochimie gagnent des milliards grâce à des
 708 pesticides cancérigènes ou néfastes pour les abeilles.
 709 <https://www.publiceye.ch/fr/thematiques/pesticides/analyse-ventes-pesticides-2018>[Accessed
 710 November 23, 2022]

711 Gaidashova, S., Karemera, F., and Karamura, E. (2010). Agronomic performance of introduced banana
 712 varieties in lowlands of Rwanda. *African Crop Science Journal* 16, 9–16. doi:
 713 10.4314/acsj.v16i1.54321

714 Giorgio, A., Lo Cantore, P., Shanmugaiah, V., and Lamorte, D. (2016). Rhizobacteria isolated from
 715 common bean in southern Italy as potential biocontrol agents against common bacterial blight. *Eur.*
 716 *J. Plant Pathol.* 144, 297–309. doi: 10.1007/s10658-015-0767-8

717 Govender, V., Korsten, L., and Sivakumar, D. (2005). Semi-commercial evaluation of *Bacillus*
 718 *licheniformis* to control mango postharvest diseases in South Africa. *Postharvest Biology and*
 719 *Technology* 38, 57–65. doi: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2005.04.005

720 Grubbs, K. J., Bleich, R. M., Santa Maria, K. C., Allen, S. E., Farag, S., Shank, E. A., and Bowers, A.
 721 A. (2017). Large-scale bioinformatics Analysis of *Bacillus* genomes uncovers conserved roles of
 722 natural products in bacterial physiology. *MSystems* 2, 10-1128. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00040-17

723 GUCE. (2022). Types et quantités des pesticides importés en RDC.
 724 <https://invoice.segucerdcd.cd/invoice/Account/Login> [Accessed February 02,2021]

725 Guimarães Pacifico, M., Eckstein, B., and Bettiol, W. (2021). Screening of *Bacillus* for the development
 726 of bioprotectants for the control of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* and *Meloidogyne*
 727 *incognita*. *Biological Control* 164,104764. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2021.104764

728 Gutierrez-Monsalve, J. A., Mosquera, S., González-Jaramillo, L. M., Mira, J. J., and Villegas-Escobar,
 729 V. (2015). Effective control of black sigatoka disease using a microbial fungicide based on *Bacillus*
 730 *subtilis* EA-CB0015 culture. *Biological Control* 87, 39–46. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2015.04.012

731 Han, S., Chen, J., Zhao, Y., Cai, H., and Guo, C. (2021). *Bacillus subtilis* HSY21 can reduce soybean
 732 root rot and inhibit the expression of genes related to the pathogenicity of *Fusarium oxysporum*.
 733 *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* 178, 104916. doi: 10.1016/j.pestbp.2021.104916

734 Han, X., Shen, D., Xiong, Q., Bao, B., Zhang, W., Dai, T., Zhao, Y., Borriss, R., and Fan, B. (2021).
 735 The plant-beneficial rhizobacterium *Bacillus velezensis* FZB42 controls the soybean pathogen

736 *Phytophthora sojae* due to bacilysin production. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 87,
737 e01601-21. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01601-21

738 Harahagazwe, D., Ndayiragije, P., and Ntimpirangeza, M. (2007). Les maladies et ravageurs de
739 quelques cultures à racines et tubercules. ISABU.
740 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317175756_Pests_and_diseases_of_selected_root_and_tuber_crops_grown_in_Burundi_in_French
741 er_crops_grown_in_Burundi_in_French

742 Helepciuc, F. E., Todor, A. (2023). Making the best of research investment in pathogens control through
743 biocontrol. How is research correlated with agricultural microbial biological control product
744 availability? *PLoS Pathog.* 19: e1011071. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1011071

745 Huang, Y., Xu, C. K., Ma, L., Zhang, K. Q., Duan, C. Q., and Mo, M. H. (2010). Characterisation of
746 volatiles produced from *Bacillus megaterium* YFM3.25 and their nematocidal activity against
747 *Meloidogyne incognita*. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 126, 417–422. doi: 10.1007/s10658-
748 009-9550-z

749 Im, S. M., Yu, N. H., Won Joen, H., Kim, S. O., Park, H. W., Park, A. R., and Kim, J.-C. (2019).
750 Biological control of tomato bacterial wilt by oxydifficidin and difficidin-producing *Bacillus*
751 *methylophilus* DR-08. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* 163,130-137. doi:
752 10.1016/j.pestbp.2019.11.007

753 IPC. (2021). Analyse de la sécurité alimentaire aiguë et de la malnutrition aiguë de l'IPC en RDC.
754 https://www.ipcinfo.org/fileadmin/user_upload/ipcinfo/docs/IPC_DRC_Acute_FoodInsec_Malnutriti
755 on_2021Sept2022Aug_Report_French.pdf

756 Iqbal, S., Begum, F., Rabaan, A. A., Aljeldah, M., Al Shammari, B. R., Alawfi, A., Alshengeti, A.,
757 Sulaiman, T., and Khan, A. (2023). Classification and multifaceted potential of secondary
758 metabolites produced by *Bacillus subtilis* Group: A Comprehensive Review. *Molecules* 28, 927. doi:
759 10.3390/molecules28030927

760 Isabirye, B. E., Rwomushana, I. (2016). Current and future potential distribution of maize chlorotic
761 mottle virus and risk of maize lethal necrosis disease in Africa. *J. Crop Prot.* 2016, 215–228. doi:
762 10.18869/modares.jcp.5.2.215

763 Kai, M. (2020). Diversity and distribution of volatile secondary metabolites throughout *Bacillus subtilis*
764 Isolates. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:559. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00559

765 Kanyange, L., Kamau, J., Ombori, O., Ndayiragije, A., and Muthini, M. (2019). Genotyping for blast
766 (*Pyricularia oryzae*) resistance genes in F2 population of supra aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).
767 *International Journal of Genomics* 2019, 5246820. doi: 10.1155/2019/5246820

768 Kavatsurwa, S. M., Kiremire, B., Wasswa, J., and Mpiana, P. T. (2014). Dithiocarbamates residues level
769 in selected vegetables from Bukavu, Democratic Republic of Congo. *J. Phys. Chem. Sci.* 1, 1-7.
770 <https://scienceq.org/Journals/Jpcs.php>

771 Kharshandi, F., Kayang, H. (2023). Antagonistic potential of rhizobacterial isolates against fungal
772 pathogens causing rhizome rot in turmeric. *Arch. Microbiol.* 205, 221. doi: 10.1007/s00203-023-
773 03565-1

- 774 Kijana, R., Abang, M., Edema, R., Mukankusi, C., and Buruchara, R. (2017). Prevalence of angular leaf
775 spot disease and sources of resistance in common bean in eastern Democratic Republic of Congo.
776 *African Crop Science Journal* 25, 109-122. doi: 10.4314/acsj.v25i1.8
- 777 Korangi Alleluya, V., Argüelles Arias, A., Ribeiro, B., De Coninck, B., Helmus, C., Delaplace, P., and
778 Ongena, M. (2023). *Bacillus* lipopeptide-mediated biocontrol of peanut stem rot caused by *Athelia*
779 *rolfsii*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14:1069971. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1069971
- 780 Korangi Alleluya, V., Kubindana, G., Fingu-mabola, J. C., Sulu, A., Kasereka, G., Matamba, A., and
781 Ndindir, J. (2021). Utilisation des biopesticides pour une agriculture durable en République
782 Démocratique du Congo (Synthèse bibliographique). *Revue Africaine d'Environnement et*
783 *d'Agriculture* 2, 53–67. <https://www.rafea-congo.com>
- 784 Kulimushi, P. Z., Chuma Basime, G., Mushagalusa Nachigera, G., Thonart, P., and Ongena, M. (2018).
785 Efficacy of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* as biocontrol agent to fight fungal diseases of maize under
786 tropical climates: from lab to field assays in south Kivu. *Environ Sci. Pollut. Res.* 25, 29808–29821.
787 doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-9314-9
- 788 Kumar, V., Ahluwalia, V., Saran, S., Kumar, J., Patel, A. K., and Singhania, R. R. (2021). Recent
789 developments on solid-state fermentation for production of microbial secondary metabolites:
790 Challenges and solutions. *Bioresource Technology* 323, 124566. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124566
- 791 Lahlali, R., Ezrari, S., Radouane, N., Kenfaoui, J., Esmaeel, Q., El Hamss, H., Belabess, Z., and Barka,
792 E. A. (2022). Biological control of plant pathogens: A Global Perspective. *Microorganisms* 10, 596.
793 doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10030596
- 794 Lam, V. B., Meyer, T., Arias, A. A., Ongena, M., Oni, F. E., and Höfte, M. (2021). *Bacillus* cyclic
795 lipopeptides iturin and fengycin control rice blast caused by *Pyricularia oryzae* in potting and acid
796 sulfate soils by direct antagonism and induced systemic resistance. *Microorganisms* 9, 1441. doi:
797 10.3390/microorganisms9071441
- 798 Langa-Lomba, N., González-García, V., Venturini-Crespo, M. E., Casanova-Gascón, J., Barriuso-
799 Vargas, J. J., and Martín-Ramos, P. (2023). Comparison of the efficacy of *Trichoderma* and *Bacillus*
800 strains and commercial biocontrol products against grapevine *Botryosphaeria dieback* pathogens.
801 *Agronomy* 13, 533. doi: 10.3390/agronomy13020533
- 802 Le, K. D., Kim, J., Yu, N. H., Kim, B., Lee, C. W., and Kim, J. C. (2020). Biological control of tomato
803 bacterial wilt, Kimchi cabbage soft rot, and red pepper bacterial leaf spot Using *Paenibacillus elgii*
804 JCK-5075. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:775. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00775
- 805 Legg, J. P., Owor, B., Sseruwagi, P., and Ndunguru, J. (2006). Cassava mosaic virus disease in east and
806 central Africa: epidemiology and management of a regional pandemic. *Advances in Virus Research*
807 67, 355–418. doi: 10.1016/S0065-3527(06)67010-3
- 808 Lewis, K. A., Tzilivakis, J., Warner, D. J., and Green, A. (2016). An international database for pesticide
809 risk assessments and management. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment* 22, 1050–1064. doi:
810 10.1080/10807039.2015.1133242
- 811 Liboga, O. B., Litucha, B. J., Ngama, B. F., Balimo, I. F., and Kayawa, L. J. (2020). Comportement de
812 cinq variétés de riz pluvial (*Oryza sativa* L.) à la pyriculariose et la verse dans les conditions

