

Assessing Pesticide Leaching at the Regional Scale:

A Case Study for Atrazine in the Dyle Catchment

Bertrand Leterme

*Thèse présentée en vue de l'obtention
du grade de Docteur en Sciences*

Université catholique de Louvain

Louvain-la-Neuve, Décembre 2006

Acknowledgments

This thesis was funded by the *Fonds Spécial de Recherche* (FSR - Université catholique de Louvain; October 2002 - September 2003) and by the *Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique* (FNRS - Belgium; Research Fellow from October 2003). A part of this work was realised at the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM - The Netherlands). I am grateful to Dr. A.M. Breure for his permission to work in the Laboratory for Ecological Risk Assessment. I also appreciate the delivering of data on groundwater quality by the *Direction générale des Ressources naturelles et de l'Environnement* (DGRNE) and the *Vlaamse Maatschappij voor Watervoorziening* (VMW).

My foremost thanks go to my thesis supervisors Mark Rounsevell and Marnik Vanclooster, who gave me the opportunity to work on this dissertation. First, I would like to thank Mark for his constant and friendly support, and for his numerous comments and corrections throughout the last four years. I would like to thank Marnik for his support and positive attitude, as well as for showing me the implications of my work. Furthermore, I thank him for introducing me into the ‘pesticide leaching community’ from the very first day of my thesis.

I would also like to thank Aaldrik Tiktak and Ton van der Linden for their important participation in the work presented here. Our collaboration was very fruitful and this was largely due to their profound knowledge of GeoPEARL as well as their kind offer to stay at the RIVM. They gave me new insights on the issues treated in this dissertation and though I am afraid that my Dutch has not improved, I learned a lot during my stay there. Mostly, I would like to thank Ton not only for the days we spent working together, but also for the numerous e-mails we exchanged to implement and

process the GeoPEARL Monte Carlo simulations.

Je tiens à remercier Patrick Bogaert, qui a fait preuve d’une constante disponibilité pour m’aider à comprendre et résoudre beaucoup de problèmes (géo)statistiques. Ses suggestions et commentaires toujours pertinents ont pris une valeur inestimable dans la réalisation de cette thèse.

Merci à Bas van Wesemael pour son apport précieux concernant le traitement des données pédologiques. Plus généralement, son aide toujours spontanée et son intérêt marqué pour les thèmes abordés dans ce travail furent très appréciés. Merci aussi à Dominique Peeters pour ses encouragements et son accord d’être membre du jury, malgré un sujet assez éloigné de son domaine de prédilection.

J’aimerais également remercier l’ensemble de mes collègues et du personnel des unités de Géographie et de Génie Rural. Impossible de les citer tous après huit ans passés ici, mais je tiens particulièrement à remercier—pour leur aide experte ou tout simplement pour leur soutien—Nico, Didrik, Juan, Claude, Antoine, David, Olivier, Anne-Christelle, Elisabeth, Laurent, Esther, Marijn, Mathieu, Sébastien, Isabelle, Pierre, Caroline.

Enfin, je voudrais bien sûr remercier mes parents, mes frères et ma soeur, ainsi que ma (belle-)famille toute entière. Ils n’en ont pas écrit une seule ligne, mais cette thèse n’aurait jamais pu voir le jour sans leur soutien inconditionnel. Merci à Aldo, Aline, Audrey, Cédric, Charles, Dona, Eve-Laure, François, Gaëlle, Gaëtan, Jean-Mikes, Julien, Manu, Olivier, Pierre, Stéphanie, Tommeke et tous les autres pour leur amitié et leurs encouragements. J’ai gardé la meilleure pour la fin: merci à toi Sab pour ton amour et ta confiance, sans oublier évidemment ton ‘oui’ du 2 septembre 2006 !

