

GENETIC BASIS OF CONGENITAL AND ACQUIRED VASCULAR MALFORMATIONS REVIEW SERIES

Lymphatic Malformations

Genetics, Mechanisms and Therapeutic Strategies

Taija Mäkinen^{ID}, Laurence M. Boon^{ID}, Miikka Vakkula^{ID}, Kari Alitalo^{ID}

ABSTRACT: Lymphatic vessels maintain tissue fluid homeostasis by returning to blood circulation interstitial fluid that has extravasated from the blood capillaries. They provide a trafficking route for cells of the immune system, thus critically contributing to immune surveillance. Developmental or functional defects in the lymphatic vessels, their obstruction or damage, lead to accumulation of fluid in tissues, resulting in lymphedema. Here we discuss developmental lymphatic anomalies called lymphatic malformations and complex lymphatic anomalies that manifest as localized or multifocal lesions of the lymphatic vasculature, respectively. They are rare diseases that are caused mostly by somatic mutations and can present with variable symptoms based upon the size and location of the lesions composed of fluid-filled cisterns or channels. Substantial progress has been made recently in understanding the molecular basis of their pathogenesis through the identification of their genetic causes, combined with the elucidation of the underlying mechanisms in animal disease models and patient-derived lymphatic endothelial cells. Most of the solitary somatic mutations that cause lymphatic malformations and complex lymphatic anomalies occur in genes that encode components of oncogenic growth factor signal transduction pathways. This has led to successful repurposing of some targeted cancer therapeutics to the treatment of lymphatic malformations and complex lymphatic anomalies. Apart from the mutations that act as lymphatic endothelial cell-autonomous drivers of these anomalies, current evidence points to superimposed paracrine mechanisms that critically contribute to disease pathogenesis and thus provide additional targets for therapeutic intervention. Here, we review these advances and discuss new treatment strategies that are based on the recently identified molecular pathways.

Key Words: capillaries ■ lymphangiogenesis ■ mutation ■ neoplasms ■ sirolimus

LYMPHATIC SYSTEM

The main function of the lymphatic vasculature in mammals is to regulate tissue fluid balance by resorbing daily 1 to 2 L of fluid and solutes that have extravasated from the blood capillaries to tissue interstitium and transporting this fluid, lymph, back to the venous circulation. The lymphatic vessels also function in immune surveillance by providing a pathway for the transport of antigens and extravasated leukocytes to lymph nodes, from which antigen-primed and tissue-targeted leukocytes are dispatched to lymphatic vessels and on to the blood circulation. Lymphatic (lacteal) vessels in the intestinal villi take up dietary lipids from the gut, and those in the meninges around the central nervous system participate in the outflow of cerebrospinal fluid.^{1–3}

The lymphatic vascular system is a unidirectional hierarchical network of vessels (Figure 1). Interstitial fluid from peripheral tissues is drained into the lumens of lymphatic capillaries, also called initial lymphatic vessels. Fluid enters these blind-ended lymphatic vessels via valve-like openings between flaps formed by lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs). Capillary LECs have button-like intercellular junctions and anchoring filaments that act as tissue fluid pressure mechanosensors via connecting abluminally to pericellular matrix in the discontinuous basement membrane. From the initial lymphatics, the fluid, now called lymph, flows to precollectors and to collecting lymphatic vessels, and further through the lymph nodes and larger collecting ducts, back to the blood circulation. The collecting vessels are surrounded by a continuous basement membrane and smooth muscle cells

Correspondence to: Taija Mäkinen, PhD, Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, Dag Hammarskjölds väg 20, 751 85 Uppsala, Sweden, Email taija.makinen@igp.uu.se or Kari Alitalo, MD, PhD, Wihuri Research Institute and Translational Cancer Biology Program, Biomedicum Helsinki, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, Email kari.alitalo@helsinki.fi

For Sources of Funding and Disclosures, see page 150.

© 2021 American Heart Association, Inc.

Circulation Research is available at www.ahajournals.org/journal/res

Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms	
ADAMTS3	disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 3
ANGPT	angiopoietin
CCBE1	collagen and calcium-binding EGF domains 1
CCLA	central conducting lymphatic anomaly
CLA	complex lymphatic anomaly
CLOVES	congenital lipomatous overgrowth, vascular malformations, epidermal nevi and scoliosis/skeletal/spinal anomalies
ERK	extracellular signal-regulated kinase
GLA	generalized lymphatic anomaly
GSD	Gorham-Stout disease
KLA	kaposiform lymphangiomatosis
KTS	Klippel-Trenaunay syndrome
LEC	lymphatic endothelial cell
LM	lymphatic malformation
NRP2	neuropilin 2
PI3K	phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
PROS	PIK3CA-related overgrowth spectrum
PROX1	prospero homeobox protein 1
VEGF	vascular endothelial growth factor
VEGFR	vascular endothelial growth factor receptor

that provide rhythmic contractions to lymphangion segments located in between luminal valves, which prevent fluid back-flow. Skeletal muscle contractions, respiration, and arterial pulsations provide further forces to lymph propulsion. Cells of the lymph nodes, tonsils, Peyer patches, spleen, and thymus function in immune defense in close coordination with the lymphatic vessel network. The parenchyma in some organs, such as bone, adipose tissue, liver, kidney, muscle, and endocrine glands, lacks or contains only few lymphatic vessels.⁴ Only recently, lymphatic vessels were found in meninges around the central nervous system,^{5,6} and a lymphatic-like hybrid vessel (Schlemm canal) with partial LEC identity involved in ocular fluid clearance was described in the eye.^{7–9}

Lymphatic vasculature was long considered as a passive drainage for fluid and other components that have extravasated from blood circulation. Recently, however, this view has changed dramatically, and lymphatic vessels are now known to actively contribute to important physiological and pathological processes.^{1–3} Impaired lymphatic function leads to accumulation of fluid in between cells in tissues, resulting in lymphedema. Primary lymphedema is a disease that is not caused by obvious external reasons that damage lymphatic vessels, such as surgery, radiotherapy, or filariasis infection. Several subtypes of primary lymphedema can be clinically delineated, and for a

number of them, mutations in genes involved in lymphatic development have been identified.¹⁰ Furthermore, mutations that cause dysplasia or obstruction of lymphatic vessels can result in chylous ascites, chylothorax, chylothorax, protein-losing enteropathy, or compromised lung function. A large proportion of the lymphedema-associated mutations involve the key lymphangiogenic growth factor VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor)-C and its receptor VEGFR (vascular endothelial growth factor receptor) 3 or their downstream signaling system¹¹ (Figure 2). These include genes encoding the transcription factors FOXC2 and GATA2,^{12–15} which enhance VEGFR3 signaling or expression, respectively,^{16,17} or the CCBE1 (collagen and calcium-binding EGF domains 1)¹⁸ and the ADAMTS3 (disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 3)¹⁹ proteins that regulate VEGF-C processing and activity.^{20–22} Secondary lymphedemas account for most of the clinical lymphedema cases. In developed countries, the most frequent one is upper extremity lymphedema that develops in over 20% of breast cancer patients operated in the axilla.

In this review, we focus on lymphatic malformations (LMs) and complex lymphatic anomalies (CLAs), which are localized or multifocal lesions of the lymphatic vasculature, respectively. They can result in disruption of fluid homeostasis and immune function, accumulation of chyle, or malnutrition.²³ LMs and CLAs are thought to be caused by abnormal development of the lymphatic vascular system. LMs and most forms of CLAs are rare somatic diseases, often apparent at birth or by 2 years of age as soft tissue masses consisting of fluid-filled cisterns or channels. The overall prevalence is ≈1:4000 live births. LM or CLA can cause variable symptoms, depending on the size and location of the lesions, which determine the disfigurement and functional impairment of nearby structures or organs. They can also become infected, which can lead to natural sclerotherapy and shrinkage of the lesion. To understand the lymphatic anomalies, one needs to know how lymphatic vessels develop and the mechanisms that regulate their growth and patterning.

MECHANISMS OF LYMPHATIC VESSEL GROWTH, MATURATION, AND MAINTENANCE

In mice, the lymphatic vascular system starts to develop at about embryonic day 10.5, when the cardiovascular system is already functioning. The first LECs emerge and migrate out of embryonic veins²⁴ to form primitive lymphatic structures, from which further expansion of the vasculature occurs via centrifugal sprouting toward VEGF-C that is expressed in the adjacent mesenchymal tissue.^{25,26} Moreover, several additional local or non-venous sources of LECs contribute to vessel formation.




Figure 1. Organization of the lymphatic vessel network.

Fluid, soluble molecules, and immune cells from the interstitial space enter blind-ended lymphatic capillaries that are characterized by button-like intercellular junctions, a discontinuous basement membrane, and anchoring filaments. Collecting lymphatic vessels transport the lymph via lymph nodes to venous circulation; they have zipper-like intercellular junctions, a continuous basement membrane, smooth muscle cell (SMC) coverage, and intraluminal valves. Whole-mount immunofluorescence images of mouse ear skin stained for the indicated antibodies illustrate the key features of the two vessel types. α SMA indicates alpha smooth muscle actin; CDH5, cadherin 5; DC, dendritic cell; LYVE1, lymphatic vessel endothelial hyaluronan receptor 1; and PDPN, podoplanin. Adapted from Karaman et al¹⁶⁹ with permission. Copyright ©.

For example, the lymphatic vasculature in the mesentery,²⁷ skin,^{28,29} and heart^{30–33} forms, in part, through lymphovascrogenic assembly of vessels from progenitors of diverse origins.

The master regulator of LEC differentiation from the venous endothelium is the *Prox1* (prospero homeobox protein 1) gene.²⁴ PROX1 induces an LEC-specific transcriptional program in blood vascular endothelial cells³⁴ and is required to establish and maintain LEC identity in vivo.^{24,35,36} PROX1 is also expressed, for example, in venous and cardiac valves,³⁷ in endothelial cells in vessels with a mixed blood-lymphatic identity in the kidney (ascending vasa recta),³⁸ and in the Schlemm canal of the eye.^{7–9}

The VEGF-C receptor VEGFR3 is initially highly expressed in the developing blood vasculature, and its deletion in mice leads to cardiovascular defects and embryonic death at E10.5, before initiation of lymphatic development.³⁹ In the differentiating LECs, PROX1 increases VEGFR3 levels.⁴⁰ Upon activation by VEGF-C, VEGFR3 in turn regulates *Prox1*, thereby establishing a feedback loop that controls LEC identity and lymphangiogenic activity.⁴⁰ LEC progenitors that exit the veins enter into an extracellular matrix of reduced stiffness, which further increases their VEGFR3 expression through GATA2-dependent transcriptional regulation.¹⁶ The critical role of paracrine VEGF-C for lymphangiogenesis is evidenced by the fact that deletion of the *Vegfc* gene in mice results in fluid accumulation in tissues and prenatal

death due to a selective lack of lymphatic vessels.²⁵ Even *Vegfc* haploinsufficient mice develop cutaneous lymphatic hypoplasia and lymphedema, indicating that both *Vegfc* alleles are required for normal lymphatic development.²⁵ The related VEGF-D also activates VEGFR3 and can stimulate lymphangiogenesis, but it is dispensable for lymphatic vessel development in mice.⁴¹ Before receptor activation, both factors need to be activated by proteolytic processing, which in the case of VEGF-C involves the human lymphedema-associated genes encoding CCBE1 and ADAMTS3^{20–22,42,43} (Figure 3A). Together with the related ADAMTS14, these proteases ensure locally restricted maturation of VEGF-C to guide directional lymphatic vessel sprouting.⁴⁴ The further expansion of the lymphatic vascular network during late embryonic and early postnatal development occurs via sprouting that is dependent on VEGF-C–VEGFR3 signaling.^{45,46}

After the primary lymphatic plexus has been established, it needs to mature into a hierarchical network of blind-ended capillaries, precollectors, and collecting vessels. This occurs in late gestation and continues during early postnatal period. After birth, the lymphatic capillary junctions transform from continuous zipper-like to button-like^{47,48} in a process that is regulated by ANGPT (angiopoietin) 2 growth factor signaling via the lymphatic endothelial TIE2 receptor.⁴⁸ An important maturation step is also the formation of lymphatic and lymphovenous valves that ensure unidirectional lymph flow and prevent blood from entering into the lymphatic




Figure 2. Signaling pathways for lymphatic vessel growth in normal tissues and in lymphatic malformation (LM).

Schematic overview of the RAS (rat sarcoma)-MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) and PI3K-AKT (protein kinase B) lymphatic endothelial cell (LEC) signal transduction pathways involved in LMs and complex lymphatic anomalies (CLAs). The signaling components include protein and lipid kinases and G proteins and their regulatory factors. Disease-causative genes and proteins have been highlighted as indicated (green, lymphedemas [LEs]; blue, LMs; red, CLAs), and genes with an activating mutation are underlined, whereas those with an inactivation mutation are not. The RAS-MAPK and PI3K-AKT pathways regulate each other via cross-inhibition and cross-activation, with TSC1/2 and mTORC1 providing key integration points.¹⁷⁰ Some of these regulations have been indicated, with arrow-headed lines indicating positive regulations of substrate proteins and bar-headed lines inhibitory regulations of substrate proteins. ADAMTS3 indicates disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 3; AKT, protein kinase B; ANGPT, angiopoietin; CBL, casitas B-lineage lymphoma; CCBE1, collagen and calcium-binding EGF domains 1; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; FOXO, forkhead box O; GLA, generalized lymphatic anomaly; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MEK, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase; mTORC1, mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1; PDK1, 3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1; PIP, phosphatidylinositol phosphate; RAF, rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma; RAS, rat sarcoma; SOS, son of sevenless; TIE2, tyrosine kinase with immunoglobulin-like and epidermal growth factor homology domains-2; TSC, tuberous sclerosis 1; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; and VEGFR, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor.

system, respectively.⁴⁹ Collecting lymphatic vessels form a basement membrane and recruit smooth muscle cells concomitantly with the formation of lymphatic valves. Key regulators of collecting vessel or valve formation are the transcription factors FOXC2 and GATA2,^{17,50} as well as the EPHB4 receptor tyrosine kinase and its transmembrane ligand EphrinB2.^{51–53} Establishment of unique molecular LEC identities of capillaries, valves, and lymphangions further contributes to the functional maturation of the lymphatic network.⁵⁴ In addition, the lymphatic vasculature in adults acquires organ-specific features, reflecting the varying functional demands and physical conditions in each organ.⁴ VEGF-C is dispensable for homeostatic maintenance of lymphatic vessels in most organs, with the exception of the intestine and meninges,^{55,56} but is required for lymphangiogenesis associated with wound healing⁵⁷ and upregulated in pathological conditions, for

example, in malignant neoplasms.^{58,59} Also, the ANGPT/TIE (tyrosine kinase with immunoglobulin and epidermal growth factor homology domains) signaling system is required for the maintenance of the lymphatic-like vessels in the eye (Schlemm canal)⁶⁰ and kidney (ascending vasa recta),³⁸ but its function in the adult lymphatic vasculature is less clear.