- 813 naturelles à Kisangani, République Démocratique du Congo. *Journal of Applied Biosciences*
814 145,14965-1497. doi: 10.35759/JABs.v145.11
- 815 Liu, N., Xu, S., Yao, X., Zhang, G., Mao, W., Hu, Q., Feng, Z., and Gong, Y. (2016). Studies on the
816 control of *Ascochyta* blight in field peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) caused by *Ascochyta pinodes* in Zhejiang
817 province, China. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:481. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00481
- 818 Lokuruka, N. I. M. (2020). “Food and nutrition security in east Africa (Rwanda, Burundi and South
819 Sudan): status, challenges and prospects”. in Food Security In Africa, ed. Mahmoud, B., (London:
820 IntechOpen), 75–92. [https://www.intechopen.com/books/food-security-in-africa/food-and-nutrition-](https://www.intechopen.com/books/food-security-in-africa/food-and-nutrition-security-in-east-africa-rwanda-burundi-and-south-sudan-status-challenges-and-pros)
821 [security-in-east-africa-rwanda-burundi-and-south-sudan-status-challenges-and-pros](https://www.intechopen.com/books/food-security-in-africa/food-and-nutrition-security-in-east-africa-rwanda-burundi-and-south-sudan-status-challenges-and-pros).
- 822 Lukanda, M., Owati, A., Ogunsanya, P., Valimunzigha, K., Katsongo, K., Ndemere, H., and Lava
823 Kumar, P. (2014). First report of maize chlorotic mottle virus infecting maize in the Democratic
824 Republic of the Congo. *Plant Disease* 98, 1448. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-05-14-0484-PDN
- 825 Luna-Bulbarela, A., Tinoco-Valencia, R., Corzo, G., Kazuma, K., Konno, K., Galindo, E., and Serrano-
826 Carreón, L. (2018). Effects of bacillomycin D homologues produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*
827 83 on growth and viability of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* at different physiological stages.
828 *Biological Control* 127, 145-154. doi:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2018.08.004
- 829 Ma, X.-H., Shen, S., Li, W., and Wang, J. (2023). Bioherbicidal potential of *Bacillus altitudinis* D30202
830 on *Avena fatua* L.: a whole-genome sequencing analysis. *Journal of Applied Genetics* 64, 809–817.
831 doi: 10.1007/s13353-023-00788-2
- 832 Mahapatra, S., Chakraborty, S., Samanta, M., Das, S., Islam, T., Mahapatra, S., Chakraborty, S., Das, ·
833 S, Samanta, M., Krishi, H., Kendra, V., Chandra, B., Viswavidyalaya, K., and Islam, T. (2022).
834 “Current understanding and future directions of biocontrol of plant diseases by *Bacillus* spp., with
835 special reference to induced systemic resistance”, in Bacilli in Agrobiotechnology. Bacilli in Climate
836 Resilient Agriculture and Bioprospecting, eds. Islam, M.T., Rahman, M., and Pandey, P. (Cham,
837 Bavaria: Springer International Publishing), 127–150. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-85465-2_6
- 838 Mahmood, I., Imadi, S. R., Shazadi, K., Gul, A., and Hakeem, K. R. (2016). “Effects of pesticides on
839 Environment”, in Plant, Soil and Microbes, eds. Hakeem, R. K., Akhtar, S. M., and Abdullah, A. N.
840 S. (Cham, Bavaria: Springer International publishing), 253–269. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-27455-3_13
- 841 Mahuku, G., Lockhart, B. E., Wanjala, B., Jones, M. W., Kimunye, J. N., Stewart, L. R., Cassone, B. J.,
842 Sevgan, S., Nyasani, J. O., Kusia, E., Kumar, P. L., Niblett, C. L., Kiggundu, A., Asea, G., Pappu, H.
843 R., Wangai, A., Prasanna, B. M., and Redinbaugh, M. G. (2015). Maize lethal necrosis (MLN), an
844 emerging threat to maize-based food security in sub-saharan Africa. *Phytopathology* 105, 956–965.
845 doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-12-14-0367-FI
- 846 Maloney, E. M., Sykes, H., Morrissey, C., Peru, K. M., Headley, J. V, and Liber, K. (2020).
847 Environmental toxicology comparing the acute toxicity of imidacloprid with alternative systemic
848 insecticides in the aquatic insect *Chironomus dilutus*. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 39,
849 587–594. doi: 10.1002/etc.4639
- 850 Marcano, I. E., Díaz-Alcántara, C. A., Seco, V., Urbano, B., and González-Andrés, F. (2016). “Induced
851 systemic resistance could explain the reduction in the incidence of black sigatoka (*Mycosphaerella*
852 *fijiensis*) in banana plants inoculated with bacteria isolated from banana tree roots in the Dominican

853 Republic”, in Biological Nitrogen Fixation and Beneficial Plant-Microbe Interaction, eds. González-
854 Andrés, F., James, E. (Cham, Bavaria: Springer International Publishing), 155-170.
855 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-32528-6_14

856 Marrone, P. G. (2023). Mini-review status of the biopesticide market and prospects for new
857 bioherbicides. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* doi: 10.1002/ps.7403

858 Martins, S. J., Faria, A. F., Pedroso, M. P., Cunha, M. G., Rocha, M. R., and Medeiros, F. H. V. (2019).
859 Microbial volatiles organic compounds control anthracnose (*Colletotrichum lindemuthianum*) in
860 common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Biological Control* 131, 36–42. doi:
861 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2019.01.003

862 Maruthi, M. N., Jeremiah, S. C., Mohammed, I. U., and Legg, J. P. (2017). The role of the whitefly,
863 *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius), and farmer practices in the spread of cassava brown streak
864 ipomoviruses. *Journal of Phytopathology* 165, 707–717. doi: 10.1111/JPH.12609

865 Mazumdar, D., Saha, S. P., and Ghosh, S. (2020). Isolation, screening and application of a potent PGPR
866 for enhancing growth of chickpea as affected by nitrogen level. *International Journal of Vegetable*
867 *Science*, 26, 333–350. doi: 10.1080/19315260.2019.1632401

868 Miao, S., Liang, J., Xu, Y., Yu, G., and Shao, M. (2023). Bacillaene, sharp objects consist in the arsenal
869 of antibiotics produced by *Bacillus*. *Cellular Physiology* 1–15. doi: 10.1002/jcp.30974

870 Miljaković, D., Marinković, J., and Balešević-Tubić, S. (2020). The Significance of *Bacillus* spp. in
871 disease suppression and growth promotion of field and vegetable crops. *Microorganisms* 8, 1037.
872 doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8071037

873 MINAGRI-Burundi. (2018). Plan de Gestion des Pestes (PGP). [https://bi.chm-](https://bi.chm-cbd.net/sites/bi/files/2020-09/plan-gest-pestes-bi.pdf)
874 [cbd.net/sites/bi/files/2020-09/plan-gest-pestes-bi.pdf](https://bi.chm-cbd.net/sites/bi/files/2020-09/plan-gest-pestes-bi.pdf)

875 MINAGRI-RDC. (2018). Sécurité alimentaire, niveau de production agricole et Animale, évaluation de
876 la campagne agricole 2017-2018 et Bilan Alimentaire de la RD Congo.
877 [https://reliefweb.int/report/democratic-republic-congo/s-curit-alimentaire-niveau-de-production-](https://reliefweb.int/report/democratic-republic-congo/s-curit-alimentaire-niveau-de-production-agricole-et-animale)
878 [agricole-et-animale](https://reliefweb.int/report/democratic-republic-congo/s-curit-alimentaire-niveau-de-production-agricole-et-animale)

879 Minengu, J. D. D., Mwengi, I., and Maleke, M. (2018). Agriculture familiale dans les zones péri-
880 urbaines de Kinshasa : analyse, enjeux et perspectives (synthèse bibliographique). *Revue Africaine*
881 *d'Environnement et d'Agriculture* 1, 60–69. <https://www.rafea-congo.com>