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	General introduction	1
1.2	Problem statement	3
1.3	Research objectives	5
1.4	Outline of the thesis	7
2	Groundwater Vulnerability and Pesticide Leaching Modelling: A Literature Review	9
2.1	Groundwater vulnerability	9
2.1.1	Definition	9
2.1.2	Factors affecting groundwater vulnerability to contamination by pesticides	12
2.1.3	Vulnerability assessment methods	16
2.2	Process-based models of pesticide leaching	20
2.2.1	Modelling approaches	20
2.2.2	Processes governing the environmental fate of pesticides	21
2.2.3	Validation of pesticide leaching models	26
2.2.4	Uncertainty in pesticide leaching modelling	27
2.2.4.1	Sources of uncertainty	27
2.2.4.2	Methods for propagating uncertainty	31
2.3	Pesticide leaching models at the catchment/ regional scale . .	34
2.3.1	Problems of scale	34
2.3.2	Spatial variability of pesticide and soil properties . . .	38
2.3.3	Second-order Monte Carlo analysis	42
2.4	Conclusions from the literature cited	43

3	Dyle Catchment: Description of the Study Area	45
4	Discriminating between Point and Non-Point Sources of Atrazine Contamination of a Sandy Aquifer	51
4.1	Outline	51
4.2	Introduction	52
4.3	Data and methods	54
4.3.1	Atrazine monitoring data	54
4.3.2	Data censoring	54
4.3.3	Spatio-temporal semivariograms	57
4.3.4	Influence of censored data on the semivariogram range	58
4.3.5	Cumulative density function and histogram analysis .	59
4.3.6	Analysis of variance	60
4.4	Results and discussion	63
4.4.1	Spatial and temporal semivariograms	63
4.4.2	Influence of censored data on the semivariogram range	64
4.4.3	Cumulative density function and histogram analysis .	68
4.4.4	Analysis of variance	72
4.5	Conclusions	79
5	The Consequences of Interpolating or Calculating First: A Simple Case Study	81
5.1	Introduction	81
5.2	Validation data set	82
5.3	Models and methods	83
5.4	Results	89
5.4.1	CA approach	89
5.4.2	CI approach	91
5.4.3	IC approach	96
5.5	Discussion and conclusions	104

6	The Consequences of Interpolating or Calculating First on the Simulation of Pesticide Leaching at the Regional Scale	107
6.1	Outline	108
6.2	Introduction	108
6.3	Material and methods	111
6.3.1	Soil properties	111
6.3.2	Pesticide leaching index based on the linearised Attenuation Factor	113
6.3.3	Pesticide leaching simulated with GeoPEARL	116
6.3.4	Calculate alone, and calculate or interpolate first: CA, CI and IC approaches	117
6.3.5	Comparison of the results	119
6.4	Results	121
6.4.1	Maps comparison of CI vs. IC	121
6.4.2	CDFs comparison	129
6.5	Discussion and conclusions	131
7	Including Spatial Variability in Monte Carlo Simulations of Pesticide Leaching	135
7.1	Outline	135
7.2	Introduction	136
7.3	Material and methods	139
7.3.1	GeoPEARL: model description and parameterisation	139
7.3.2	Uncertainty analysis	143
7.3.3	Reproducibility and the effect of truncation	147
7.4	Results and discussion	148
7.4.1	Deterministic simulation	148
7.4.2	Stochastic simulation	148
7.4.3	Reproducibility and truncation	151
7.4.4	Field samples vs. databases.	153

8	Assessing Pesticide Leaching at the Regional Scale: Uncertainty and Spatial Variability	155
8.1	Outline	155
8.2	Introduction	156
8.3	Materials and methods	159
8.3.1	GeoPEARL: model description and parameterisation .	159
8.3.2	Uncertainty analysis	160
8.3.3	Definition of the PDFs	161
8.3.4	Monte Carlo simulations	164
8.3.5	Analysis of model outputs	164
8.4	Results	167
8.4.1	Stochastic vs. deterministic simulations	167
8.4.2	Leaching percentiles at the regional scale	167
8.4.3	First-order sensitivity index	170
8.4.4	Sensitivity analysis	172
8.5	Discussion and conclusions	173
9	Conclusions and Perspectives	177
9.1	Main findings	177
9.2	Implications for science and policy	180
9.3	Limitations of the study and perspectives for future research	183
9.4	Final remarks	188
	Bibliography	191
	List of Figures	227
	List of Tables	230