LYMPHANGIOGENIC SIGNALING PATHWAYS IMPLICATED IN LYMPHATIC ANOMALIES

Signal transduction downstream of the 3 key receptors, VEGFR3, EPHB4, and TIE, involved in the regulation of lymphatic vessel growth and remodeling, involves a cascade of several intracellular kinases and G proteins, some




Figure 3. Proteolytic processing of VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor)-C.
A, Proteolytic processing of the VEGF-C prepropeptides that form an antiparallel dimer stabilized by disulphide bonds. Carboxyterminal cleavage is catalyzed by furin-like enzymes. The aminoterminal cleavage is enhanced by the CCBE1 (collagen and calcium-binding EGF domains 1) protein and catalyzed by the ADAMTS3 (disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 3) metalloprotease. Mutations of VEGF-C, CCBE1, and ADAMTS3 cause primary lymphedemas. **B**, Structural model of mature VEGF-C.¹⁷¹ **C**, Molecular model of VEGF-C bound to a VEGFR (VEGF receptor) 3 dimer.¹⁷² C indicates carboxyl (terminus); ECD, extracellular domain; mTORC1, mammalian target of rapamycin; N, amino (terminus); PC, pyruvate carboxylase; and VHD, vascular endothelial growth factor homology domain.

of which have been found to be mutated in lymphatic anomalies. While in lymphedemas, the genes encoding the receptors and their ligands are frequently targeted by inactivating mutations, activating mutations in genes encoding the intracellular signaling proteins dominate in LMs and CLAs (Figure 2; Table).

The receptor binding properties of VEGF-C and VEGF-D are regulated by proteolytic cleavage. Figure 3A through 3C shows schematically how VEGF-C is proteolytically processed into an active form composed of the central VEGF homology domain that can then promote the formation of both VEGFR3 homodimers and VEGFR2-VEGFR3 heterodimers,^{73,74} which in turn activates the receptor tyrosine kinase activity. NRP2 (neuropilin 2) acts as a coreceptor that is required for VEGF-C-induced

lymphangiogenic sprouting.⁷⁵ Subsequent intracellular signal transduction employs the RAS-ERK (rat sarcoma/extracellular signal-regulated kinase) and PI3K (phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase)-AKT (protein kinase B) pathways, which function as central downstream effectors of various receptor tyrosine kinases. Studies using cultured primary LECs showed that VEGFR2, presumably through formation of the VEGFR2-VEGFR3 complex,⁷³ is required for VEGF-C-induced AKT activation and cell migration, while VEGFR3 homodimers promote mainly ERK activation.⁷⁶ Interestingly, the kinetics of AKT and ERK activation are different, depending on whether VEGFR2 or VEGFR3 homodimers, or whether both receptors, including VEGFR2-VEGFR3 heterodimers, are activated.^{76,77} This may translate into different biological responses

Table. Classification, Genetics, and Clinical Characteristics of LMs and Complex Lymphatic Anomalies

Clinical subtype	Also known as	Causative genes	Clinical features
Macrocytic LM	Cystic hygroma	Somatic activating <i>PIK3CA</i> ^{61,62}	Single lesion of variable size consisting of multiple large fluid-filled cysts, commonly in the neck area
Microcystic LM	Capillary and cavernous lymphangioma, simple lymphangioma	Somatic activating <i>PIK3CA</i> ^{61,62}	Small fluid-filled cysts and locally diffuse infiltrative lesions
GLA	Lymphangiomatosis, diffuse LM	Somatic activating <i>PIK3CA</i> ⁶³	Diffuse and multicentric proliferative lesions with multiple organ involvement
KLA	Lymphangiomatosis	Somatic activating <i>NRAS</i> ^{64–66} Somatic activating <i>CBL</i> ⁶⁷	A subtype of GLA, foci of spindle-shaped LECs, thrombocytopenia
GSD	Vanishing bone disease	Somatic activating <i>KRAS</i> ⁶⁸	Lymphatic vessel growth in any bone, leading to progressive bone destruction and resorption
CCLA	Lymphangiectasia, channel type LA	Somatic activating <i>ARAF</i> ⁶⁹ Germline heterozygous kinase-inactivating <i>EPHB4</i> ⁷⁰	Dilation of large lymphatic vessels

LM, lymphatic malformation; GLA, generalized lymphatic anomaly; KLA, Kaposiform lymphangiomatosis; GSD, Gorham-Stout disease; CCLA, central conducting lymphatic anomaly; LA, lymphatic anomaly.
Based on ISSVA classification for vascular anomalies.⁷¹ An additional classification algorithm can be found in the study by Gordon et al.⁷²
ISSVA, International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies

downstream of the different receptor complexes. Notably, however, although VEGFR3 is differentially phosphorylated in VEGFR2/VEGFR3 heterodimers⁷³ and VEGFR2 can robustly stimulate signaling in cultured LECs, VEGFR2 function seems dispensable for developmental lymphangiogenesis.⁴⁶ In adult mice, a specific VEGFR2 agonist increased lymphatic vessel diameter but not sprouting.⁷⁸ The requirement for VEGF-C processing may limit its ability to signal through VEGFR2 in vivo, with only the fully processed form being able to bind to VEGFR2,⁷⁴ whereas in vitro studies have mainly utilized the mature, fully processed form. Interestingly, mainly PLC- γ (phospholipase C gamma)/ PKC (protein kinase C)-dependent activation of ERK has been reported downstream of VEGFR2 in cultured blood vascular endothelial cells.^{79,80}

PI3K, particularly its catalytic subunit p110 α , has emerged as a key regulator of vascular development.⁸¹ PI3Ks are lipid kinases that regulate the plasma membrane phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-triphosphate levels, thereby providing docking sites for cytosolic proteins to activate signaling cascades such as the PDK1 (3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1)-AKT-mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) pathway (Figure 2). In lymphatic vasculature, PI3K signaling regulates vessel sprouting and maturation, as deletion of its *Pik3r1* gene-encoded regulatory subunits that stabilize and inhibit the catalytic p110 subunit activated the AKT pathway and led to hyperplasia and defective valve formation in intestinal lymphatic vessels in mice.⁸² Studies of genetic mouse models further showed that p110 α function is essential for the formation of the lymphatic vasculature in the gut.²⁷ Mice lacking *Akt1*, 1 of the 3 *Akt* genes, also have atrophic lymphatic vessels; yet loss of all *Akt* genes does not block VEGF-C-induced lymphangiogenic sprouting.⁸³

RAS signaling, composed of the cascade of RAS-RAF (rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma)-MEK (mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase)-ERK kinases with multiple isoforms at each step, is equally important for lymphatic vascular development. The 3 RAS isoforms (H-, N-, and K-RAS) cooperatively regulate LEC proliferation and lymphatic vessel growth.⁸⁴ Lymphatic vessel hyperplasia observed in mice deficient of *Rasa1* (encoding p120 RasGAP—a negative regulator of RAS) or its interacting partner Map4k4 further highlighted the important role of RAS signaling in controlling LEC proliferation versus quiescence.^{85,86} Furthermore, cross talk between the RAS-ERK and PI3K-AKT pathways exists at several levels, as indicated in Figure 2. Interestingly, mice carrying a mutation in the p110 α subunit of PI3K that blocks its interaction with RAS have defective lymphatic vasculature, despite normal development of blood vessels.⁸⁷ This suggests selective importance of RAS signaling through PI3K in the lymphatic vasculature. Furthermore, ERK and its target kinase RSK (ribosomal S6 kinase) can inhibit TSC1-TSC2 complex activity via phosphorylation.⁸⁸

EPHB4 is a receptor tyrosine kinase that is highly expressed in venous endothelial cells and LECs. It binds to the transmembrane ligand EphrinB2 in neighboring cells (in *trans*), which triggers the formation of an EPH-ephrin heterotetramer and subsequent recruitment of other ligand-bound receptors to higher order clusters.⁸⁹ Ligand binding induces bidirectional signaling in both the receptor- and ligand-expressing cells.⁸⁹ EPHs and ephrins can also interact in *cis*, on the surface of the same cell, which inhibits receptor clustering and signaling. EPHB4 promotes RAS-MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) signaling in cancer cells, whereas in endothelial cells, the activated EPHB4 recruits RASA1 (p120 RasGAP) to suppress the RAS pathway^{90,91} (Figure 2). EphrinB2-EPHB4-RASA1 signaling is required for the formation of lymphatic and lymphovenous valves^{51,52,92,93} and maintenance of LEC junctions.⁹⁴ In addition, EphrinB2 regulates VEGF-C signaling by controlling VEGFR3 internalization and downstream signaling.⁹⁵

Angiopoietins also signal through the PI3K pathway, via the TIE receptors. Inactivating mutations in genes encoding the TIE1 receptor that heterodimerizes with TIE2, or its ligand ANGPT2 lead to abnormal lymphatic development in mice,⁹⁶ and *ANGPT2* mutations were recently implicated in lymphedema.⁹⁷ ANGPT2 protein has also been studied as a biomarker of lymphangiomyomatosis, which is a rare multisystem disease characterized by cystic destruction of the lungs and lymphatic involvement,^{98,99} and of some CLAs.^{100,101} Interestingly, although the majority of venous malformations in humans are explained by activating mutations in *TIE2*,¹⁰² they have not been detected in lymphatic anomalies, whereas *TIE1* is currently being considered as a candidate gene in LMs with or without lymphedema.¹⁰³

ANOMALIES OF THE LYMPHATIC SYSTEM

The clinical classification of lymphatic anomalies is important for providing an accurate diagnosis and guiding treatment decisions. The classification has been largely based on clinical presentation. Molecular diagnosis has become possible with the identification of causative genes, in particular, in lymphedema, for which a number of germline gene mutations have been discovered.⁷² In LMs and CLAs, causative mutations have been identified only recently and to date, in a small number of CLA patients. In this review, we have used the International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies classification for vascular anomalies,⁷ which is regularly updated to incorporate increased understanding of the biology and genetics of the disease.

Cystic LMs are the most common congenital lymphatic anomalies. They occur as solitary lesions of variable size, and their appearance defines their classification into macrocystic, microcystic, or mixed cystic LM (Figure 4A; Table). Macrocystic LMs manifest as large fluid-filled




Figure 4. Clinical characteristics of lymphatic malformations (LMs) and complex lymphatic anomalies.

A, Schematic representation of the main clinical features of cystic lymphatic malformations and complex lymphatic anomalies. The body parts, organs, and vessel types typically affected by lymphatic vessel overgrowth and malformations (blue) in the different diseases and their distinguishing features are shown. central conducting lymphatic anomaly (CCLA)–like features can be part of generalized lymphatic anomaly (GLA), Gorham–Stout disease (GSD), and Kaposiform lymphangiomatosis (KLA). **B**, Clinical examples of lymphatic malformations, showing photos of macrocystic LM of the neck and microcystic LM of the tongue, and magnetic resonance imaging of GLA, as indicated. Yellow arrows indicate GLA pathology (chylothorax and diffuse cystic lesions). Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)–stained sections of macrocystic and microcystic LMs constituting a large cyst (asterisk) or multiple small-caliber vessels (arrows), respectively, are shown below. Based on ISSVA classification for vascular anomalies⁷¹ Scale bar=150 µm. LEC indicates lymphatic endothelial cell.

cavities that commonly localize to the neck area, while microcystic and mixed cystic LMs contain small cysts and diffuse vessel-like lesions (Figure 4A and 4B; Table). LMs commonly infiltrate soft tissues, and they can be found anywhere on the body, from extremities to the abdominal or thoracic cavities. Sometimes the lesion contours are ill-defined, with invasive involvement of the adjacent tissues and structures, resulting in severe complications, including organ dysfunction and impairment of breathing or swallowing.^{104,105}

CLAs are multifocal or cause defects in the central collecting lymphatic channels.^{106,107} They include

generalized lymphatic anomaly (GLA), Gorham–Stout disease (GSD), kaposiform lymphangiomatosis (KLA), and central conducting lymphatic anomalies (CCLA; Figure 4A; Table). They are rare diseases with overlapping and variable clinical features, making their diagnostics and management challenging. Common characteristics among CLAs are lymphatic vascular lesions in multiple tissues and sites, including bones, as well as leakage of lymph or chyle into the abdominal or thoracic cavities (pleural, pericardial, peritoneal effusions, chylothorax, chylous ascites), or externally. CLAs can be associated with intestinal lymphangiectasias, causing protein-losing

enteropathy, characterized by hypoalbuminemia, hypogammaglobulinemia, and hypoproteinemia, but also with anemia because of intralesional bleeding, and sometimes lymphocytopenia.