882 Mirsam, H., Hary Kalqutny, S., Aqil, M., Azrai, M., Pakki, S., Muis, A., Djaenuddin, N., and Wahid
883 Rauf, A. (2021). Indigenous fungi from corn as a potential plant growth promoter and its role in
884 *Fusarium verticillioides* suppression on corn. *Heliyon* 7:e07926. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07926

885 Mordor intelligence, 2023. Africa biopesticides market-size, share, COVID-19 impact and forecasts up
886 to 2029. <https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/africa-biopesticides-market>[Accessed
887 December 02, 2023]

888 Mu, F., Chen, X., Fu, Z., Wang, X., Guo, J., Zhao, X., and Zhang, B. (2023). Genome and transcriptome
889 Analysis to elucidate the biocontrol mechanism of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* XJ5 against *Alternaria*
890 *solani*. *Microorganisms* 11,2055. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms11082055

- 891 Muhindo, H., Yasenge, S., Casinga, C., Songbo, M., Dhed'a, B., Alicai, T., Pita, J., and Monde, G.
 892 (2020). Incidence, severity and distribution of Cassava brown streak disease in northeastern
 893 Democratic Republic of Congo. *Cogent Food & Agriculture* 6, 1789422. doi:
 894 10.1080/23311932.2020.1789422
- 895 Mukwa, L. F. T., Muengula, M., Zinga, I., Kalonji, A., Iskra-Caruana, M. L., and Bragard, C. (2014).
 896 Occurrence and distribution of banana bunchy top virus related agro-ecosystem in South Western,
 897 Democratic Republic of Congo. *American Journal of Plant Sciences* 5, 647–658. doi:
 898 10.4236/ajps.2014.55079
- 899 Mukwa, L. F. T., Mukendi, J., Adakate, F. G., Bugeme, D. M., Kalonji-Mbuyi, A., and Ghimire, S.
 900 (2020). First report of the South American tomato pinworm *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera:
 901 *Gelechiidae*) and its damage in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *BioInvasions Records* 10, 33-44.
 902 doi: 10.1007/s12600-020-00841-4
- 903 Muleta, D., Assefa, F., and Granhall, U. (2007). *In vitro* antagonism of rhizobacteria isolated from
 904 *Coffea arabica* L. against emerging fungal coffee pathogens. *Engineering in Life Sciences* 7, 577–
 905 586. doi: 10.1002/elsc.200700004
- 906 Muliele, T. M., Manzenza, C. M., Ekuke, L. W., Diaka, C. P., Ndikubwayo, D. M., Kapalay, O. M., and
 907 Mundele, A. N. (2018). Utilisation et gestion des pesticides en cultures maraîchères : cas de la zone
 908 de Nkolo dans la province du Kongo Central, République Démocratique du Congo. *Journal of*
 909 *Applied Biosciences* 119, 11954. doi:10.4314/jab.v119i1.11
- 910 Munganyinka, E., Ateka, E. M., Kihurani, A. W., Kanyange, M. C., Tairo, F., Sseruwagi, P., and
 911 Ndunguru, J. (2018). Cassava brown streak disease in Rwanda, the associated viruses and disease
 912 phenotypes. *Plant Pathology* 67, 377–387. doi:10.1111/ppa.12789
- 913 Munyuli, T., Cihire, K., Rubabura, D., Mitima, K., Kalimba, Y., Tchombe, N., Mulangane, E. K.,
 914 Birhashwira, O., Umoja, M., Cinyabuguma, E., Mukadi, T. T., Ilunga, M. T., and Mukendi, R. T.
 915 (2017). Farmers' perceptions, believes, knowledge and management practices of potato pests in
 916 South-Kivu Province, eastern of Democratic Republic of Congo. *Open Agriculture* 2, 362-385.
 917 doi:10.1515/opag-2017-0040
- 918 Nabahungu, N. L., Visser, S. M. (2013). Farmers' knowledge and perception of agricultural wetland
 919 management in Rwanda. *Land Degradation and Development* 24, 363–374. doi:10.1002/ldr.1133
- 920 Ndayambaje, B., Amuguni, H., Coffin-Schmitt, J., Sibbo, N., Ntawubizi, M., and VanWormer, E. (2019).
 921 Pesticide application practices and knowledge among small-scale local rice growers and communities
 922 in Rwanda: A cross-sectional study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 16, 4770.
 923 doi:10.3390/ijerph16234770
- 924 Ndayihanzamaso, P., Mostert, D., Matthews, M. C., Mahuku, G., Jomanga, K., Mpanda, H. J., Mduma,
 925 H., Brown, A., Uwimana, B., Swennen, R., Tumuhimbise, R., and Viljoen, A. (2020). Evaluation of
 926 mchare and matooke bananas for resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. Sp. *cubense* race 1. *Plants* 9,
 927 1–15. doi:10.3390/plants9091082
- 928 Ndayihanzamaso, P., Niko, N., Niyongere, C., Bizimana, S., Nibasumba, A., Lepoint, P., Tinzaara, W.,
 929 Kaboneka, S., Sakayoya, E., Jogo, W., Mugiraneza, T., and Karamura, E. (2016). Distribution,

- 930 incidence and farmers knowledge of banana *Xanthomonas* wilt in Burundi. *African Journal of*
931 *Agricultural Research* 11, 3615-3621. doi:10.5897/AJAR2016.11210
- 932 Ndisanze, A. M., Kamana, E., Nirere, C., and Ilkay, K. (2022). Assessment of the pesticides utilization
933 and the pesticide residues presence in fresh and tomato products for the tomato supply chain in
934 Rwanda. *Food and Nutrition Sciences* 13, 963-972. doi: 10.4236/fns.2022.1312067
- 935 Ndungo, V., Fiaboe, K.K.M. and Mwangi, M. (2008). Banana *Xanthomonas* wilt in the DR Congo :
936 Impact , spread and management. *Journal of Applied Biosciences* 1, 1–7.
937 <https://www.biosciences.elewa.org>
- 938 Neuenschwander, P. (2001). Biological control of the cassava mealybug in Africa: A review. *Biological*
939 *Control* 21, 214–229. doi:10.1006/bcon.2001.0937
- 940 Ngalimat, M. S., Yahaya, R. S. R., Baharudin, M. M. A., Yaminudin, S. Mohd., Karim, M., Ahmad, S.
941 A., and Sabri, S. (2021). A Review on the biotechnological applications of the operational group
942 *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. *Microorganisms* 9,614. doi:10.3390/microorganisms9030614
- 943 Ngweme, G. N., Al Salah, D. M. M., Laffite, A., Sivalingam, P., Grandjean, D., Konde, J. N., Mulaji, C.
944 K., Breider, F., and Poté, J. (2021). Occurrence of organic micropollutants and human health risk
945 assessment based on consumption of *Amaranthus viridis*, Kinshasa in the Democratic Republic of the
946 Congo. *Science of the Total Environment* 754,142175. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142175
- 947 Ngweme, G. N., Mbela, G. K., Sikulisimwa, C. P., Kyela, C. M., and Komanda, J. A. (2019). Analyse
948 des connaissances, attitudes et pratiques des maraîchers de la ville de Kinshasa en rapport avec
949 l'utilisation des pesticides et l'impact sur la santé humaine et sur l'environnement. *Afrique SCIENCE*
950 15, 122-133. <https://www.afriquescience.net>
- 951 Niassy, S., Agbodzavu, M. K., Kimathi, E., Mutune, B., Abdel-Rahman, E. F. M., Salifu, D., Hailu, G.,
952 Belayneh, Y. T., Felege, E., Tonnang, H. E. Z., Ekesi, S., and Subramanian, S. (2021). Bioecology of
953 fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith), its management and potential patterns of
954 seasonal spread in Africa. *PLoS ONE* 16:e0249042.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0249042
- 955 Nihorimbere, V., Ongena, M., Cawoy, H., Brostaux, Y., Kakana, P., Jourdan, E., and Thonart, P.
956 (2010). Beneficial effects of *Bacillus subtilis* on field-grown tomato in Burundi: Reduction of local
957 *Fusarium* disease and growth promotion. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 4, 1135–1142.
958 <https://www.academicjournals.org/ajmr>
- 959 Nikolaidis, M., Hesketh, A., Mossialos, D., Iliopoulos, I., Oliver, S. G., and Amoutzias, G. D. (2022). A
960 Comparative analysis of the core proteomes within and among the *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus*
961 *cereus* evolutionary groups reveals the patterns of lineage- and species-specific adaptations.
962 *Microorganisms* 10, 1720. doi:10.3390/microorganisms10091720
- 963 Niyibizi, G. P., Gakuru, S. J. B., Rizinde, H. J. C., Munenwa, S. Armand, and Lwanzo, L. (2019). État
964 de lieux des caféières face à la menace d'*Antestiopsis orbitalis* dans le territoire de Kalehe, à l'Est de
965 la RD Congo. *Annales de l'UNIGOM* 10, 87-99.
966 <https://pugoma.com/index.php/UNIGOM/article/view/145>
- 967 Niyongere, C., Losenge, T., Ateka, E. M., Ntukamazina, N., Ndayiragije, P., Simbare, A., Cimpaye, P.,
968 Nintije, P., Lepoint, P., and Blomme, G. (2013). Understanding banana bunchy top disease