GLA is characterized by diffuse or multicentric invasive lesions in multiple organs, including the bones, liver, spleen, lungs, and soft tissues (Figure 4B; Table). A distinguishing feature of GLA, as well as KLA and GSD, is the invasion of lymphatic vessels into bone. However, in GLA, the bone lesions do not destroy the cortical bone, unlike in GSD, which is associated with progressive bone destruction and resorption^{107,108} (Figure 4A; Table). KLA is clinically reminiscent of GLA, although the lesions are histologically characterized by the presence of foci of kaposiform spindle-shaped LECs, and thrombocytopenia is relatively frequent.⁶⁵ The fourth subtype of CLAs is CCLA, also known as the channel type LA. It is characterized by dilation, malformation, and dysfunction of the major conducting abdominal or thoracic lymphatic vessels, leading to impaired lymph drainage and leaking of lymph (or chyle) into body cavities¹⁰⁷ (Figure 4A; Table). CCLA can cause effusions or protein-losing enteropathy, and it can be part of GLA, GSD, or KLA. It can also cause peripheral lymphedema. CCLA, GLA, GSD, and KLA can lead to respiratory failure and have poor prognosis.¹⁰⁷

LMs can occur in combination with blood capillary, venous or capillary, and venous malformations, called, for example, capillary LMs. In addition, LMs are common manifestations in syndromes characterized by tissue overgrowth, such as the *PIK3CA*-related overgrowth spectrum (PROS) that includes Klippel-Trenaunay syndrome (KTS), CLOVES (congenital lipomatous overgrowth, vascular malformations, epidermal nevi, and scoliosis/skeletal/spinal anomalies), and capillary malformation of the lower lip, LM of the face and neck, asymmetry and partial/generalized overgrowth (CLAPO), as well as Proteus syndrome.⁷¹

GENETICS OF LMS AND CLAs

LMs are sporadic diseases, and each is caused by a somatic mutation. In the majority ($\approx 80\%$) of common cystic LMs, a causative mutation has been identified in the *PIK3CA* gene that encodes the p110 α catalytic subunit of PI3K^{61,62,109} (Table; Figure 2). The LM-causative mutations in *PIK3CA* are identical to those found in venous malformations,^{110–112} as well as in cancer and mosaic overgrowth syndromes.¹¹³ Interestingly, the majority of LM-associated¹⁰⁹ *PIK3CA* mutations, as in cancer, cluster at 3 hot spots in the helical domain (E542 and E545) and the kinase domain (H1047) of p110 α , resulting in variants that potentially increase the PI3K enzyme activity. Both types of hot spot mutations cause basal activation of PI3K and its downstream AKT-mTOR pathway,¹¹⁴ which has opened up the possibility

for the therapeutic use of inhibitors of PI3K (alpelisib) and mTOR (rapamycin) in *PIK3CA*-driven vascular malformations.¹¹⁵ The mechanisms by which the hot spot mutations in the helical and kinase domains activate oncogenic potential of p110 α are, however, different¹¹⁴; thus, they may affect LM manifestation and therapy responses. Non-hot spot mutations with weaker effects on p110 α activation have been identified in a smaller proportion of LMs.¹¹⁶ In addition, in the syndromic forms of LM (CLOVES and unclassified PROS), in which somatic *PIK3CA* mutations are more widely distributed in patients' tissues with a tendency toward higher mutant allele frequency in the resected tissues, the mutations tend to be non-hot spot mutations.¹⁰⁹ A recent study identified a correlation between the mutation type and clinical features of LMs, with non-hot spot mutations being more frequent in macrocystic lesions than microcystic lesions, accounting for a higher proportion of neck/body lesions.¹¹⁶ The Proteus syndrome is caused by somatic/mosaic activating mutations in *AKT*,¹¹⁷ which is downstream of p110 α along the signal transduction cascade.

Apart from the recurrent *PIK3CA* mutations that account for the majority of microcystic and macrocystic LM cases, a causative somatic *PIK3CA* mutation was also reported in a series of GLAs⁶³ (Table). In addition, mutations in genes encoding components of the RAS-MAPK pathway have recently been identified in rare cases of patients with a CLA (Table; Figure 2). These include recurrent somatic pathogenic mutations in *NRAS* in a dozen of patients with KLA^{64–66} and in *ARAF* (encoding the serine/threonine protein kinase ARAF) in 2 patients with CCLA.⁶⁹ Recently, a mutation was also identified in *CBL*, which encodes a ubiquitin ligase that targets receptor tyrosine kinases for degradation, and whose loss of function is associated with stabilization of the kinases and subsequent activation of the RAS pathway.⁶⁷ In 1 GSD patient, a low-frequency G61R somatic mutation was found in *KRAS* and a G12V hot spot mutation in 12% of the alleles was detected in the affected tissue of another patient.^{68,108} All the identified mutations lead to activation of the MAPK pathway, suggesting that these lymphatic anomalies are unresponsive to rapamycin but could be treated with MEK or ERK inhibitors. Furthermore, germline heterozygous kinase-dead mutations in the gene encoding EPHB4, which signals to suppresses MAPK signaling in endothelial cells, were found in a few patients with CCLA⁷⁰ (Table; Figure 2). The RAS-MAPK pathway (eg, *PTPN11*, *SOS1*, *RASA1*, *BRAF*, and *KRAS*) is typically mutated in Noonan and Noonan-like patients (grouped under RASopathies) who infrequently have CLA-type lesions, as recognized already a long time ago.^{69,118,119} In particular, the *SOS2*-related Noonan syndrome patients were reported to have a high risk of lymphatic complications.¹²⁰




Figure 5. Modeling *Pik3ca*^{H1047R}-driven lymphatic malformation (LM) in mice.

A, Schematic of the transgenes and gene induction protocols for tamoxifen-inducible lymphatic endothelial cell (LEC)-specific expression of the causative *Pik3ca*^{H1047R} mutation. **B**, Immunohistochemical features of macrocystic LM after embryonic (E) induction and microcystic LM after early postnatal (P) induction in mouse skin. Whole-mount immunofluorescence staining of the LEC-specific markers NRP2 (neuropilin 2) or LYVE1 (lymphatic vessel endothelial hyaluronan receptor 1) reveals large cystic overgrowth in the back skin of an E17 *Pik3ca*^{H1047R}; *Vegfr3*-*CreER*^{T2} embryo as opposed to diffuse lesions with hyperbranched lymphatic vessel network and associated bleeding in the ear skin of a 9-wk-old *Pik3ca*^{H1047R}; *Prox1*-*CreER*^{T2} mouse, as described in the study by Martinez-Corral et al.¹²¹ Scale bar=200 (embryo skin, ear magnification) and 500 μ m (ear). 4-OHT indicates 4-hydroxytamoxifen.

PATHOGENIC MECHANISMS OF LMS AND CLAS: LESSONS FROM ANIMAL MODELS AND PATIENT-DERIVED LECs

The recently generated animal models of microcystic and macrocystic LM,¹²¹ GLA,^{63,66} and GSD^{108,122} have advanced our understanding of their pathogenesis and enabled the assessment of therapies. In particular, the identification of somatic *PIK3CA* mutations as drivers of LM^{61,62} and GLA⁶³ and use of the Cre/loxP technology for inducible and cell-type-specific expression of causative mutations to mimic somatic mosaicism have permitted development

of accurate mouse models that recapitulate key aspects of human pathology. *Vegfr3*-*CreER*^{T2} or *Prox1*-*CreER*^{T2} recombinase-mediated activation of the frequent causative mutation *Pik3ca*^{H1047R} in LECs of transgenic mice was shown to promote lymphatic vessel overgrowth and formation of vascular lesions in mice^{63,121} (Figure 5A and 5B). This demonstrated that oncogenic PI3K signaling drives LM pathogenesis in an LEC-autonomous manner, in agreement with the finding that *PIK3CA* mutations occur only in the LECs in human LM.^{61,123,124} Whereas LEC-specific expression of *Pik3ca*^{H1047R} in the embryonic vasculature promoted formation of large cysts that were localized

predominantly to the neck region,¹²¹ late embryonic or post-natal induction caused vessel hyperbranching^{63,121} characteristic of microcystic malformations (Figure 5A and 5B). Likely both LEC-autonomous and non-LEC-autonomous factors also affect the different outcomes. For example, LEC proliferation at the time of induction may influence the response to PI3K activation, which is normally inhibited in quiescent endothelium. Furthermore, developmental stage-specific biomechanical properties and composition of the tissue environment, including the extracellular matrix and immune cells, may affect lesion growth and contribute to organ-specific disease manifestation.

Studies in patient-derived LECs and the mouse LM model have provided important insights into the mechanisms by which *PIK3CA* mutations alter LEC phenotype and vessel overgrowth. In agreement with the major role of the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway for cell growth and survival, patient-derived LECs expressing common causative *PIK3CA* hot spot mutations showed increased proliferation and resistance to cell death stimuli^{123,124} (Figure 6A). In addition, *PIK3CA*^{H1047R}-expressing murine LECs showed increased migration in vitro and lymphatic sprouting in vivo.¹²¹ Interestingly, however, although the mutant LECs had increased basal PI3K-AKT pathway activity,^{121,123,124} their stimulation by VEGF-C led to AKT hyperactivation in vitro and, surprisingly, was required for the growth of the malformations in mice¹²¹ (Figure 6B). The involvement of the VEGF-C pathway in LM pathogenesis is supported by upregulation of its receptors VEGFR3 and NRP2 in *PIK3CA*-induced lymphangiogenic sprouts in mice,¹²¹ as well as in LM biopsies and lesion-derived LECs from patients.^{124,125} Notably, LM lesions in both mice¹²¹ and humans¹²⁶ are characterized by infiltration of immune cells, which are an important source of VEGF-C. These observations suggest that paracrine mechanisms, through regulation of the immune response, critically contribute to pathological vascular growth in LMs (Figure 6A). However, the positive feedback mechanism that reinforces the upstream VEGFR3-NRP2 pathway and thus promotes downstream signaling in mutant LECs remains unknown. This could require cooperation with other VEGFR3-dependent downstream components, such as the RAS-MAPK pathway or regulation of endosomal recycling of VEGFR3 by phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-triphosphate levels,¹²⁷ as has been shown for other RTKs. Interestingly, RAS signaling has also been shown to regulate VEGFR3 levels,⁸⁴ potentially contributing to a feedback mechanism. It is important to consider that the mechanisms by which the causative *PIK3CA* hot spot mutations in the helical and kinase domains, and the non-hot spot mutations outside these domains, activate the oncogenic potential of p110 α are different,¹¹⁴ which may affect their dependence on the input from other pathways.

Ectopic lymphatic vessels in bone are observed in both GLA, KLA, and GSD, but they are associated with loss of cortical bone only in GSD.¹²⁸ Expression of the

PIK3CA^{H1047R} mutation in LECs in a mouse model of GLA indeed resulted in growth of ectopic lymphatic vessels in bone.⁶³ Interestingly, transgenic overexpression of VEGF-C in osteoblasts also resulted in the invasion of bone by lymphatic vessels, which was additionally associated with osteoclast-mediated resorption of cortical bone resembling GSD.¹²² LECs are known to cause bone destruction in mice by secretion of M-CSF (macrophage colony-stimulating factor 1), which promotes osteoclast formation and activation.¹²⁹ However, why the ectopic lymphatic vessels in bone in GLA patients do not promote osteolysis is not clear.

The observation that only a small proportion of LECs in LM tissue carry a *PIK3CA* mutation^{62,116} suggests that development of vascular lesions may not rely solely on LEC-autonomous PI3K activation. Lack of correlation between mutation burden and the severity of disease manifestation in PROS¹³⁰ indicates that this may be a common feature of *PIK3CA*-driven mosaic disorders. Cells that acquire a *PIK3CA* mutation may trigger aberrant signaling in the adjacent normal ECs through cell-cell interactions to collectively drive pathological tissue growth (Figure 6A). Clonal cooperation—a concept that is well established in cancer¹³¹—is supported by observations in another type of mosaic vascular overgrowth, predominantly affecting the brain vasculature—cerebral cavernous malformation. In cerebral cavernous malformation, only a small proportion of lesional ECs carry the causative mutation.^{132,133} In mice, the initial clonal expansion of mutant (*Ccm3* deficient) ECs was followed by incorporation and phenotypic changes in normal ECs during the expansion of the lesions.^{134,135} Analysis of low-frequency genetic mosaics in combination with tracking of clones derived from a single cell are challenging when using the traditional approaches that rely on reporter and mutant alleles located in different loci.¹³⁶ Advanced genetic tools that allow generation and reliable tracing of multispectral mosaics^{137,138} should allow detailed analysis of the clonality of mutant LECs and their cooperation with their normal neighbors in driving mosaic vascular overgrowth. Uncovering the microenvironmental paracrine mechanisms will be critical for the development of effective combinatorial strategies to inhibit PI3K and other (paracrine) signaling pathways (Figure 6A).

As discussed above, CLA-causative mutations were recently identified also in genes encoding components of the RAS-MAPK pathway. These include a somatic activating mutation in *ARAF* in CCLA.⁶⁹ Activation of the MAPK in cells expressing the mutant *ARAF* suggested that such anomalies could be treated with MEK or ERK inhibitors. Indeed, the Food and Drug Administration–approved MEK inhibitor trametinib rescued lymphatic phenotypes observed in a transgenic zebra fish model expressing mutant *ARAF* and alleviated remarkably symptoms in a patient with an advanced rapamycin-unresponsive CCLA caused by an




Figure 6. Cellular mechanisms of *PIK3CA*-driven lymphatic malformation (LM) pathogenesis and therapeutic opportunities.

A, LM development is triggered by a somatic activating *PIK3CA* mutation (*PIK3CA**) in the lymphatic endothelial cell (LEC), leading to a cell-autonomous increase in PI3K-AKT signaling, as well as LEC proliferation and migration, which can be targeted with PI3K pathway inhibitors, for example, rapamycin (mTOR) or alpelisib (p110 α). Paracrine signaling (black double-headed arrows) between mutant *PIK3CA** LECs (red) and normal LECs (gray) or stromal cells (green) also contributes to pathological vascular growth, offering additional therapeutic options. As an example, soluble VEGFR (vascular endothelial growth factor receptor) 3 protein (VEGF [vascular endothelial growth factor]-C trap) or anti-VEGFR3 antibody can be used to block paracrine VEGF-C, likely produced by the lesion-infiltrating immune cells. This inhibits LM growth in mice.¹²¹ Identification of additional, currently unknown (?) paracrine mechanisms should enable further development of effective combinatorial therapeutic strategies. **B**, Inhibition of paracrine VEGF-C-VEGFR3 signaling in the treatment of LM. Experimental outline of the LM induction and treatment of progressive microcystic *Pik3ca*^{H1047R}-driven LM by using adeno-associated viral vector (AAV)-based therapy blocking VEGF-C-VEGFR3 signaling. VEGF-C-trap that sequesters VEGF-C is shown schematically, consisting of the ligand-binding domain of VEGFR3 (blue) fused to IgG Fc-domain (black). Whole-mount VEGFR3 staining of the ears from 6-wk-old *LSL-Pik3ca*^{H1047R}; *Vegfr3*-CreER^{T2} mice treated with 4-OHT (4-hydroxytamoxifen) to induce vascular overgrowth at 3 wk of age and treated 1 wk later with VEGF-C-trap (single intraperitoneal injection of AAV, as in the study by Martinez-Corral et al¹²¹). Control mice (treated with AAV control¹²¹) show pronounced lymphatic hyperplasia that is effectively inhibited by VEGF-C blockade. Scale bar=200 μ m. AKT, protein kinase B; CTRL, control; and 4-OHT, 4-hydroxytamoxifen.

ARAF mutation.⁶⁹ MEK inhibition was also tested in the recently developed model of *Kras*-driven GSD, where the Cre/loxP system was used to activate an LEC-specific

Kras^{G12D} transgene in mice.¹⁰⁸ This led to the formation of ectopic lymphatic vessels in the bones and, with time, large superficial lymphatic cysts.¹⁰⁸ The development of

lymphatic valves was also inhibited, and even existing lymphatic valves regressed, causing retrograde lymph flow and chylothorax,¹⁰⁸ similarly as in mice deficient of the negative regulator of RAS, *Rasa1*.⁹² Importantly, these defects were prevented in the *Kras*^{G12D} mice using trametinib.¹⁰⁸

DIAGNOSTIC DEVELOPMENTS

T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging, in addition to lymphoscintigraphy, is an important tool for the visualization of abnormal lymphatic growths and lymphatic leak or obstruction.¹³⁹ Furthermore, intranodal lymphangiography is emerging as a useful technique for CLA diagnostics. It is used to determine sites of leakage for surgical ligation and for therapeutic embolization of CCLA-type lesions.¹⁴⁰

The increased sensitivity of mutant DNA detection in blood circulation has made it possible to diagnose mutations in amplified cell-free DNA from plasma, as well as cyst and pleural effusion fluid from KLA and LM patients.^{64,141} Such liquid biopsies may provide opportunities to initiate targeted pharmacotherapy even before surgical interventions. Assessment of cytokine concentrations in plasma has identified potential biomarker proteins, such as VEGF-D in GSD and soluble VEGFR3 plus ANGPT2 in KLA.^{100,101} Single-cell sequencing, proteomic, lipidomic, and NMR-based metabolomics platforms for scalable, comprehensive, and batch-effect free metabolic analysis should accelerate scientific breakthroughs leading to highly sensitive and accurate diagnostics. This, combined with a better understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms should help in stratifying patients and refining disease classification, thereby guiding the choice of treatment.

THERAPEUTIC OPTIONS

Nonpharmacological Treatment Strategies

Surgical resection, sclerotherapy, and laser therapy can provide local control and symptomatic relief to LM and CLA patients with recurrent effusions, infections, and pain. Treatment is usually done to restore or preserve function or for esthetic reasons.¹⁴² Often a combined treatment is needed. The therapeutic decision depends on the location and size of the malformation, the affected tissue, and the experience of the physicians. Treatment in a multidisciplinary center secures the best therapeutic approach.

Laser ablation can be used to reduce oozing from the superficial dermal or mucosal lymphatic vesicles.¹⁴³ Most commonly, yttrium aluminum garnet laser is used,¹⁴⁴ but reappearance of vesicles is commonly seen. Surgical resection of LMs is challenging and requires experience, time, and an accurate technical

approach, as LMs often invade important anatomic structures. Surgery is best indicated for well-localized micro- and macrocystic LMs, for which complete resection, and thus cure, can be achieved. However, this is rarely feasible, and resections are commonly only partial. Sclerotherapy is frequently used for macrocystic LMs. Repeated treatments are needed, yet recurrence is common over long term. Several sclerosing agents have been used, including 3% sodium tetradecyl sulfate, doxycycline, and bleomycin, with success rates from 40% to 100%.^{145,146} Eighty percent of macrocystic and 50% of microcystic LMs showed significant improvement in response to bleomycin sclerotherapy during 1 to 3 years of follow-up.¹⁴⁷

Treatment of CLAs is more complicated. Surgery is rarely possible due to the localization and extent of the lesions. Sclerotherapy can sometimes be applied for macrocystic LMs, but as the lesions are often located deep inside organs, delivery of the therapy can be difficult.

Pharmacological Treatment Strategies

Recent progress in the identification of germline and somatic mutations that result in activation of well-known intracellular signaling pathways in LMs and CLAs has raised interest in drug-based therapeutic interventions for lymphatic and vascular anomalies. Because the PI3K-AKT-mTOR and RAS-MAPK pathways are well-known drug targets in cancer, several small molecule inhibitors have been repurposed for use in LM and CLA. The mTOR inhibitor rapamycin (also known as sirolimus) has been used for many years in the context of organ transplantation due to its immunosuppressive effect, providing its detailed pharmacological profile and midterm and long-term adverse effects.¹⁴⁸ Clinical drug trials targeting vascular anomalies have increased during the past 10 years, and some of them have focused on lymphatic anomalies that will be discussed here.

mTOR Inhibitor Rapamycin (Sirolimus)

Rapamycin inhibited lymphatic vessel overgrowth in mouse models of *Pik3ca*-driven LM and GLA.^{63,121} In the clinic, rapamycin was first tested on an off-label basis in patients with complex life-threatening vascular anomalies. These studies included patients with lymphatic anomalies such as KLA, capillary-lymphatic-venous malformation, and LM patients. In retrospective analysis, rapamycin alleviated symptoms, including pain, functional limitation, and oozing in about 80% of the treated cases within 3 months,^{149–152} although none of the patients were completely cured.

The first prospective clinical trial included 6 patients with various vascular anomalies refractory to current treatments (range, 14–64 years). One KTS patient with

a somatic *PIK3CA* mutation^{153,154} was highly symptomatic due to a large lesion, daily abdominal lymphatic oozing, chronic ulceration, recurrent infections, and muscle weakness. This patient received 2 mg of rapamycin daily for 26 months before becoming eligible for a surgery of the extensive dorsoabdominal capillary-lymphatic-venous malformation. Rapamycin stopped the oozing and infectious episodes, healed the ulceration, improved muscle tone, and promoted an improved quality of life.^{153,154} The patient used rapamycin for a total of 6 years without important adverse effects.

Other prospective trials have since confirmed the efficacy and tolerability of rapamycin. An important study on mostly pediatric patients included 22 LMs, 13 capillary-lymphatic-venous malformations, 3 LVMs, and 3 CLAs.¹⁵⁵ After 1 year of rapamycin treatment, 89% of the patients had an improved quality of life, 80% had improved organ dysfunction, and 52% presented >20% reduction in lesion size,¹⁵⁵ yet none of the lesions disappeared completely.

Another phase II prospective trial enrolled 19 patients with extensive slow-flow vascular malformations, including 10 patients with lymphatic anomalies consisting of 6 LMs, 2 GLAs, and 2 cases of KTS.¹⁵⁴ The patients were somewhat older than those in the study of Adams and coworkers¹⁵⁵ (median age of 8 versus 15 years). They all continued to have severe symptoms and poor quality of life, even after all possible therapeutic interventions, including repeated sclerotherapies or surgical resections. Although none of the lesions disappeared during rapamycin treatment, functional limitations, pain, bleeding, oozing, and infections decreased in all patients. After 3 months of treatment, 53% of the patients had over 50% improvement in their quality of life, and an additional 32% of patients showed 20% to 50% improvement that persisted at the 6- and 12-month evaluation time points.¹⁵⁴ Similar results were recently observed in a single-center study using rapamycin in 56 pediatric patients (median age, 24 months) with complex LMs.¹⁵⁶

VASE—a phase III multicentric study evaluating the efficacy and safety of sirolimus in Vascular Anomalies that are refractory to standard care—is currently evaluating rapamycin efficacy in European patients with complex slow-flow vascular malformations that are refractory to standard treatment (EudraCT2015-001703-32; <https://www.clinicaltrials.gov>; unique identifier: NCT02638389). VASE is a collaborative network project of European centers specialized in vascular anomalies, coordinated by Prof L.M. Boon. VASE started in January 2016, and it plans to enroll 250 patients, who are treated with rapamycin for 2 years. Preliminary results on the first 101 patients with at least 6 months of follow-up were recently discussed in the International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies 2020 virtual meeting. Fourteen of the patients had LMs, including 4 GLAs, 2 GSDs, and 6 cases of KTS/CLOVES. Eighty-seven percent of

patients presented improvement in pain, functional limitation, or quality of life. In 43% of the patients, >75% improvement in pain was recorded within 3 months, and in 34% of the patients, >75% improvement in functional limitation was obtained.¹⁵⁷

Rapamycin has also been tested on PROS patients, in which the relief symptoms were less impressive.¹⁵⁸ Rapamycin was used off label for 39 patients prospectively, at least 5 of whom were reported to have LMs. In 2 patients, superficial LMs decreased. A 6-month treatment did not provide improvement in the quality of life and led only to a modest reduction in lesion size (mean decrease, 7%), although patients with a predominant adipose hyperplasia seemed to respond more than others. An important parameter that may have contributed to the poor efficacy was the lower rapamycin plasma concentration in PROS patients (2–6 versus 10–15 ng/mL in the other studies). The authors speculated that rapamycin could be more effective in actively growing tissue than in inducing regression of prior tissue overgrowth.¹⁵⁸

p110 α Inhibitor Alpelisib (BYL719)

Alpelisib is a p110 α -specific PI3K inhibitor, which was recently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for treatment of *PIK3CA*-mutated breast cancer.¹⁵⁹ Alpelisib was first tested in a transgenic mouse model of PROS expressing a *Pik3ca* with a hot spot mutation.¹⁵⁹ The mice developed hypertrophic lesions, mimicking those in human PROS patients.¹⁶⁰ Both alpelisib and rapamycin improved the survival of the transgenic mice, but unlike rapamycin, alpelisib also improved organ dysfunction. Alpelisib was also tested off label, prospectively, on 19 patients with PROS refractory to standard of care.¹⁶⁰ Important soft tissue overgrowth was the major sign in all patients. Eight of the patients had CLOVES, but there was no mention of LMs. Like rapamycin in lymphatic anomalies, alpelisib improved symptoms in CLOVES patients. Importantly, a 37% reduction in lesion size was observed after 6 months of treatment. Improvement of genital vascular malformation was observed in 1 patient with CLOVES syndrome treated with alpelisib.¹⁶¹ Short-term adverse effects of alpelisib seem to be similar to those of rapamycin—the most frequent being mouth ulcerations. However, alpelisib also induced transient hyperglycemia in 3 patients,¹⁶⁰ as it does in 30% to 60% of breast cancer patients, necessitating a careful follow-up after the initiation of treatment.¹⁵⁹

MEK Inhibitor Trametinib in the Therapy of CLAs

As already mentioned, various CLAs are associated with activation of the RAS-MAPK signaling pathway (Table). The Food and Drug Administration–approved MEK inhibitor trametinib effectively rescued lymphatic phenotypes

in a zebra fish model expressing a mutant *ARAF*⁶⁹ and in a mouse model of *Kras*-driven GSD.¹⁰⁸ MEK inhibitors trametinib and solumetinib could thus be used in the therapy of CLAs.

Both rapamycin and trametinib reduced the viability of *NRAS* mutant LECs, which showed abundant AKT and ERK phosphorylation and proliferation rate, cultured from a KLA patient.⁶⁶ These 2 drugs may have synergistic benefits, as previous studies have shown that mTORC1 activation leads to PI3K and MAPK inhibition through a negative feedback loop stemming from S6 kinase, which is downstream of mTOR¹⁶² (Figure 2). Thus, treatment with mTORC1 inhibitors can increase activation of the RAS-RAF-MEK1/2-ERK pathway, whereas addition of the MEK inhibitor can abrogate the feedback, diminishing the RAS-ERK signals.

In another KLA patient with *NRAS* mutation, and in a KLA patient with a *CBL* mutation,⁶⁷ low-dose trametinib induced rapid improvement of clinical symptoms.⁶⁷ Similarly, in one of the CCLA patients who had an *ARAF* mutation,⁶⁹ as well as in one patient with Noonan syndrome with *SOS1* mutation and similar severe lymphatic abnormalities,¹⁶³ trametinib induced remodeling of the lymphatic system and resolution of symptoms. As the PI3K-AKT and RAS-MAPK pathways have multiple interaction points (Figure 2), combination therapies including mTORC1 or PI3K inhibition plus RAS pathway inhibition holds promise for the management of CLAs.