- 969 epidemiology in Burundi for an enhanced and integrated management approach. *Plant Pathology* 62,
970 562–570. doi: 10.1111/J.1365-3059.2012.02676.X
- 971 Niyongere, C., Mbonihankuye, C., Mutshail, G., and Yamuremye, A. (2015). Utilization of pesticides in
972 smallholder horticulture production pinpoint the need for cropping system changes in Burundi. *Acta*
973 *Hortic.* 1105, 213–220. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2015.1105.30
- 974 Nkuba, J., Tinzaara, W., Night, G., Niko, N., Jogo, W., Mukandala, L., Ndayihazamaso, P., Niyongere,
975 C., Gaidashova, S., Rwomushana, I., Opio, F., and Karamura, E. (2015). Adverse impact of banana
976 *Xanthomonas* Wilt on farmers' livelihoods in Eastern and Central Africa. *Afr. J. Plant Sci.* 9, 279–
977 286. doi: 10.5897/AJPS2015.1292
- 978 Nkurunziza, G., Ndayisenga, M., Ndayihanzamaso, P., Ndayiragije, P., and Niyongabo, D. (2012).
979 Techniques de culture , de protection et de conservation du maïs – Cas des variétés à pollinisation
980 libre, Manuel. ISABU. https://isabu.bi/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Manuel-de-formation_-Mais.pdf
- 981 Nyabyenda, P. (2005). Les Plantes cultivées en régions tropicales d'altitude d'Afrique: Généralités,
982 légumineuses alimentaires, Plantes à tubercules et racines, Céréales. Gembloux : Presses
983 Agronomiques de Gembloux.
- 984 Nyabyenda, P. (2006). Les plantes cultivées en régions tropicales d'altitude d'Afrique: Cultures
985 industrielles et d'exportation, cultures fruitières , cultures maraîchères. Gembloux : Presses
986 Agronomiques de Gembloux.
- 987 Okonya, J., Ocimati, W., Nduwayezu, A., Kantungeko, D., Niko, N., Blomme, G., Legg, J. P., and
988 Kroschel, J. (2019a). Farmer reported pest and disease impacts on root, tuber, and banana crops and
989 livelihoods in Rwanda and Burundi. *Sustainability* 11, 1592. doi: 10.3390/su11061592
- 990 Okonya, J., Petsakos, A., Suarez, V., Nduwayezu, A., Kantungeko, D., Blomme, G., Legg, J., &
991 Kroschel, J. (2019b). Pesticide use practices in root, tuber, and banana crops by smallholder farmers
992 in Rwanda and Burundi. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 16, 400. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16030400
- 993 Oni, F. E., Esmaeel, Q., Onyeka, J. T., Adeleke, R., Jacquard, C., Clement, C., Gross, H., Barka, E. A.,
994 and Höfte, M. (2022). *Pseudomonas* lipopeptide-mediated biocontrol: Chemotaxonomy and
995 biological activity. *Molecules* 27, 372. doi: 10.3390/molecules27020372
- 996 Padgham, J. L., Sikora, R. A. (2007). Biological control potential and modes of action of *Bacillus*
997 *megaterium* against *Meloidogyne graminicola* on rice. *Crop Protection* 26, 971–977. doi:
998 10.1016/j.cropro.2006.09.004
- 999 Paka, G. V., Mobambo, P. K., Omondi, B. A., and Staver, C. (2021). Evaluation de l'efficacité de la
1000 macro-propagation des cultivars de bananiers les plus préférés au Kongo Central, en RD Congo.
1001 *Afrique SCIENCE* 19, 76–88. <https://hdl.handle.net/10568/118143>
- 1002 Pandit, M. A., Kumar, J., Gulati, S., Bhandari, N., Mehta, P., Katyal, R., Rawat, C. D., Mishra, V., and
1003 Kaur, J. (2022). Major biological control strategies for plant pathogens. *Pathogens* 11, 273. doi:
1004 10.3390/pathogens11020273

- 1005 Pleban, S., Ingel, F., and Chet, I. (1995). Control of *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* in the
1006 greenhouse using endophytic *Bacillus* spp. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 101, 665–672. doi:
1007 10.1007/BF01874870
- 1008 Prasad, B., Sharma, D., Kumar, P., and Chandra Dubey, R. (2023). Biocontrol potential of *Bacillus* spp.
1009 for resilient and sustainable agricultural systems. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 128,
1010 102173. doi: 10.1016/j.pmpp.2023.102173
- 1011 Pršić, J., and Ongena, M. (2020). Elicitors of plant immunity triggered by beneficial bacteria. *Front.*
1012 *Plant Sci.* 11:594530. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.594530
- 1013 Puan, S. L., Erriah, P., Baharudin, M. M. A. adil, Yahaya, N. M., Kamil, W. N. I. W. A., Ali, M. S. M.,
1014 Ahmad, S. A., Oslan, S. N., Lim, S., and Sabri, S. (2023). Antimicrobial peptides from *Bacillus* spp.
1015 and strategies to enhance their yield. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 107, 5569–5593. doi:
1016 10.1007/s00253-023-12651-9
- 1017 Qiao, J., Zhang, R., Liu, Y., and Liu, Y. (2023). Evaluation of the biocontrol efficiency of *Bacillus*
1018 *subtilis* wettable powder on pepper root rot caused by *Fusarium solani*. *Pathogens* 12, 225. doi:
1019 10.3390/pathogens12020225
- 1020 Radhakrishnan, R., Hashem, A., and Abd Allah, E. F. (2017). *Bacillus*: A biological tool for crop
1021 improvement through bio-molecular changes in adverse environments. *Front. Physiol.* 8:667. doi:
1022 10.3389/fphys.2017.00667
- 1023 Ramalakshmi, V., Dash, L., Padhy, D., and Kumar, K.A. (2020). “Pest Control by Macroorganisms”,
1024 in Recent Trends in Insect Pest Management, eds. Raju, S.V.S., Sharma, K. R., (New Delhi: AkiNik
1025 Publications), 135–156
- 1026 Ramyabharathi, S., & Raguchander, T. (2014). Efficacy of secondary metabolites produced by *Bacillus*
1027 *subtilis* EPCO16 against tomato wilt pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici*. *J. Mycol. Plant*
1028 *Pathol.* 44,148-153. https://connectjournals.com/file_html_pdf/2062102H_148a.pdf
- 1029 Raut, S. P., Ranade, S. (2004). “Diseases of banana and their management”. in Diseases of Fruits and
1030 Vegetables, ed. Naqvi, S.A.M.H. (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers), 37–52
- 1031 Raveau, R., Fontaine, J., Lounès, A., and Sahraoui, H. (2020). Essential oils as potential alternative
1032 biocontrol products against plant pathogens and weeds: A review. *Foods* 9, 365. doi:
1033 10.3390/foods9030365
- 1034 Redinbaugh, M. G., and Stewart, L. R. (2018). Maize lethal necrosis: An emerging, synergistic viral
1035 disease. *Annual Review of Virology* 5, 301–322. doi: 10.1146/annurev-virology-092917-043413
- 1036 REMA. (2011). The national integrated pest Management (IPM) framework for Rwanda.
1037 https://www.rema.gov.rw/rema_doc/LVEMP/IPM_Latest%20Version-2.pdf
- 1038 Reyes-Estebanez, M., Sanmartin, P., Camacho-Chab, J. C., Susana, C., Chan-Bacab, M. J., Águila-
1039 Ramírez, R. N., Carrillo-Villanueva, F., De la Rosa-Escalante, E., Arteaga-Garma, J. L., Serrano, M.
1040 and Ortega-Morales, B. O. (2020). Characterization of a native *Bacillus velezensis*-like strain for the
1041 potential biocontrol of tropical fruit pathogens. *Biological Control* 141, 104127. doi:
1042 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2019.104127