FUTURE THERAPEUTIC DIRECTIONS

Current treatments for lymphatic anomalies focus on targeting of LEC-autonomous activation of the mutant pathways with rapamycin or alpelisib, suggesting that other available inhibitors of these pathways could also be repurposed. Although the initial stage of lesion formation likely involves selective expansion of the mutant cells that can be targeted with these inhibitors, paracrine signaling between mutant and normal LECs and their stroma may critically contribute to driving disease pathogenesis and thus provide additional targets for therapeutic intervention (Figure 6A).

As discussed above, increased paracrine VEGF-C signaling is observed in LMs. This is driven by upregulation of the VEGF-C receptors VEGFR3 and NRP2 in LECs^{121,124,125} and likely further promoted by infiltration of VEGF-C-producing immune cells around the lesions in mice and human patients.^{121,126} Inhibition of paracrine VEGF-C signaling using a soluble VEGF-C-trap was more effective than rapamycin in inhibiting growth of *Pik3ca*-driven LMs in mice and when administered in combination with rapamycin, it even promoted regression of the abnormal lymphatic vessels.¹²¹ This suggests that effective therapeutic benefit may be achieved only by targeting both the *PIK3CA*-driven signaling and the microenvironment-derived paracrine signaling, originating, in part, from the immune cell infiltrate. Indeed, it is possible that the

beneficial effect of rapamycin is partly due to its immunosuppressive functions.¹⁴⁸ The importance of paracrine signaling in driving disease manifestation in lymphatic anomalies is underscored by the finding that VEGF-C-driven growth of normal LECs into the bone is sufficient to recapitulate key aspects of disease pathology, including osteolysis, in a mouse model of GSD.¹²² Another interesting observation is that in lymphangioleiomyomatosis, which is characterized by cystic destruction of the lungs, core lymphangioleiomyomatosis cells carrying inactivating mutations in *TSC1* or *TSC2* express high levels of the other VEGFR3 ligand, VEGF-D, and thereby recruit a coating by normal LECs that induce cysts in the lungs.^{98,99}

Direct cell-cell interaction between mutant and normal LECs may induce a phenotypic change in the latter and thereby promote their participation in the lesions. Interestingly, mosaic inactivation of VEGFR3 in LECs in mice promoted lymphatic vessel hyperplasia by activating proliferation of neighboring wild type LECs through cell-cell contact mediated regulation of NOTCH signaling,⁴⁶ thus revealing a noncell-autonomous (ie, not driven by cells targeted by the genetic alteration) mechanism driving pathological vessel growth. Such mechanism may be involved also in LMs and CLAs.

Taken together, emerging evidence from mechanistic studies points to paracrine mechanisms that act in synergy with oncogenic activation of LEC signaling to drive LM pathogenesis. This provides a possibility to develop new effective therapeutics. The approaches could be further explored in ongoing clinical trials, in which single small molecule inhibitors are tested using incremental dosages to avoid adverse effects without compromising efficacy. Combinatorial treatment with the VEGFR3 inhibitor to block paracrine VEGF-C signaling could allow the use of smaller doses of the small molecule inhibitors, for example, rapamycin, resulting in less adverse effects. Such combinatorial treatments could also enhance the cellular effects of rapamycin, which, at the tolerated doses used in the clinic, effectively stops cell growth but rarely induces regression of the lesions. High drug concentration shown to promote regression of hyperplastic lymphatic vessels, but also body weight loss, in mice¹⁶⁴ could possibly be achieved by topical treatment locally, with minimal effects on other organs.¹⁶⁵

Since VEGF-C is not required for the maintenance of most lymphatic vascular beds in adults, its inhibition alone may not be sufficient for regression of hyperplastic lymphatic vessels already present in the lesions. Interestingly, whereas inflammation- or VEGF-C-induced lymphatic vessel hyperplasia persists after inflammation resolution or normalization of VEGF-C levels in the airways,^{164,166} inflammation-induced expansion of the lymph node lymphatic vasculature was reversible.¹⁶⁷ Understanding the mechanisms that prevent and promote lymphatic vessel regression in such situations could reveal additional therapeutic targets. For example, cellular metabolic pathways in LECs

expressing the oncogenic form of p110 α —a key regulator of cell metabolism—could offer targetable vulnerabilities.

The revolution of immunotherapy in the treatment of cancer patients encourages testing it in other diseases, perhaps also in LMs and CLAs. However, checkpoint therapy is unlikely to be effective in the treatment of lymphatic anomalies because the LECs in these lesions should not have a high mutational burden that in cancer generates antigenic targets and predicts a favorable response to immune checkpoint inhibitors.¹⁶⁸

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Affiliations

Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, Sweden (T.M.). Division of Plastic Surgery, Center for Vascular Anomalies, Cliniques Universitaires Saint Luc, UCLouvain, Brussels, Belgium (L.M.B.). Human Molecular Genetics, de Duve Institute, University of Louvain, Brussels, Belgium (L.M.B., M.V.). Walloon Excellence in Lifesciences and Biotechnology, University of Louvain, Brussels, Belgium (M.V.). Wihuri Research Institute and Translational Cancer Medicine Program, Biomedicum, University of Helsinki, Finland (K.A.).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr Sinem Karaman for help with the artwork of Figure 1; TM Lab members Dr Simon Stritt, Milena Petkova, and Dr Ines Martinez-Corral for histological images; Dr Veli-Matti Leppänen for Figure 3B and 3C; and Dr Emmy Verschuren for comments on the manuscript.

Sources of Funding

T. Mäkinen's laboratory is supported by Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (2018.0218), the Swedish Research Council (2020-02692), the Swedish Cancer Society (19 0220 Pj, 19 0219 Us), Göran Gustafsson Foundation and the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement number 814316 (V.A. Cure), and under grant agreement number 874708 (TheraLymph). M. Vikkula's laboratory is supported by the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique-FNRS grant T.0247.19, Fund Generet managed by the King Baudouin Foundation (grant number 2018-J1810250-211305), and by la Région wallonne dans le cadre du financement de l'axe stratégique FRFS-WELBIO (WELBIO-CR-2019C-06). It is also funded by the Lymphatic Malformation Institute and the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement number 814316 (V.A. Cure) and under grant agreement number 874708 (TheraLymph). K. Alitalo's laboratory is supported by the Wihuri Foundation, the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the grant agreement number 874708 (TheraLymph), the Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF16OC0023554), the Sigrid Jusélius Foundation, the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa Research Grant, The Cancer Foundation Finland and the Academy of Finland (335721, 307366, and 312516).

Disclosures

None.

REFERENCES

- Aspelund A, Robciuc MR, Karaman S, Mäkinen T, Alitalo K. Lymphatic system in cardiovascular medicine. *Circ Res*. 2016;118:515–530. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.306544
- Oliver G, Kipnis J, Randolph GJ, Harvey NL. The lymphatic vasculature in the 21st Century: novel functional roles in homeostasis and disease. *Cell*. 2020;182:270–296. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.039
- Petrova TV, Koh GY. Biological functions of lymphatic vessels. *Science*. 2020;369:eaax4063. doi:10.1126/science.aax4063
- Petrova TV, Koh GY. Organ-specific lymphatic vasculature: from development to pathophysiology. *J Exp Med*. 2018;215:35–49. doi: 10.1084/jem.20171868
- Aspelund A, Antila S, Proulx ST, Karlsen TV, Karaman S, Detmar M, Wiig H, Alitalo K. A dural lymphatic vascular system that drains brain interstitial fluid and macromolecules. *J Exp Med*. 2015;212:991–999. doi: 10.1084/jem.20142290
- Louveau A, Smirnov I, Keyes TJ, Eccles JD, Rouhani SJ, Peske JD, Derecki NC, Castle D, Mandell JW, Lee KS, et al. Structural and functional features of central nervous system lymphatic vessels. *Nature*. 2015;523:337–341. doi: 10.1038/nature14432
- Aspelund A, Tammela T, Antila S, Nurmi H, Leppänen VM, Zarkada G, Stanczuk L, Francois M, Mäkinen T, Saharinen P, et al. The Schlemm's canal is a VEGF-C/VEGFR-3-responsive lymphatic-like vessel. *J Clin Invest*. 2014;124:3975–3986. doi: 10.1172/JCI75395
- Kizhatil K, Ryan M, Marchant JK, Henrich S, John SW. Schlemm's canal is a unique vessel with a combination of blood vascular and lymphatic phenotypes that forms by a novel developmental process. *PLoS Biol*. 2014;12:e1001912. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001912
- Park DY, Lee J, Park I, Choi D, Lee S, Song S, Hwang Y, Hong KY, Nakaoka Y, Mäkinen T, et al. Lymphatic regulator PROX1 determines Schlemm's canal integrity and identity. *J Clin Invest*. 2014;124:3960–3974. doi: 10.1172/JCI75392
- Brouillard P, Boon L, Vikkula M. Genetics of lymphatic anomalies. *J Clin Invest*. 2014;124:898–904. doi: 10.1172/JCI71614
- Mendola A, Schlögel MJ, Ghalamkarpour A, Irrthum A, Nguyen HL, Fastré E, Bygum A, van der Vleuten C, Fagerberg C, Baselga E, et al; Lymphedema Research Group. Mutations in the VEGFR3 signaling pathway explain 36% of familial lymphedema. *Mol Syndromol*. 2013;4:257–266. doi: 10.1159/000354097
- Fang J, Dagenais SL, Erickson RP, Arlt MF, Glynn MW, Gorski JL, Seaver LH, Glover TW. Mutations in FOXC2 (MFH-1), a forkhead family transcription factor, are responsible for the hereditary lymphedema-distichiasis syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2000;67:1382–1388. doi: 10.1086/316915
- Finegold DN, Kimak MA, Lawrence EC, Levinson KL, Cherniske EM, Pober BR, Dunlap JW, Ferrell RE. Truncating mutations in FOXC2 cause multiple lymphedema syndromes. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2001;10:1185–1189. doi: 10.1093/hmg/10.11.1185
- Kazenwadel J, Secker GA, Liu YJ, Rosenfeld JA, Wildin RS, Cuellar-Rodriguez J, Hsu AP, Dyack S, Fernandez CV, Chong CE, et al. Loss-of-function germline GATA2 mutations in patients with MDS/AML or MonoMAC syndrome and primary lymphedema reveal a key role for GATA2 in the lymphatic vasculature. *Blood*. 2012;119:1283–1291. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-08-374363
- Ostergaard P, Simpson MA, Connell FC, Steward CG, Brice G, Woollard WJ, Dafou D, Kilo T, Smithson S, Lunt P, et al. Mutations in GATA2 cause primary lymphedema associated with a predisposition to acute myeloid leukemia (Emberger syndrome). *Nat Genet*. 2011;43:929–931. doi: 10.1038/ng.923
- Frye M, Taddei A, Dierkes C, Martinez-Corral I, Fielden M, Orsäter H, Kazenwadel J, Calado DP, Ostergaard P, Salminen M, et al. Matrix stiffness controls lymphatic vessel formation through regulation of a GATA2-dependent transcriptional program. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9:1511. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03959-6
- Petrova TV, Karpanen T, Norrmén C, Mellor R, Tamakoshi T, Finegold D, Ferrell R, Kerjaschki D, Mortimer P, Ylä-Herttuala S, et al. Defective valves and abnormal mural cell recruitment underlie lymphatic vascular failure in lymphedema distichiasis. *Nat Med*. 2004;10:974–981. doi: 10.1038/nm1094
- Alders M, Hogan BM, Gjini E, Salehi F, Al-Gazali L, Hennekam EA, Holmberg EE, Mannens MM, Mulder MF, Offerhaus GJ, et al. Mutations in CCBE1 cause generalized lymph vessel dysplasia in humans. *Nat Genet*. 2009;41:1272–1274. doi: 10.1038/ng.484
- Brouillard P, Dupont L, Helaers R, Coulie R, Tiller GE, Peeden J, Colige A, Vikkula M. Loss of ADAMTS3 activity causes Hennekam lymphangiectasia-lymphedema syndrome 3. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2017;26:4095–4104. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddx297
- Bos FL, Caunt M, Peterson-Maduro J, Planas-Paz L, Kowalski J, Karpanen T, van Impel A, Tong R, Ernst JA, Korving J, et al. CCBE1 is essential for mammalian lymphatic vascular development and enhances the lymphangiogenic effect of vascular endothelial growth factor-C in vivo. *Circ Res*. 2011;109:486–491. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.250738
- Le Guen L, Karpanen T, Schulte D, Harris NC, Koltowska K, Roukens G, Bower NI, van Impel A, Stacker SA, Achen MG, et al. Ccbe1 regulates VegfC-mediated induction of Vegfr3 signaling during embryonic lymphangiogenesis. *Development*. 2014;141:1239–1249. doi: 10.1242/dev.100495
- Jeltsch M, Jha SK, Tvorogov D, Anisimov A, Leppänen VM, Holopainen T, Kivelä R, Ortega S, Karpanen T, Alitalo K. CCBE1 enhances lymphangiogenesis via A disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin motifs-3-mediated vascular endothelial growth factor-C activation. *Circulation*. 2014;129:1962–1971. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.002779
- Trenor CC 3rd, Chaudry G. Complex lymphatic anomalies. *Semin Pediatr Surg*. 2014;23:186–190. doi: 10.1053/j.sempedsurg.2014.07.006

24. Wigle JT, Oliver G. Prox1 function is required for the development of the murine lymphatic system. *Cell*. 1999;98:769–778. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81511-1
25. Karkkainen MJ, Haiko P, Sainio K, Partanen J, Taipale J, Petrova TV, Jeltsch M, Jackson DG, Talikka M, Rauvala H, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor C is required for sprouting of the first lymphatic vessels from embryonic veins. *Nat Immunol*. 2004;5:74–80. doi: 10.1038/ni1013
26. Hägerling R, Pollmann C, Andreas M, Schmidt C, Nurmi H, Adams RH, Alitalo K, Andresen V, Schulte-Merker S, Kiefer F. A novel multistep mechanism for initial lymphangiogenesis in mouse embryos based on ultramicroscopy. *EMBO J*. 2013;32:629–644. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2012.340
27. Stanczuk L, Martinez-Corral I, Ulvmar MH, Zhang Y, Laviña B, Fruttiger M, Adams RH, Saur D, Betsholtz C, Ortega S, et al. cKit lineage hemogenic endothelium-derived cells contribute to mesenteric lymphatic vessels. *Cell Rep*. 2015;10:1708–1721. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.02.026
28. Martinez-Corral I, Ulvmar MH, Stanczuk L, Tatin F, Kizhatil K, John SW, Alitalo K, Ortega S, Mäkinen T. Nonvenous origin of dermal lymphatic vasculature. *Circ Res*. 2015;116:1649–1654. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.306170
29. Pichol-Thievend C, Betterman KL, Liu X, Ma W, Skoczylas R, Lesieur E, Bos FL, Schulte D, Schulte-Merker S, Hogan BM, et al. A blood capillary plexus-derived population of progenitor cells contributes to genesis of the dermal lymphatic vasculature during embryonic development. *Development*. 2018;145:dev160184. doi:10.1242/dev.160184.
30. Klotz L, Norman S, Vieira JM, Masters M, Rohling M, Dubé KN, Bollini S, Matsuzaki F, Carr CA, Riley PR. Cardiac lymphatics are heterogeneous in origin and respond to injury. *Nature*. 2015;522:62–67. doi: 10.1038/nature14483
31. Stone OA, Stainier DYR. Paraxial mesoderm is the major source of lymphatic endothelium. *Dev Cell*. 2019;50:247.e3–255.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2019.04.034
32. Gancz D, Rafferty BC, Perlmutter G, Marín-Juez R, Semo J, Matsuoka RL, Karra R, Raviv H, Moshe N, Addadi Y, et al. Distinct origins and molecular mechanisms contribute to lymphatic formation during cardiac growth and regeneration. *Elife*. 2019;8:e44153. doi:10.7554/eLife.44153.
33. Lioux G, Liu X, Temiño S, Oxendine M, Ayala E, Ortega S, Kelly RG, Oliver G, Torres M. A second heart field-derived vasculogenic niche contributes to cardiac lymphatics. *Dev Cell*. 2020;52:350.e6–363.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2019.12.006
34. Petrova TV, Mäkinen T, Mäkelä TP, Saarela J, Virtanen I, Ferrell RE, Finegold DN, Kerjaschki D, Ylä-Herttuala S, Alitalo K. Lymphatic endothelial reprogramming of vascular endothelial cells by the Prox-1 homeobox transcription factor. *EMBO J*. 2002;21:4593–4599. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdf470
35. Johnson NC, Dillard ME, Baluk P, McDonald DM, Harvey NL, Frase SL, Oliver G. Lymphatic endothelial cell identity is reversible and its maintenance requires Prox1 activity. *Genes Dev*. 2008;22:3282–3291. doi: 10.1101/gad.1727208
36. Wigle JT, Harvey N, Detmar M, Lagutina I, Grosveld G, Gunn MD, Jackson DG, Oliver G. An essential role for Prox1 in the induction of the lymphatic endothelial cell phenotype. *EMBO J*. 2002;21:1505–1513. doi: 10.1093/emboj/21.7.1505
37. Bazigou E, Lyons OT, Smith A, Venn GE, Cope C, Brown NA, Mäkinen T. Genes regulating lymphangiogenesis control venous valve formation and maintenance in mice. *J Clin Invest*. 2011;121:2984–2992. doi: 10.1172/JCI58050
38. Kenig-Kozlovsky Y, Scott RP, Onay T, Carota IA, Thomson BR, Gil HJ, Ramirez V, Yamaguchi S, Tanna CE, Heinen S, et al. Ascending vasa recta are angiopoietin/Tie2-dependent lymphatic-like vessels. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2018;29:1097–1107. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2017090962
39. Dumont DJ, Jussila L, Taipale J, Lymboussaki A, Mustonen T, Pajusola K, Breitman M, Alitalo K. Cardiovascular failure in mouse embryos deficient in VEGF receptor-3. *Science*. 1998;282:946–949. doi: 10.1126/science.282.5390.946
40. Srinivasan RS, Escobedo N, Yang Y, Interiano A, Dillard ME, Finkelstein D, Mukatira S, Gil HJ, Nurmi H, Alitalo K, et al. The Prox1-Vegfr3 feedback loop maintains the identity and the number of lymphatic endothelial cell progenitors. *Genes Dev*. 2014;28:2175–2187. doi: 10.1101/gad.216226.113
41. Baldwin ME, Halford MM, Roufai S, Williams RA, Hibbs ML, Grahl D, Kubo H, Stacker SA, Achen MG. Vascular endothelial growth factor D is dispensable for development of the lymphatic system. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2005;25:2441–2449. doi: 10.1128/MCB.25.6.2441-2449.2005
42. Bui HM, Enis D, Robciuc MR, Nurmi HJ, Cohen J, Chen M, Yang Y, Dhillon V, Johnson K, Zhang H, et al. Proteolytic activation defines distinct lymphangiogenic mechanisms for VEGFC and VEGFD. *J Clin Invest*. 2016;126:2167–2180. doi: 10.1172/JCI83967
43. Janssen L, Dupont L, Bekhouche M, Noel A, Leduc C, Voz M, Peers B, Cataldo D, Apte SS, Dubail J, et al. ADAMTS3 activity is mandatory for embryonic lymphangiogenesis and regulates placental angiogenesis. *Angiogenesis*. 2016;19:53–65. doi: 10.1007/s10456-015-9488-z
44. Wang G, Muhl L, Padberg Y, Dupont L, Peterson-Maduro J, Stehling M, le Noble F, Colige A, Betsholtz C, Schulte-Merker S, et al. Specific fibroblast subpopulations and neuronal structures provide local sources of Vegf-processing components during zebrafish lymphangiogenesis. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11:2724. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16552-7
45. Mäkinen T, Jussila L, Veikkola T, Karpanen T, Kettunen MI, Pulkkanen KJ, Kauppinen R, Jackson DG, Kubo H, Nishikawa S, et al. Inhibition of lymphangiogenesis with resulting lymphedema in transgenic mice expressing soluble VEGF receptor-3. *Nat Med*. 2001;7:199–205. doi: 10.1038/84651
46. Zhang Y, Ulvmar MH, Stanczuk L, Martinez-Corral I, Frye M, Alitalo K, Mäkinen T. Heterogeneity in VEGFR3 levels drives lymphatic vessel hyperplasia through cell-autonomous and non-cell-autonomous mechanisms. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9:1296. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03692-0
47. Baluk P, Fuxe J, Hashizume H, Romano T, Lashnits E, Butz S, Vestweber D, Corada M, Molendini C, Dejana E, et al. Functionally specialized junctions between endothelial cells of lymphatic vessels. *J Exp Med*. 2007;204:2349–2362. doi: 10.1084/jem.20062596
48. Zheng W, Nurmi H, Appak S, Sabine A, Bovay E, Korhonen EA, Orsenigo F, Lohela M, D'Amico G, Holopainen T, et al. Angiopoietin 2 regulates the transformation and integrity of lymphatic endothelial cell junctions. *Genes Dev*. 2014;28:1592–1603. doi: 10.1101/gad.237677.114
49. Geng X, Cha B, Mahamud MR, Srinivasan RS. Intraluminal valves: development, function and disease. *Dis Model Mech*. 2017;10:1273–1287. doi: 10.1242/dmm.030825
50. Kazenwadel J, Betterman KL, Chong CE, Stokes PH, Lee YK, Secker GA, Agalarov Y, Demir CS, Lawrence DM, Sutton DL, et al. GATA2 is required for lymphatic vessel valve development and maintenance. *J Clin Invest*. 2015;125:2979–2994. doi: 10.1172/JCI78888
51. Martin-Almedina S, Martinez-Corral I, Holdhus R, Vicente A, Fotiou E, Lin S, Petersen K, Simpson MA, Hoischen A, Gillissen C, et al. EPHB4 kinase-inactivating mutations cause autosomal dominant lymphatic-related hydrops fetalis. *J Clin Invest*. 2016;126:3080–3088. doi: 10.1172/JCI85794
52. Zhang G, Brady J, Liang WC, Wu Y, Henkemeyer M, Yan M. EphB4 forward signalling regulates lymphatic valve development. *Nat Commun*. 2015;6:6625. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7625
53. Mäkinen T, Adams RH, Bailey J, Lu Q, Ziemiecki A, Alitalo K, Klein R, Wilkinson GA. PDZ interaction site in ephrinB2 is required for the remodeling of lymphatic vasculature. *Genes Dev*. 2005;19:397–410. doi: 10.1101/gad.330105
54. Ulvmar MH, Mäkinen T. Heterogeneity in the lymphatic vascular system and its origin. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2016;111:310–321. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cwv175
55. Antila S, Karaman S, Nurmi H, Airavaara M, Voutilainen MH, Mathivet T, Chilov D, Li Z, Koppinen T, Park JH, et al. Development and plasticity of meningeal lymphatic vessels. *J Exp Med*. 2017;214:3645–3667. doi: 10.1084/jem.20170391
56. Nurmi H, Saharinen P, Zarkada G, Zheng W, Robciuc MR, Alitalo K. VEGF-C is required for intestinal lymphatic vessel maintenance and lipid absorption. *EMBO Mol Med*. 2015;7:1418–1425. doi: 10.15252/emmm.201505731
57. Saaristo A, Tammela T, Farkkilä A, Kärkkäinen M, Suominen E, Ylä-Herttuala S, Alitalo K. Vascular endothelial growth factor-C accelerates diabetic wound healing. *Am J Pathol*. 2006;169:1080–1087. doi: 10.2353/ajpath.2006.051251
58. Vahtomeri K, Alitalo K. Lymphatic vessels in tumor dissemination versus immunotherapy. *Cancer Res*. 2020;80:3463–3465. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-0156
59. Farnsworth RH, Achen MG, Stacker SA. The evolving role of lymphatics in cancer metastasis. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2018;53:64–73. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2018.04.008
60. Kim J, Oliver G, Koh GY, Kim J, Park D, Bae H, Park DY, Kim D, Lee C, Song S. Impaired angiopoietin / Tie2 signaling compromises Schlemm's canal integrity and induces glaucoma. Find the latest version : impaired angiopoietin / Tie2 signaling compromises Schlemm's canal integrity and induces glaucoma. 2017;127:3877–3896.
61. Osborn AJ, Dickie P, Neilson DE, Glaser K, Lynch KA, Gupta A, Dickie BH. Activating PIK3CA alleles and lymphangiogenic phenotype of lymphatic endothelial cells isolated from lymphatic malformations. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2015;24:926–938. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddu505
62. Luks VL, Kamitaki N, Vivero MP, Uller W, Rab R, Bovée JV, Rialon KL, Guevara CJ, Alomari AI, Greene AK, et al. Lymphatic and other vascular malformative/