- 1043 PND. (2018). Plan National de Développement du Burundi 2018-2027.
 1044 <https://www.presidence.gov.bi/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/PND-Burundi-2018-2027-Version->
 1045 [Finale.pdf](https://www.presidence.gov.bi/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/PND-Burundi-2018-2027-Version-Finale.pdf)
- 1046 Rietveld, A. M., Dusingizimana, P., Blomme, G., Gaidashova, S. V., Ocimati, W., and Ntamwira, J.
 1047 (2020). A superior technology to control Banana *Xanthomonas* Wilt (BXW) in Rwanda. RTB
 1048 Research Brief 03. <https://hdl.handle.net/10568/110019>
- 1049 Rodenburg, J., Demont, M., Zwart, S. J., and Bastiaans, L. (2016). Parasitic weed incidence and related
 1050 economic losses in rice in Africa. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 235, 306–317. doi:
 1051 10.1016/j.agee.2016.10.020
- 1052 Rodríguez, M., Torres, M., Blanco, L., Béjar, V., Sampedro, I., and Llamas, I. (2020). Plant growth-
 1053 promoting activity and quorum quenching-mediated biocontrol of bacterial phytopathogens by
 1054 *Pseudomonas segetis* strain P6. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 4121. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-61084-1
- 1055 Romero-Severson, J., Moran, T. E., Shrader, D. G., Fields, F. R., Pandey-Joshi, S., Thomas, C. L.,
 1056 Palmer, E. C., Shrout, J. D., Pfrender, M. E., and Lee, S. W. (2021). A seed-endophytic *Bacillus*
 1057 *safensis* strain with antimicrobial activity has genes for novel bacteriocin-like antimicrobial peptides.
 1058 *Front. Microbiol.* 12:734216. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.734216
- 1059 Roser, M. (2023). Employment in Agriculture. <https://ourworldindata.org/employment-in-agriculture>
 1060 [Accessed September 20, 2023]
- 1061 Runo, S., and Kuria, E. K. (2018). Habits of a highly successful cereal killer, *Striga*. *PLoS Pathogens*
 1062 14, e1006731. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006731
- 1063 Rutikanga, A. (2015). Pesticides use and regulations in Rwanda status and potential for promotion of
 1064 biological control methods. [master's thesis]. [Aberdeenshire, (Scotland)]: University of Aberdeen
- 1065 Saeed, Q., Xiukang, W., Haider, F. U., Kučerik, J., Mumtaz, M. Z., Holatko, J., Naseem, M., Kintl, A.,
 1066 Ejaz, M., Naveed, M., Brtnicky, M., and Mustafa, A. (2021). Rhizosphere bacteria in plant growth
 1067 promotion, biocontrol, and bioremediation of contaminated sites: A comprehensive review of effects
 1068 and mechanisms. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 10529. doi: 10.3390/ijms221910529
- 1069 Seth, K., Vyas, P., Deora, S., Gupta, A. K., Meena, M., Swapnil, P., and Harish (2023). “Understanding
 1070 plant-plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) interactions for inducing plant defense”, in
 1071 Plant-Microbe Interaction - Recent Advances in Molecular and Biochemical Approaches, eds.
 1072 Swapnil, P., Meena, M., Harish, Marwal, A., Vijayalakshmi, S., and Zehra, A. (London, UK:
 1073 Academic Press, Elsevier), 201-226. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-91876-3.00010-5.
- 1074 Sharma, K., Kreuze, J., Abdurahman, A., Parker, M., Nduwayezu, A., and Rukundo, P. (2020).
 1075 Molecular diversity and pathogenicity of *Ralstonia solanacearum* species complex associated with
 1076 bacterial wilt of potato in Rwanda. *Plant Disease* 105, 770-779. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-04-20-0851-RE
- 1077 Sheffield, F. M. L. (1957). Virus diseases of sweet potato in East Africa. I. Identification of the viruses
 1078 and their insect vectors. *Phytopathology* 47:582-590. <https://worldveg.tind.io/record/7663/>

- 1079 Shen, N., Li, S., Li, S. Y., Zhang, H., and Jiang, M. (2022). The siderophore-producing bacterium,
1080 *Bacillus siamensis* Gxun-6, has an antifungal activity against *Fusarium oxysporum* and promotes the
1081 growth of banana. *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control* 32, 34. doi: 10.1186/s41938-022-00533-7
- 1082 Shifa, H., Gopalakrishnan, C., and Velazhahan, R. (2018). Management of late leaf spot
1083 (*Phaeoisariopsis personata*) and root rot (*Macrophomina phaseolina*) diseases of groundnut (*Arachis*
1084 *hypogaea* L.) with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, systemic acquired resistance inducers and
1085 plant extracts. *Phytoparasitica* 46, 19–30. doi: 10.1007/s12600-018-0644-z
- 1086 Sikdar, R. and Elias, M. (2020). Quorum quenching enzymes and their effects on virulence, biofilm, and
1087 microbiomes: a review of recent advances. *Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy* 18, 1221–1233.
1088 doi: 10.1080/14787210.2020.1794815
- 1089 Singh, P., Singh, R. K., Zhou, Y., Wang, J., Jiang, Y., Shen, N., Wang, Y., Yang, L., and Jiang, M.
1090 (2022). Unlocking the strength of plant growth promoting *Pseudomonas* in improving crop
1091 productivity in normal and challenging environments: a review. *Journal of Plant Interactions* 17,
1092 220–238. doi: 10.1080/17429145.2022.2029963
- 1093 Stenberg, J. A. (2017). A conceptual framework for integrated pest management. *Trends in Plant*
1094 *Science* 22, 759–769. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2017.06.010
- 1095 Sumi, C. D., Yang, B. W., Yeo, I. C., and Hahm, Y. T. (2015). Antimicrobial peptides of the genus
1096 *Bacillus*: A new era for antibiotics. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 61, 93–103. doi: 10.1139/cjm-
1097 2014-0613
- 1098 Tchatchambe, J. N. B., Losimba, J. K., Kirongozi, F. B., Adheka, J. G., and Onautshu, D. O. (2019).
1099 Macro-propagation and Micro-propagation of BBTV-Free Plants in Kisangani , DR Congo. *Scholars*
1100 *Bulletin* 9771, 178–183. doi: 10.21276/sb.2019.5.5.1
- 1101 Thanh, K. Le, Yen, T. T. (2023). Isolation and selection of antagonistic bacteria against *Cercospora*
1102 *arachidicola* causing brown spot on peanut. *HAYATI Journal of Biosciences* 30, 927–936. doi:
1103 10.4308/hjb.30.5.927-936
- 1104 Théâtre, A., Hoste, A. C. R., Rigolet, A., Benneceur, I., Bechet, M., Ongena, M., Deleu, M., and
1105 Jacques, P. (2022). “*Bacillus* sp.: A remarkable source of bioactive lipopeptides”, in *Biosurfactants*
1106 for the biobased economy, eds. Hausmann, R., Henkel, M. (Cham, Bavaria: Springer International
1107 Publishing), 123–180
- 1108 Thresh, J. M., Cooter, R. J. (2005). Strategies for controlling cassava mosaic virus disease in Africa.
1109 *Plant Pathology* 54, 587–614. doi: 10.1111/J.1365-3059.2005.01282.X
- 1110 Tinivella, F., Hirata, L. M., Celan, M. A., Wright, S. A. I., Amein, T., Schmitt, A., Koch, E., Van Der
1111 Wolf, J. M., Groot, S. P. C., Stephan, D., Garibaldi, A. and Gullino, M. L (2009). Control of seed-
1112 borne pathogens on legumes by microbial and other alternative seed treatments. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*
1113 123, 139–151. doi: 10.1007/s10658-008-9349-3
- 1114 Ullah Kakar, K., Duan, Y., Nawaz, Z., Sun, G., Almoneafy, A. A., Auwal Hassan, M., Elshakh, A., Li,
1115 B., Xie, G.-L., Kakar, K. U., Almoneafy, A. A., Elshakh, A., Li, B., Xie, L., Duan, P., Nawaz, Z.,
1116 Sun, G., and Hassan C, M. A. (2014). A novel rhizobacterium Bk7 for biological control of brown

- 1117 sheath rot of rice caused by *Pseudomonas fuscovaginae* and its mode of action. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*
 1118 138, 819–834. doi: 10.1007/s10658-013-0356-7
- 1119 Uwamahoro, F., Berlin, A., Bucagu, C., Bylund, H., and Yuen, J. (2020). *Ralstonia solanacearum*
 1120 causing potato bacterial wilt: host range and cultivars' susceptibility in Rwanda. *Plant Pathology* 69,
 1121 559–568. doi: 10.1111/ppa.13140
- 1122 Vejan, P., Abdullah, R., Khadiran, T., Ismail, S., and Nasrulhaq Boyce, A. (2016). Role of plant growth
 1123 promoting rhizobacteria in agricultural sustainability-A review. *Molecules* 21, 573. doi:
 1124 10.3390/molecules21050573
- 1125 Wang, B., Yuan, J., Zhang, J., Shen, Z., Zhang, M., Li, R., Ruan, Y., and Shen, Q. (2013). Effects of
 1126 novel bioorganic fertilizer produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* W19 on antagonism of *Fusarium*
 1127 wilt of banana. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 49, 435–446. doi: 10.1007/s00374-012-0739-5
- 1128 Wang, H., Liu, R., You, M. P., Barbetti, M. J., and Chen, Y. (2021). Pathogen biocontrol using plant
 1129 growth-promoting bacteria (PGPR): role of bacterial diversity. *Microorganisms* 9, 1988. doi:
 1130 10.3390/microorganisms9091988
- 1131 Wang, X., Du, Z., Chen, C., Guo, S., Mao, Q., Wu, W., Wu, R., Han, W., Xie, P., Zeng, Y., Shan, W.,
 1132 Wang, Z., and Yu, X. (2023). Antifungal effects and biocontrol potential of lipopeptide-producing
 1133 *Streptomyces* against banana *Fusarium* wilt fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense*. *Front.*
 1134 *Microbiol.* 14:1177393. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1177393
- 1135 WFP. (2021). Burundi Annual Country Report. [https://docs.wfp.org/api/documents/WFP-](https://docs.wfp.org/api/documents/WFP-0000137887/download/[Accessed October 01, 2021])
 1136 0000137887/download/[Accessed October 01, 2021]
- 1137 Wipfler, L., ter Horst, M. (2018). Pesticide management in Rwanda analysis of the current pest control
 1138 products administration and management system. Wageningen Environmental Research report 2904.
 1139 <https://edepot.wur.nl/457874>
- 1140 Xiang, D., Yang, X., Liu, B., Chu, Y., Liu, S., and Li, C. (2023). Bio-priming of banana tissue culture
 1141 plantlets with endophytic *Bacillus velezensis* EB1 to improve *Fusarium* wilt resistance. *Front.*
 1142 *Microbiol.* 14:1146331. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1146331
- 1143 Xiang, N., Lawrence, K. S., Kloepper, J. W., Donald, P. A., McInroy, J. A., and Lawrence, G. W.
 1144 (2017). Biological control of *Meloidogyne incognita* by spore-forming plant growth-promoting
 1145 rhizobacteria on cotton. *Plant Disease* 101, 774–784. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-09-16-1369-RE
- 1146 Xu, W., Yang, Q., Yang, F., Xie, X., Goodwin, P. H., Deng, X., Tian, B., and Yang, L. (2022).
 1147 Evaluation and genome analysis of *Bacillus subtilis* YB-04 as a potential biocontrol agent against
 1148 *Fusarium* wilt and growth promotion agent of cucumber. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:885430. doi:
 1149 10.3389/fmicb.2022.885430
- 1150 Xue, Y., Zhang, Y., Huang, K., Wang, X., Xing, M., Xu, Q., and Guo, Y. (2023). A novel biocontrol
 1151 agent *Bacillus velezensis* K01 for management of gray mold caused by *Botrytis cinerea*. *AMB Expr.*
 1152 13, 91. doi: 10.1186/s13568-023-01596-x