- overgrowth disorders are caused by somatic mutations in PIK3CA. *J Pediatr*. 2015;166:1048.e1–1054.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.12.069
63. Rodríguez-Laguna L, Agra N, Ibañez K, Oliva-Molina G, Gordo G, Khurana N, Hominick D, Beato M, Colmenero I, Herranz G, et al. Somatic activating mutations in PIK3CA cause generalized lymphatic anomaly. *J Exp Med*. 2019;216:407–418. doi: 10.1084/jem.20181353
 64. Ozeki M, Aoki Y, Nozawa A, Yasue S, Endo S, Hori Y, Matsuoka K, Niihori T, Funayama R, Shirota M, et al. Detection of NRAS mutation in cell-free DNA biological fluids from patients with kaposiform lymphangiomatosis. *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2019;14:215. doi: 10.1186/s13023-019-1191-5
 65. Barclay SF, Inman KW, Luks VL, McIntyre JB, Al-Ibraheemi A, Church AJ, Perez-Atayde AR, Mangray S, Jeng M, Kreimer SR, et al. A somatic activating NRAS variant associated with kaposiform lymphangiomatosis. *Genet Med*. 2019;21:1517–1524. doi: 10.1038/s41436-018-0390-0
 66. Manevitz-Mendelson E, Lechner GS, Barel O, Davidi-Avrahami I, Ziv-Strasser L, Eyal E, Pessach I, Rimoni U, Barzilai A, Hirshberg A, et al. Somatic NRAS mutation in patient with generalized lymphatic anomaly. *Angiogenesis*. 2018;21:287–298. doi: 10.1007/s10456-018-9595-8
 67. Foster JB, Li D, March ME, Sheppard SE, Adams DM, Hakonarson H, Dori Y. Kaposiform lymphangiomatosis effectively treated with MEK inhibition. *EMBO Mol Med*. 2020;12:e12324. doi: 10.15252/emmm.202012324
 68. Nozawa A, Ozeki M, Niihori T, Suzui N, Miyazaki T, Aoki Y. A somatic activating KRAS variant identified in an affected lesion of a patient with Gorham-Stout disease. *J Hum Genet*. 2020;65:995–1001. doi: 10.1038/s10038-020-0794-y
 69. Li D, March ME, Gutierrez-Uzquiza A, Kao C, Seiler C, Pinto E, Matsuoka LS, Battig MR, Bhoj EJ, Wenger TL, et al. ARAF recurrent mutation causes central conducting lymphatic anomaly treatable with a MEK inhibitor. *Nat Med*. 2019;25:1116–1122. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0479-2
 70. Li D, Wenger TL, Seiler C, March ME, Gutierrez-Uzquiza A, Kao C, Bhoj E, Tian L, Rosenbach M, Liu Y, et al. Pathogenic variant in EPHB4 results in central conducting lymphatic anomaly. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2018;27:3233–3245. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddy218
 71. Wassef M, Blei F, Adams D, Alomari A, Baselga E, Berenstein A, Burrows P, Frieden IJ, Garzon MC, Lopez-Gutierrez JC, et al. Vascular Anomalies Classification: Recommendations From the International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies. *Pediatrics*. 2015;136:e203-14.
 72. Gordon K, Varney R, Keeley V, Riches K, Jeffery S, Van Zanten M, Mortimer P, Ostergaard P, Mansour S. Update and audit of the St George's classification algorithm of primary lymphatic anomalies: a clinical and molecular approach to diagnosis. *J Med Genet*. 2020;57:653–659. doi: 10.1136/jmedgenet-2019-106084
 73. Dixelius J, Mäkinen T, Wirzenius M, Karkkainen MJ, Wernstedt C, Alitalo K, Claesson-Welsh L. Ligand-induced vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-3 (VEGFR-3) heterodimerization with VEGFR-2 in primary lymphatic endothelial cells regulates tyrosine phosphorylation sites. *J Biol Chem*. 2003;278:40973–40979. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M304499200
 74. Joukov V, Sorsa T, Kumar V, Jeltsch M, Claesson-Welsh L, Cao Y, Saksela O, Kalkinen N, Alitalo K. Proteolytic processing regulates receptor specificity and activity of VEGF-C. *EMBO J*. 1997;16:3898–3911. doi: 10.1093/emboj/16.13.3898
 75. Xu Y, Yuan L, Mak J, Pardanau L, Caunt M, Kasman I, Larrivière B, Del Toro R, Suchting S, Medvinsky A, et al. Neupilin-2 mediates VEGF-C-induced lymphatic sprouting together with VEGFR3. *J Cell Biol*. 2010;188:115–130. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200903137
 76. Deng Y, Zhang X, Simons M. Molecular controls of lymphatic VEGFR3 signaling. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2015;35:421–429. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.114.304881
 77. Mäkinen T, Veikkola T, Mustjoki S, Karpanen T, Catimel B, Nice EC, Wise L, Mercer A, Kowalski H, Kerjaschki D, et al. Isolated lymphatic endothelial cells transduce growth, survival and migratory signals via the VEGF-C/D receptor VEGFR-3. *EMBO J*. 2001;20:4762–4773. doi: 10.1093/emboj/20.17.4762
 78. Wirzenius M, Tammela T, Uutela M, He Y, Odorisio T, Zambruno G, Nagy JA, Dvorak HF, Ylä-Herttuala S, Shibuya M, et al. Distinct vascular endothelial growth factor signals for lymphatic vessel enlargement and sprouting. *J Exp Med*. 2007;204:1431–1440. doi: 10.1084/jem.20062642
 79. Shibuya M. VEGFR and type-V RTK activation and signaling. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. 2013;5:a009092. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a009092
 80. Simons M, Gordon E, Claesson-Welsh L. Mechanisms and regulation of endothelial VEGF receptor signalling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2016;17:611–625. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2016.87
 81. Kobiakka P, Graupera M. Revisiting PI3-kinase signalling in angiogenesis. *Vasc Biol*. 2019;1:H125–H134. doi: 10.1530/VB-19-0025
 82. Mouta-Bellum C, Kirov A, Miceli-Libby L, Mancini ML, Petrova TV, Liaw L, Prudovsky I, Thorpe PE, Miura N, Cantley LC, et al. Organ-specific lymphangiectasia, arrested lymphatic sprouting, and maturation defects resulting from gene-targeting of the PI3K regulatory isoforms p85alpha, p55alpha, and p50alpha. *Dev Dyn*. 2009;238:2670–2679. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.22078
 83. Zhou F, Chang Z, Zhang L, Hong YK, Shen B, Wang B, Zhang F, Lu G, Tvorogov D, Alitalo K, et al. Akt/Protein kinase B is required for lymphatic network formation, remodeling, and valve development. *Am J Pathol*. 2010;177:2124–2133. doi: 10.2353/ajpath.2010.091301
 84. Ichise T, Yoshida N, Ichise H, H-N, and Kras cooperatively regulate lymphatic vessel growth by modulating VEGFR3 expression in lymphatic endothelial cells in mice. *Development*. 2010;137:1003–1013. doi: 10.1242/dev.043489
 85. Lapinski PE, Kwon S, Lubeck BA, Wilkinson JE, Srinivasan RS, Sevcik-Muraca E, King PD. RASA1 maintains the lymphatic vasculature in a quiescent functional state in mice. *J Clin Invest*. 2012;122:733–747. doi: 10.1172/JCI46116
 86. Roth Flach RJ, Guo CA, Danaei LV, Yawe JC, Gujja S, Edwards YJ, Czech MP. Endothelial mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase kinase 4 is critical for lymphatic vascular development and function. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2016;36:1740–1749. doi: 10.1128/MCB.01121-15
 87. Gupta S, Ramjaun AR, Haiko P, Wang Y, Warne PH, Nicke B, Nye E, Stamp G, Alitalo K, Downward J. Binding of ras to phosphoinositide 3-kinase p110alpha is required for ras-driven tumorigenesis in mice. *Cell*. 2007;129:957–968. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.03.051
 88. Huang J, Manning BD. The TSC1-TSC2 complex: a molecular switchboard controlling cell growth. *Biochem J*. 2008;412:179–190. doi: 10.1042/BJ20080281
 89. Kania A, Klein R. Mechanisms of ephrin-Eph signalling in development, physiology and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2016;17:240–256. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2015.16
 90. Kim I, Ryu YS, Kwak HJ, Ahn SY, Oh JL, Yancopoulos GD, Gale NW, Koh GY. EphB ligand, ephrinB2, suppresses the VEGF- and angiotensin 1-induced Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway in venous endothelial cells. *FASEB J*. 2002;16:1126–1128. doi: 10.1096/fj.01-0805fje
 91. Xiao X, Carrasco R, Kinneer K, Sabol D, Jallal B, Coats S, Tice DA. EphB4 promotes or suppresses Ras/MEK/ERK pathway in a context-dependent manner: implications for EphB4 as a cancer target. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 2012;13:630–637. doi: 10.4161/cbt.20080
 92. Lapinski PE, Lubeck BA, Chen D, Doosti A, Zawieja SD, Davis MJ, King PD. RASA1 regulates the function of lymphatic vessel valves in mice. *J Clin Invest*. 2017;127:2569–2585. doi: 10.1172/JCI89607
 93. Chen D, Geng X, Lapinski PE, Davis MJ, Srinivasan RS, King PD. RASA1-driven cellular export of collagen IV is required for the development of lymphovenous and venous valves in mice. *Development*. 2020;147:dev192351. doi:10.1242/dev.192351.
 94. Frye M, Stritt S, Ortsäter H, Hernandez Vasquez M, Kaakinen M, Vicente A, Wiseman J, Eklund L, Martínez-Torrecuadrada JL, Vestweber D, et al. EphrinB2-EphB4 signalling provides Rho-mediated homeostatic control of lymphatic endothelial cell junction integrity. *Elife*. 2020;9:e57732. doi:10.7554/eLife.57732.
 95. Wang Y, Nakayama M, Pitulescu ME, Schmidt TS, Bochenek ML, Sakakibara A, Adams S, Davy A, Deutsch U, Lüthi U, et al. Ephrin-B2 controls VEGF-induced angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. *Nature*. 2010;465:483–486. doi: 10.1038/nature09002
 96. Saharinen P, Eklund L, Alitalo K. Therapeutic targeting of the angiotensin-TIE pathway. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2017;16:635–661. doi: 10.1038/nrd.2016.278
 97. Leppänen V-M, Brouillard P, Korhonen EA, Sipilä T, Jha SK, Revencu N, Labarue V, Fastré E, Schlögel M, Ravoet M, et al. Characterization of ANGPT2 mutations associated with primary lymphedema. *Sci Transl Med*. 2020;12:eaax8013. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aax8013.
 98. Guo M, Yu JJ, Perl AK, Wikenheiser-Brokamp KA, Riccetti M, Zhang EY, Sudha P, Adam M, Potter A, Koprass EJ, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis identifies a unique pulmonary lymphangiomyomatosis cell. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2020;202:1373–1387. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201912-2445OC
 99. Steagall WK, Moss J. Needle in the haystack: finding the elusive lymphangiomyomatosis cell. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2020;202:1329–1330. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202006-2436ED
 100. Le Cras TD, Mobberley-Schuman PS, Broering M, Fei L, Trenor CC 3rd, Adams DM. Angiotensins as serum biomarkers for lymphatic anomalies. *Angiogenesis*. 2017;20:163–173. doi: 10.1007/s10456-016-9537-2