- 1153 Yadav, R., Singh, S., and Singh, A. N. (2022). Biopesticides: Current status and future prospects. *Proc.*
 1154 *Int. Acad. Ecol. Environ.* 12, 211–233.
 1155 <https://www.iaees.org/publications/journals/piaees/online-version.asp>
- 1156 Ye, L., Wang, J. Y., Liu, X. F., Guan, Q., Dou, N. X., Li, J., Zhang, Q., Gao, Y. M., Wang, M., Li, J. S.,
 1157 and Zhou, B. (2022). Nematicidal activity of volatile organic compounds produced by *Bacillus*
 1158 *altitudinis* AMCC 1040 against *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Arch Microbiol.* 204, 521. doi:
 1159 10.1007/s00203-022-03024-3
- 1160 Yi, H. S., Ahn, Y. R., Song, G. C., Ghim, S. Y., Lee, S., Lee, G., and Ryu, C. M. (2016). Impact of a
 1161 bacterial volatile 2,3-butanediol on *Bacillus subtilis* rhizosphere robustness. *Front. Microbiol.* 7: 993.
 1162 doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00993
- 1163 Yin, Q. J., Ying, T. T., Zhou, Z. Y., Hu, G. A., Yang, C. L., Hua, Y., Wang, H., and Wei, B. (2023).
 1164 Species-specificity of the secondary biosynthetic potential in *Bacillus*. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1271418.
 1165 doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1271418
- 1166 Yu, X., Ai, C., Xin, L., and Zhou, G. (2011). The siderophore-producing bacterium, *Bacillus subtilis*
 1167 CAS15, has a biocontrol effect on *Fusarium* wilt and promotes the growth of pepper. *European*
 1168 *Journal of Soil Biology* 47, 138–145. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2010.11.001
- 1169 Yu, Y., Gui, Y., Li, Z., Jiang, C., Guo, J., and Niu, D. (2022). Induced systemic resistance for improving
 1170 plant immunity by beneficial microbes. *Plants* 11: 386. doi: 10.3390/plants11030386
- 1171 Yuan, J., Raza, W., Shen, Q., and Huang, Q. (2012). Antifungal activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*
 1172 NJN-6 volatile compounds against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense*. *Applied and Environmental*
 1173 *Microbiology* 78, 5942–5944. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01357-12
- 1174 Zhang, J., Huang, X., Hou, Y., Xia, X., Zhu, Z., Huang, A., Feng, S., Li, P., Shi, L., and Dong, P.
 1175 (2023a). Isolation and screening of antagonistic endophytes against *Phytophthora infestans* and
 1176 preliminary exploration on anti-oomycete mechanism of *Bacillus velezensis* 6-5. *Plants* 12, 909. doi:
 1177 10.3390/plants12040909
- 1178 Zhang, J., Huang, X., Yang, S., Huang, A., Ren, J., Luo, X., Feng, S., Li, P., Li, Z., and Dong, P.
 1179 (2023b). Endophytic *Bacillus subtilis* H17-16 effectively inhibits *Phytophthora infestans*, the
 1180 pathogen of potato late blight, and its potential application. *Pest Management Science* 79, 5073–5086.
 1181 doi:10.1002/ps.7717
- 1182 Zhang, N., Wang, Z., Shao, J., Xu, Z., Liu, Y., Xun, W., Miao, Y., Shen, Q., and Zhang, R. (2023).
 1183 Biocontrol mechanisms of *Bacillus*: Improving the efficiency of green agriculture. *Microbial*
 1184 *Biotechnology* 16, 2250–2263. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.14348
- 1185 Zhao, P., Quan, C., Wang, Y., Wang, J., and Fan, S. (2014). *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* Q-426 as a
 1186 potential biocontrol agent against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *spinaciae*. *J. Basic Microbiol.*
 1187 54(5):448–456. doi: 10.1002/jobm.201200414
- 1188 Zhu, L., Huang, J., Lu, X., and Zhou, C. (2022). Development of plant systemic resistance by beneficial
 1189 rhizobacteria: Recognition, initiation, elicitation and regulation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:1118073. doi:
 1190 10.3389/fpls.2022.1118073

In review

1192 **Table 1. Microbial pathogens affecting crop production in the GLCCA region and chemical**
 1193 **pesticides used for their control**

Pathogens and pests	Species	Host plant	Impact ^a	Pesticides active ingredients	References
Bacteria	<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv. <i>musacearum</i>	Banana (<i>Musa</i> spp.)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006; Ndungu, et al., 2015; Ndayihanzamaso et al., 2016; Rietveld et al., 2020)
	<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>phaseolicola</i> , <i>P. syringae</i> pv. <i>syringae</i>	Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>)	***	Streptomycin sulphate	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
	<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv. <i>phaseoli</i>		***	Streptomycin sulphate	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
	<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv. <i>manihotis</i>	Cassava (<i>Manihot esculenta</i>)	NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
	<i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>	Pepper (Chilli and sweet) (<i>Capsicum</i> sp.)	NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006; Minengu et al., 2018)
	<i>Pseudomonas solanacearum</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
	<i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>	Potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>)	NI	NI	(Harahagazwe et al., 2007; Munyuli et al., 2017; Okonya et al., 2019a; Sharma et al., 2020)
	<i>Pseudomonas fuscovaginae</i>	Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>)	***	Formol	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
	<i>Clavibacter xyli</i>		***	Methoxy-ethyl, mercury chloride	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Xanthomonas vasculorum</i>	Sugar cane (<i>Saccharum officinarum</i>)	***	Methoxy-ethyl, mercury chloride	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Xanthomonas albilineans</i>		*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>	Tomato	***	Formol	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Pseudomonas solanacearum</i>	(<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Corynebacterium michiganense</i>		***		(Nyabyenda, 2006)
Fungi	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> var f. sp. <i>cubense</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006; Ndayihanzamaso et al., 2020)
	<i>Armillaria mellea</i> , <i>Cordana musae</i>		*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Cladosporium musae</i>	Banana	*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Gloeosporium musarum</i>	(<i>Musa</i> spp.)	*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Helminthosporium toluosum</i>		*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Mycosphaerella musicola</i>		*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Stachylidium theobrome</i>		*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Ascochyta phaseolarum</i>	Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>)	***	Mancozeb, benomyl, benlate	(Nyabyenda, 2005)

<i>Colletotrichum lindemuthianum</i>		***	Benomyl, mancozeb, thiophanate- methyl, benlate	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Fusarium solani</i> f. sp. <i>pv phaseoli</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Mycovellosiella phaseoli</i>		***	Benomyl, mancozeb, thiophanate- methyl, benlate	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Pseudocercospora griseola</i>		***	Benomyl, thiophanate- methyl, mancozeb	(Busogoro et al., 1999; Nyabyenda, 2005; Kijana et al., 2017; Farrow and Muthoni-Andriatsitohaina, 2020)
<i>Pythium</i> spp.		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Thielaviopsis basicola</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Uromyces appendiculatus</i>		***	Mancozeb, benlate	(Nyabyenda, 2005; Farrow and Muthoni- Andriatsitohaina, 2020)
<i>Alternaria</i> spp.	Cabbage (<i>Brassica</i> spp.)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Peronospora</i> spp.		***	Mancozeb	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Sclerotinia</i> spp.	Carrot (<i>Daucus carota</i>)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Cercospora hemingsii</i>	Cassava	NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Glomerella manihotis</i>	(<i>Manihot esculenta</i>)	NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Hemileia vastatrix</i>		***	Copper oxychloride 50%, dithianon, triadimefon	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Ascochyta</i> sp., <i>Phoma</i> sp.		NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006; MINAGRI-Burundi, 2018)
<i>Cercospora coffeicola</i>	Coffea (<i>Coffea</i> spp.)	NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006; MINAGRI-Burundi, 2018)
<i>Colletotrichum coffeanum</i>		NI	Copper oxychloride 50%, dithianon, captafol, chlorotalonil	(Nyabyenda, 2006; MINAGRI-Burundi, 2018)
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>		NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006; MINAGRI-Burundi, 2018;)
<i>Golovinomyces</i> spp.	Eggplant (<i>Solanum melongena</i>)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Leveillula</i> spp.,		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Peronospora</i> spp.		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Exserohilum turcicum</i> , <i>Helminthosporium. maydis</i>	Maize (<i>Zea mays</i>)	**	Thiram, thioral (thiram + mancozeb), benomyl	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Puccinia polysora</i>		*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)

<i>Ustilago zaeae</i> , <i>Sphacelotheca reilina</i>		*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Puccinia</i> sp.	Morella (<i>Solanum aethiopicum</i>)	NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Alternaria</i> spp.		***	Maneb, mancozeb	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Peronospora</i> spp.	Onion (<i>Allium cepa</i>)	***	Maneb, mancozeb	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Puccinia</i> spp.		***	Maneb, mancozeb	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Nothopassalora personata</i> (Syn. <i>Cercospora personata</i>), <i>Cercospora arachidicola</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>	Peanut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>)	**	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Puccinia arachidis</i>		**	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>		**	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Colletotrichum nigrum</i> , <i>C. capsici</i>	Pepper (Chilli and sweet) (<i>Capsicum</i> sp.)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	Potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005; Harahagazwe et al., 2007)
<i>Pyricularia oryzae</i>		***	Benomyl, thiram, iprobenfos, isoprothiolane	(REMA, 2011; Nabahungu and Visser, 2013; Kanyange et al., 2019; Liboga et al., 2020)
<i>Cercospora oryzae</i>		**	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Pythium bebaryanum</i>		**	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>)	**	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>		**	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Gerlachia oryzae</i>		NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Helminthosporium oryzae</i>		NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Sarocladium oryzae</i>		NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Peronosclerospora sorghii</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Colletotrichum graminicola</i>		NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Exserohilum turcicum</i>	Sorghum (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i>)	NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Puccinia purpurea</i>		NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Sphacelotheca cruenta</i> , <i>S. reiliana</i> , <i>S. sorghi</i>		NI	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
<i>Puccinia</i> sp.	Spinach (<i>Basella alba</i>)	NI	Mancozeb	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Colletotrichum falactum</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	Sugar cane (<i>Saccharum officinarum</i>)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Ustilago scitaminea</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Puccinia melanocephala</i>		*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Sclerospora sacchari</i>		*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
<i>Alternaria solani</i>	Sweet potato	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)

		(<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>)			
	<i>Armillaria mellea</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Rosellinia arcuate</i>		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Colletotrichum camelliae</i>	Tea	*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Corticium salmonicolor</i>	(<i>Camellia sinensis</i>)	*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Pestalotiopsis theae</i>		*	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp.pv <i>lycopersici</i>	Tomato	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Cladosporium fulvum</i>	(<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Alternaria solani</i>		***	Mancozeb, metalaxyl	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
Oomyceta	<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>	Potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>)	***	Mancozeb and metalaxyl	(Biruma et al., 2007; Harahagazwe et al., 2007; Bararyenya et al., 2018; Okonya et al., 2019a)
	<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>	Tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>)	***	Mancozeb and metalaxyl	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
	<i>Pythium</i> spp.		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2006)
Virus (and vector)	African cassava mosaic virus “ACMV”, East African cassava mosaic virus “EACMV”, East African cassava mosaic virus-Uganda “EACMV- UG” (Vector: <i>Bemisia tabaci</i>)	Cassava (<i>Manihot esculenta</i>)	***	NI	(Bigirimana et al., 2004, 2011; Nyabyenda, 2005; Thresh and Cooter, 2005)
	Cassava brown streak virus “CBSV”, Ugandan cassava brown streak virus “UCBSV”, Cassava root necrosis disease “CRND” (Vector: <i>Bemisia tabaci</i>)		***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005; Bigirimana et al., 2011; Maruthi et al., 2017; Munganyinka et al., 2018; Okonya et al., 2019a; Muhindo et al., 2020;)
	Banana bunchy top virus “BBTV” (Vector: <i>Pentalonia nigronervosa</i>)	Banana (<i>Musa</i> spp.)	***	NI	(Gaidashova et al., 2010; Niyongere et al., 2013; Boloy et al., 2014; Mukwa et al., 2014; Okonya et al., 2019; Raut and Ranade, 2004)
	Sweet potato chlorotic stunt virus “SPCSV” (Vector: <i>Bemisia tabaci</i>), Sweet potato feathery mottle virus “SPFMV” (Vector: <i>Myzus persicae</i> and <i>Aphis gossypii</i>)	Sweet potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005; Sheffield, 1957)
	Bean common mosaic virus “BCMV” (Vector: Aphid)	Bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>)	***	Dimethoate	(Nyabyenda, 2005 ;)
	Virus A, Virus X, Virus S, Virus Y (Vector: Aphid)	Potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)
	Groundnut rosette virus “GRV” (Vector: <i>Aphis craccivora</i>)	Peanut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>)	***	NI	(Nyabyenda, 2005)

Maize chlorotic mottle virus
“MCMV”

(Vectors: Thrips, root worms and
leaf beetles), Maize streak virus
“MSV”

Maize

NI

(Nyabyenda, 2005; Adams
et al., 2014; Lukanda et al.,
2014; Mahuku et al., 2015;
Isabirye and Rwomushana,
2016; Redinbaugh and
Stewart, 2018; Casinga et
al., 2021)

(Vector: *Cicadulina* spp.)

(*Zea mays*)

Maize lethal necrosis “MLN”

(Maize chlorotic mottle virus and
Sugarcane mosaic virus)

Pepper mild mottle virus

Pepper (Chilli and
sweet) (*Capsicum*
spp.)

NI

(Nyabyenda, 2006)

(Vector: Thrips)

In review

1194 **Table 2. Status of some EU banned chemical pesticides in GLCCA**

1195

Class of pesticides	Active ingredients banned in EU	Chemical family	Regulation status		
			Burundi	DRC	Rwanda
Insecticides	Acephate	Organophosphorus	R	NI	NI
	Benfuracarb	Carbamates	R	NI	NI
	Carbosulfan	Carbamates	R	NI	NI
	Chlorfenapyr	Pyrroles	NI	NI	R
	Chlorpyrifos	Organophosphorus	R	R	R
	Cyfluthrin	Pyrethroids	R	NI	NI
	Diafenthiuron	Thioureas	NI	NI	R
	Diazinon	Organophosphorus	R	B	B
	Dichlorvos	Organophosphorus	R	BU	B
	Dimethoate	Organophosphorus	R	R	B
	Endosulfan	Organochlorines	B	BU	B
	Fenbutatin oxide	Organometallics	NI	NI	R
	Fenitrothion	Organophosphorus	R	R	NI
	Fenthion	Organophosphorus	R	NI	B
	Fenvalerate	Pyrethroids	R	NI	NI
	Fipronil	Phenylpyrazole	NI	R	R
	Flufenoxuron	Benzoylureas	NI	NI	R
	Imidacloprid	Neonicotinoid	R	R	R
	Isoxathion	Organophosphorus	R	NI	NI
	Omethoate	Organophosphorus	R	NI	NI
	Oxydemeton-methyl	Organophosphorus	R	NI	NI
	Permethrin	Pyrethroids	R	NI	R
	Profenofos	Organophosphorus	R	NI	R
	Pirimiphos-methyl	Organophosphorus	R	NI	NI
	Pymetrozine	Triazine	NI	NI	R
	Tetradifon	Diphenyl	NI	NI	R
	Tetramethrin	Pyrethroids	NI	R	NI
	Thiacloprid	Neonicotinoid	NI	NI	R
	Tralomethrin	Pyrethroids	R	NI	NI
	Triazophos	Organophosphorus	R	NI	NI
Herbicides	Atrazine	Triazine	R	NI	B
	Dalapon	Organochlorines	R	NI	R
	Hexazinone	Triazine	R	NI	NI

	Linuron	Methylureas	NI	NI	R
	Oxadiazon	Oxadiazole	NI	R	NI
	Paraquat	Pyridine	R	BU	B
	Propanil	Anilide	NI	NI	R
	Terbutryn	Triazine	NI	NI	R
	Benomyl	Carbamates	R	NI	R
	Bitertanol	Triazole	NI	NI	R
	Carbendazim	Carbamates	NI	BU	R
	Chlorothalonil	Organochlorines	R	BU	R
	Cyproconazole	Triazole	NI	NI	R
	Epoxiconazole	Triazole	NI	NI	R
	Fenamidone	Imidazolinone	NI	NI	R
Fungicides	Fenarimol	Pyrimidine	NI	NI	R
	Iprobenfos	Organophosphorus	R	NI	NI
	Iprodione	Dicarboximides	R	NI	R
	Mancozeb	Thiocarbamates	R	R	R
	Pencycuron	Phenylureas	NI	NI	R
	Propineb	Carbamates	NI	NI	R
	Triadimenol	Triazole	NI	R	NI
	Thiram	Thiocarbamate	R	R	R
	Bromadiolone	Coumarin	NI	R	NI
	Brodifacoum	Coumarin	R	BU	R
Rodenticides	Chlorophacinone	Indandione	R	NI	NI
	Coumatetralyl	Coumarin	R	NI	R
	Diphacinone	Indandione	R	NI	R
Insecticide-nematicides	Carbofuran	Carbamates	R	NI	R

1196

1197 **Table 3. Some global success stories of *Bacillus* isolates (*subtilis* group) in biocontrol of**
 1198 **important crop pathogens reported in the GLCCA**

<i>Bacillus</i> strains	Plant	Pathogen	Mechanism involved	Experiment condition	Biocontrol potential	References
<i>B. velezensis</i> NJN-6	Banana	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f.sp. <i>cubense</i>	Antibiosis (VOCs)	<i>In vitro</i>	30-40% of <i>Foc</i> inhibition compared to control	(Yuan et al., 2012)
<i>B. velezensis</i> EB1			Antibiosis and ISR	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	75.43% Inhibition rate	(Xiang et al., 2023)
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> W19			Antibiosis(CLPs and VOCs)	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	21% Inhibition rate	(Wang et al., 2013)
<i>B. siamensis</i> Gxun-6		<i>Mycosphaerella fijiensis</i>	Antibiosis (probably), ISR(probably)	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	>68.8% Inhibition rate; >88.26% Biocontrol efficacy	(Shen et al., 2022)
<i>B. pumilus</i> CCIBP-C5			Antibiosis	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	45% Inhibition rate; 33.6% Biocontrol efficacy	(Di Francesco et al., 2017)
<i>B. licheniformis</i> , <i>B. siamensis</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> subsp. <i>Inaquesorum</i>			ISR	<i>In greenhouse</i>	ns	(Marcano et al., 2016)
<i>B. velezensis</i> QST713	Bean	<i>Xanthomonas axonopodis</i> pv. <i>phaseoli</i>	Antibiosis (PKs)	<i>In vivo</i>	52.26% Disease incidence reduction	(Belete et al., 2021)
<i>B. pumilus</i>		<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>	ns	<i>In greenhouse</i>	26% Disease incidence reduction	(Pleban et al., 1995)
<i>B. velezensis</i> MBI600, <i>B. velezensis</i> FZB42, <i>B. velezensis</i> QST713		<i>Colletotrichum lindemuthianum</i>	ISR (probably)	<i>In greenhouse</i>	21.9% ,15.2% , 10.9% Diseased plants respectively	(Tinivella et al., 2009)
<i>B. velezensis</i> GBO3	Cassava	<i>Fusarium solani</i>	Antibiosis	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	Approx. 10mm inhibition zone; 0% Disease incidence (asymptomatic plants)	(Freitas et al., 2019)
<i>B. subtilis</i> ME9		<i>Xanthomonas phaseoli</i> pv. <i>Manihotis</i>	Antibiosis	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	Approx. 14mm inhibition zone diameter	(Feng et al., 2023)
<i>B. subtilis</i> AUBB20	Coffea	<i>Fusarium xylarioides</i>	Antibiosis (lytic enzymes)	<i>In vitro</i>	Approx. 30-70 mm inhibition zone	(Muleta et al., 2007)
<i>B. pumilus</i>	Cotton	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	ns	<i>In greenhouse</i>	56% Disease incidence reduction	(Pleban et al., 1995)
<i>B. velezensis</i> SQR9	Cucumber	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f.sp. <i>Cucumerinum</i>	Competition	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	49-61% Disease reduction compared to control	(Cao et al., 2011)
<i>B. subtilis</i> YB-04			Antibiosis (lytic enzymes), Competition for nutrients (siderophore)	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	>90% Control efficacy	(Xu et al., 2022)
<i>B. subtilis</i> TM4	Maize	<i>Fusarium verticilloides</i>	ns	<i>In field</i>	<9% Disease incidence	(Mirsam et al., 2021)
<i>B. licheniformis</i>	Mango	<i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i>	Antibiosis	<i>In vivo</i> (on harvested)	30-40% Disease incidence	(Govender et al., 2005)

		<i>Botryosphaeria</i> <i>spp.</i>		fruits)		
<i>B. safensis</i> C3	Mungo bean	<i>Xanthomonas axonopodis</i> <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i>	Antibiosis (Bacteriocin-like antimicrobial peptides)	<i>In vitro</i>	ns	(Romero-Severson et al., 2021)
<i>B. subtilis</i> BsW4, Bs76, <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> Ba100	Pea	<i>Ascochyta pinodes</i>	Antibiosis (CLPs)	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse and in field</i>	>65% Biocontrol efficacy	(Liu et al., 2016)
<i>B. velezensis</i> TN-TB4, <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> TN-TB6		<i>Cercospora arachidicola</i>	Antibiosis	<i>In vitro</i>	>55% Inhibition rate	(Thanh & Yen, 2023)
<i>B. subtilis</i> G1	Peanut	<i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>	Antibiosis and ISR (hypothesis)	<i>In greenhouse, in field</i>	15-20% Disease incidence	(Shifa et al., 2018)
<i>B. velezensis</i> LHSB1		<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>	Antibiosis (CLPs)	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	93.8% Inhibition rate; 62.6–70.8% Biocontrol efficacy	(Chen L. et al., 2019)
<i>B. velezensis</i> 6-5	Potato	<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>	Antibiosis (lytic enzymes)	<i>In vitro</i>	>90% Inhibition rate	(Zhang J. et al., 2023a)
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> Bk7	Rice	<i>Pseudomonas fuscovaginae</i>	Antibiosis	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	93% Inhibition rate; 76.6%	(Ullah Kakar et al., 2014)
<i>B. subtilis</i> PTS-394	Pepper	<i>Fusarium solani</i>	Antibiosis (CLPs) and ISR	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse and in field</i>	69,63% Biocontrol efficacy; 74,43% Biocontrol efficacy	(Qiao et al., 2023)
<i>B. subtilis</i> 168		<i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>	ISR (VOCs)	<i>In vitro</i>	ns	(Yi et al., 2016)
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> HSY21	Soybean	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	ns	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse and in field</i>	81.30 % Inhibition rate; 63.83% control effects; 57.07% control effects	(Han S. et al., 2021)
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> Q-426	Spinach	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f.sp. <i>spinaciae</i>	Antibiosis (CLPs)	<i>In vitro</i>	Approx. 28 mm inhibition zone diameter	(Zhao et al., 2014)
<i>B. subtilis</i> CAS15	Sweet pepper	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f.sp. <i>capsici</i>	Competition for nutrients and ISR	<i>In greenhouse</i>	Reduction of the disease incidence by 12.5 to 56.9%	(Yu et al., 2011)
<i>B. megaterium</i> TRS-4	Tea	<i>Fomes lamaoensis</i> , <i>Sphaerostilbe repens</i> , <i>Poria hypobrumea</i> , <i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>	Antibiosis and ISR	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	55–84% Inhibition rate	(Chakraborty et al., 2006)
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> XJ5		<i>Alternaria solani</i>	Antibiosis (CLPs, lytic enzymes)	<i>In vitro</i>	82.5% Inhibition rate	(Mu et al., 2023)
<i>B. velezensis</i> K01		<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	Antibiosis (CLPs, lytic enzymes)	<i>In vitro, in vivo</i> (on detached leaves and harvested fruits)	84.1% Inhibition rate, >80% Disease reduction, >78% Disease reduction	(Xue et al., 2023)
<i>B. subtilis</i> EPC016	Tomato	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f.sp. <i>lycopersici</i>	Antibiosis (VOCs, lytic enzymes), Competition for nutrients (siderophore)	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	46.04% to 60.78% Inhibition rate	(Ramyabharathi and Raguchander, 2014)
<i>B. velezensis</i> UQ9000N		<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f.sp. <i>lycopersici</i> , <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i>	Antibiosis	<i>In vitro</i>	52 % to 56% inhibition rate	(Arkhipov et al., 2023)
<i>B. velezensis</i> FJAT-46737		<i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>	Antibiosis	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	Approx. 82.0% Biocontrol efficiency	(Chen et al., 2020))

<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> FJAT- 2349		Antibiosis (CLPs)	<i>In greenhouse</i>	97.6% Biocontrol efficiency	(Chen M. et al., 2019)
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> PKM16		<i>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</i>	Antibiosis	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	40.27% Inhibition rate (Do Prado Mattos et al., 2023)
<i>B. subtilis</i> IJ10	Turmeric	<i>Fusarium solani, Pythium aphanidermatum</i>	Antibiosis (VOCs, lytic enzymes)	<i>In vitro, in greenhouse</i>	>45% Inhibition rate; >60% Biocontrol efficacy (Kharshandi and Kayang, 2023)

ns : not specified

In review