101. Ozeki M, Nozawa A, Kawamoto N, Fujino A, Hirakawa S, Fukao T. Potential biomarkers of kaposiform lymphangiomatosis. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2019;66:e27878. doi: 10.1002/pbc.27878
102. Limaye N, Wouters V, Uebelhoefer M, Tuominen M, Wirkkala R, Mulliken JB, Eklund L, Boon LM, Vikkula M. Somatic mutations in angiopoietin receptor gene TEK cause solitary and multiple sporadic venous malformations. *Nat Genet*. 2009;41:118–124. doi: 10.1038/ng.272
103. Michelini S, Ricci M, Veselenyiova D, Kenanoglu S, Kurti D, Baglivo M, Fiorentino A, Basha SH, Priya S, Serrani R, et al. TIE1 as a candidate gene for lymphatic malformations with or without lymphedema. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020;21:6780. doi:10.3390/ijms21186780.
104. Wiegand S, Wichmann G, Dietz A. Treatment of lymphatic malformations with the mTOR inhibitor sirolimus: a systematic review. *Lymphat Res Biol*. 2018;16:330–339. doi: 10.1089/lrb.2017.0062
105. Uebelhoefer M, Boon LM, Vikkula M. Vascular anomalies: from genetics toward models for therapeutic trials. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2012;2:a009688. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a009688.
106. Ozeki M, Fukao T. Generalized lymphatic anomaly and gorham-stout disease: overview and recent insights. *Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle)*. 2019;8:230–245. doi: 10.1089/wound.2018.0850
107. Iacobas I, Adams DM, Pimpalwar S, Phung T, Blei F, Burrows P, Lopez-Gutierrez JC, Levine MA, Trenor CC 3rd. Multidisciplinary guidelines for initial evaluation of complicated lymphatic anomalies-expert opinion consensus. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2020;67:e28036. doi: 10.1002/pbc.28036
108. Homayun SN, McCarter AL, Helaers R, Galant C, Boon LM, Brouillard P, Vikkula M, Dellinger MT. A somatic activating KRAS mutation in a Gorham-Stout disease patient and a mouse model effectively treated with trametinib. *submitted*. 2021.
109. Schlögel M, Homayun SN, Helaers R, Queisser A, Fastré E, Schmitz S, Clapuyt P, Hammer F, Domp Martin A, Weitz-Tuoretmaa A, et al. Non-hotspot PIK3CA mutations are more frequent in CLOVES and Klippel-Trenaunay syndromes than in lymphatic malformations. *submitted*.
110. Castel P, Carmona FJ, Grego-Bessa J, Berger MF, Viale A, Anderson KV, Bague S, Scaltriti M, Antonescu CR, Baselga E, et al. Somatic PIK3CA mutations as a driver of sporadic venous malformations. *Sci Transl Med*. 2016;8:332ra42. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf1164
111. Castillo SD, Tzouanacou E, Zaw-Thin M, Berenjeno IM, Parker VE, Chivite I, Milà-Guasch M, Pearce W, Solomon I, Angulo-Urarte A, et al. Somatic activating mutations in Pik3ca cause sporadic venous malformations in mice and humans. *Sci Transl Med*. 2016;8:332ra43. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aad9982
112. Limaye N, Kangas J, Mendola A, Godfraind C, Schlögel MJ, Helaers R, Eklund L, Boon LM, Vikkula M. Somatic activating PIK3CA mutations cause venous malformation. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2015;97:914–921. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.11.011
113. Madsen RR, Vanhaesebroeck B, Semple RK. Cancer-associated PIK3CA mutations in overgrowth disorders. *Trends Mol Med*. 2018;24:856–870. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2018.08.003
114. Burke JE, Perisic O, Masson GR, Vadas O, Williams RL. Oncogenic mutations mimic and enhance dynamic events in the natural activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase p110 α (PIK3CA). *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012;109:15259–15264. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1205508109
115. Seront E, Van Damme A, Boon LM, Vikkula M. Rapamycin and treatment of venous malformations. *Curr Opin Hematol*. 2019;26:185–192. doi: 10.1097/MOH.0000000000000498
116. Zenner K, Cheng CV, Jensen DM, Timms AE, Shivaram G, Bly R, Ganti S, Whitlock KB, Dobyns WB, Perkins J, et al. Genotype correlates with clinical severity in PIK3CA-associated lymphatic malformations. *JCI Insight*. 2019;4:e129884. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.129884.
117. Lindhurst MJ, Sapp JC, Teer JK, Johnston JJ, Finn EM, Peters K, Turner J, Cannons JL, Bick D, Blakemore L, et al. A mosaic activating mutation in AKT1 associated with the Proteus syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365:611–619. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1104017
118. Baltaxe HA, Lee JG, Ehlers KH, Engle MA. Pulmonary lymphangiectasia demonstrated by lymphangiography in 2 patients with Noonan's syndrome. *Radiology*. 1975;115:149–153. doi: 10.1148/115.1.149
119. Noonan JA, Walters LR, Reeves JT. Congenital pulmonary lymphangiectasia. *Am J Dis Child*. 1970;120:314–319. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.1970.02100090088006
120. Lissewski C, Chune V, Pantaleoni F, De Luca A, Capri Y, Brinkmann J, Lepri F, Daniele P, Leenders E, Mazzanti L, et al. Variants of SOS2 are a rare cause of Noonan syndrome with particular predisposition for lymphatic complications. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2021;29:51–60. doi: 10.1038/s41431-020-00708-6
121. Martinez-Corral I, Zhang Y, Petkova M, Ortsäter H, Sjöberg S, Castillo SD, Brouillard P, Libbrecht L, Saur D, Graupera M, et al. Blockade of VEGF-C signaling inhibits lymphatic malformations driven by oncogenic PIK3CA mutation. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11:2869. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16496-y
122. Hominick D, Silva A, Khurana N, Liu Y, Dechow PC, Feng JQ, Pytowski B, Rutkowski JM, Alitalo K, Dellinger MT. VEGF-C promotes the development of lymphatics in bone and bone loss. *Elife*. 2018;7:e34323. doi:10.7554/eLife.34323.
123. Blesinger H, Kaulfuß S, Aung T, Schwoch S, Prantl L, Rößler J, Wilting J, Becker J. PIK3CA mutations are specifically localized to lymphatic endothelial cells of lymphatic malformations. *PLoS One*. 2018;13:e0200343. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0200343
124. Boscolo E, Coma S, Luks VL, Greene AK, Klagsbrun M, Warman ML, Bischoff J. AKT hyper-phosphorylation associated with PI3K mutations in lymphatic endothelial cells from a patient with lymphatic malformation. *Angiogenesis*. 2015;18:151–162. doi: 10.1007/s10456-014-9453-2
125. Partanen TA, Vuola P, Jauhainen S, Lohi J, Salminen P, Pitkäranta A, Häkkinen SK, Honkonen K, Alitalo K, Ylä-Herttuala S. Neuropilin-2 and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-3 are up-regulated in human vascular malformations. *Angiogenesis*. 2013;16:137–146. doi: 10.1007/s10456-012-9305-x
126. Kirsh AL, Cushing SL, Chen EY, Schwartz SM, Perkins JA. Tertiary lymphoid organs in lymphatic malformations. *Lymphat Res Biol*. 2011;9:85–92. doi: 10.1089/lrb.2010.0018
127. Laketa V, Zerbakhsh S, Traynor-Kaplan A, Macnamara A, Subramanian D, Putyrski M, Mueller R, Nadler A, Mentel M, Saez-Rodriguez J, et al. PIP₃ induces the recycling of receptor tyrosine kinases. *Sci Signal*. 2014;7:ra5. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2004532
128. Lala S, Mulliken JB, Alomari AI, Fishman SJ, Kozakewich HP, Chaudry G. Gorham-Stout disease and generalized lymphatic anomaly—clinical, radiologic, and histologic differentiation. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2013;42:917–924. doi: 10.1007/s00256-012-1565-4
129. Wang W, Wang H, Zhou X, Li X, Sun W, Dellinger M, Boyce BF, Xing L. Lymphatic endothelial cells produce M-CSF, causing massive bone loss in mice. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2017;32:939–950. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.3077
130. Mirzaa G, Timms AE, Conti V, Boyle EA, Girisha KM, Martin B, Kircher M, Olds C, Juusola J, Collins S, et al. PIK3CA-associated developmental disorders exhibit distinct classes of mutations with variable expression and tissue distribution. *JCI Insight*. 2016;1:e87623. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.87623.
131. McGranahan N, Swanton C. Clonal heterogeneity and tumor evolution: past, present, and the future. *Cell*. 2017;168:613–628. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.01.018
132. Akers AL, Johnson E, Steinberg GK, Zabramski JM, Marchuk DA. Biallelic somatic and germline mutations in cerebral cavernous malformations (CCMs): evidence for a two-hit mechanism of CCM pathogenesis. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2009;18:919–930. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddn430
133. Pagenstecher A, Stahl S, Sure U, Felber U. A two-hit mechanism causes cerebral cavernous malformations: complete inactivation of CCM1, CCM2 or CCM3 in affected endothelial cells. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2009;18:911–918. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddn420
134. Dettner MR, Snellings DA, Marchuk DA. Cerebral cavernous malformations develop through clonal expansion of mutant endothelial cells. *Circ Res*. 2018;123:1143–1151. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.313970
135. Malinverno M, Maderna C, Abu Taha A, Corada M, Orsenigo F, Valentino M, Pisati F, Fusco C, Graziano P, Giannotta M, et al. Endothelial cell clonal expansion in the development of cerebral cavernous malformations. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10:2761. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10707-x
136. Garcia-Gonzalez I, Mühleder S, Fernández-Chacón M, Benedito R. Genetic tools to study cardiovascular biology. *Front Physiol*. 2020;11:1084. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.01084
137. Fernández-Chacón M, Casquero-García V, Luo W, Francesca Lunella F, Ferreira Rocha S, Del Olmo-Cabrera S, Benedito R. iSuRe-Cre is a genetic tool to reliably induce and report Cre-dependent genetic modifications. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10:2262. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10239-4
138. Pontes-Quero S, Heredia L, Casquero-García V, Fernández-Chacón M, Luo W, Hermoso A, Bansal M, Garcia-Gonzalez I, Sanchez-Muñoz MS, Perea JR, et al. Dual ifgMosaic: a versatile method for multispectral and combinatorial mosaic gene-function analysis. *Cell*. 2017;170:800.e18–814.e18. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.031
139. Itkin M, McCormack FX. Nonmalignant adult thoracic lymphatic disorders. *Clin Chest Med*. 2016;37:409–420. doi: 10.1016/j.ccm.2016.04.004
140. Nadolski GJ, Itkin M. Feasibility of ultrasound-guided intranodal lymphangiogram for thoracic duct embolization. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2012;23:613–616. doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2012.01.078

141. Zenner K, Jensen DM, Cook TT, Dmyterko V, Bly RA, Ganti S, Mirzaa GM, Dobyns WB, Perkins JA, Bennett JT. Cell-free DNA as a diagnostic analyte for molecular diagnosis of vascular malformations. *Genet Med*. 2021;23:123–130. doi: 10.1038/s41436-020-00943-8
142. Kalwani NM, Rockson SG. Management of lymphatic vascular malformations: a systematic review of the literature *J Vasc Surg Venous Lymphat Disord*. 2021; S2213-333X(21)00073-1. doi: 10.1016/j.jvsv.2021.01.013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvsv.2021.01.013>
143. Lapidoth M, Ackerman L, Amitai DB, Raveh E, Kalish E, David M. Treatment of lymphangioma circumscriptum with combined radiofrequency current and 900 nm diode laser. *Dermatol Surg*. 2006;32:790–794. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-4725.2006.32162.x
144. Chang CJ, Hsiao YC. Long term follow-up of intralesional laser photocoagulation for head and neck lymphatic malformations using neodymium-yttrium-aluminum-garnet laser. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2020;84:288–292. doi: 10.1097/SAP.0000000000002049
145. Horbach SE, Lokhorst MM, Saeed P, de Goüyon Matignon de Pontouraudé CM, Rothová A, van der Horst CM. Sclerotherapy for low-flow vascular malformations of the head and neck: a systematic review of sclerosing agents. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*. 2016;69:295–304. doi: 10.1016/j.bjps.2015.10.045
146. McCafferty I. Management of low-flow vascular malformations: clinical presentation, classification, patient selection, imaging and treatment. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2015;38:1082–1104. doi: 10.1007/s00270-015-1085-4
147. Muir T, Kirsten M, Fourie P, Dippenaar N, Ionescu GO. Intralesional bleomycin injection (IBI) treatment for haemangiomas and congenital vascular malformations. *Pediatr Surg Int*. 2004;19:766–773. doi: 10.1007/s00383-003-1058-6
148. Calne RY, Collier DS, Lim S, Pollard SG, Samaan A, White DJ, Thiru S. Rapamycin for immunosuppression in organ allografting. *Lancet*. 1989;2:227. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(89)90417-0
149. Hammill AM, Wentzel M, Gupta A, Nelson S, Lucky A, Elluru R, Dasgupta R, Azizkhan RG, Adams DM. Sirolimus for the treatment of complicated vascular anomalies in children. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2011;57:1018–1024. doi: 10.1002/pbc.23124
150. Lackner H, Karastaneva A, Schwinger W, Benesch M, Sovinz P, Seidel M, Sperl D, Lanz S, Haxhija E, Reiterer F, et al. Sirolimus for the treatment of children with various complicated vascular anomalies. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2015;174:1579–1584. doi: 10.1007/s00431-015-2572-y
151. Nadal M, Giraudeau B, Tavernier E, Jonville-Bera AP, Lorette G, Maruani A. Efficacy and safety of mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors in vascular anomalies: a systematic review. *Acta Derm Venereol*. 2016;96:448–452. doi: 10.2340/00015555-2300
152. Triana P, Dore M, Cerezo VN, Cervantes M, Sánchez AV, Ferrero MM, González MD, Lopez-Gutierrez JC. Sirolimus in the treatment of vascular anomalies. *Eur J Pediatr Surg*. 2017;27:86–90. doi: 10.1055/s-0036-1593383
153. Boscolo E, Limaye N, Huang L, Kang KT, Soblet J, Uebelhoer M, Mendola A, Natynki M, Seront E, Dupont S, et al. Rapamycin improves TIE2-mutated venous malformation in murine model and human subjects. *J Clin Invest*. 2015;125:3491–3504. doi: 10.1172/JCI76004
154. Hammer J, Seront E, Duez S, Dupont S, Van Damme A, Schmitz S, Hoyoux C, Chopinet C, Clapuyt P, Hammer F, et al. Sirolimus is efficacious in treatment for extensive and/or complex slow-flow vascular malformations: a monocentric prospective phase II study. *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2018;13:191. doi: 10.1186/s13023-018-0934-z
155. Adams DM, Trenor CC 3rd, Hammill AM, Vinks AA, Patel MN, Chaudry G, Wentzel MS, Mobberley-Schuman PS, Campbell LM, Brookbank C, et al. Efficacy and safety of sirolimus in the treatment of complicated vascular anomalies. *Pediatrics*. 2016;137:e20153257. doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-3257
156. Tian R, Liang Y, Zhang W, Wang J, Shan Y, Gao H, Xie C, Li J, Xu M, Gu S. Effectiveness of sirolimus in the treatment of complex lymphatic malformations: single center report of 56 cases. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2020;55:2454–2458. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2019.12.021
157. Seront E, Van Damme A, Bisdorff A, Orsel P, Domp martin-Blanchère A, Sevestre MA, Forget P, Clapuyt P, Hammer F, Legrand C, et al. Prospective phase III clinical trial VASE. Mid-term results on efficacy: sirolimus sensitivity and genetic profiles. In: ISSVA online Workshop. May 14-15, 2020. Abstract.
158. Parker VER, Keppler-Noreuil KM, Fèvre L, Luu M, Oden NL, De Silva L, Sapp JC, Andrews K, Bardou M, Chen KY, et al; PROMISE Working Group. Safety and efficacy of low-dose sirolimus in the PIK3CA-related overgrowth spectrum. *Genet Med*. 2019;21:1189–1198. doi: 10.1038/s41436-018-0297-9
159. André F, Ciruelos E, Rubovszky G, Campone M, Loibl S, Rugo HS, Iwata H, Conte P, Mayer IA, Kaufman B, et al; SOLAR-1 Study Group. Alpelisib for PIK3CA-mutated, hormone receptor-positive advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380:1929–1940. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1813904
160. Venot Q, Blanc T, Rabia SH, Berteloot L, Ladraa S, Duong JP, Blanc E, Johnson SC, Huguin C, Boccaro O, et al. Targeted therapy in patients with PIK3CA-related overgrowth syndrome. *Nature*. 2018;558:540–546. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0217-9
161. López Gutiérrez JC, Lizarraga R, Delgado C, Martínez Urrutia MJ, Díaz M, Miguel M, Triana P. Alpelisib treatment for genital vascular malformation in a patient with congenital lipomatous overgrowth, vascular malformations, epidermal nevi, and spinal/skeletal anomalies and/or scoliosis (CLOVES) syndrome. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2019;32:648–650. doi: 10.1016/j.jpag.2019.07.003
162. Carracedo A, Ma L, Teruya-Feldstein J, Rojo F, Salmena L, Alimonti A, Egia A, Sasaki AT, Thomas G, Kozma SC, et al. Inhibition of mTORC1 leads to MAPK pathway activation through a PI3K-dependent feedback loop in human cancer. *J Clin Invest*. 2008;118:3065–3074. doi: 10.1172/JCI34739
163. Dori Y, Smith C, Pinto E, Snyder K, March ME, Hakonarson H, Belasco J. Severe lymphatic disorder resolved with mek inhibition in a patient with Noonan syndrome and sos1 mutation. *Pediatrics*. 2020;146:e20200167. doi:10.1542/peds.2020-0167
164. Baluk P, Yao L-C, Flores JC, Choi D, Hong Y-K, McDonald DM. Rapamycin reversal of VEGF-C-driven lymphatic anomalies in the respiratory tract. *JCI Insight*. 2017;2:e90103. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.90103
165. García-Montero P, Del Boz J, Baselga-Torres E, Azaña-Defez JM, Alcaraz-Vera M, Tercedor-Sánchez J, Noguera-Morel L, Vera-Casaño Á. Use of topical rapamycin in the treatment of superficial lymphatic malformations. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2019;80:508–515. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2018.09.050
166. Baluk P, Tammela T, Ator E, Lyubynska N, Achen MG, Hicklin DJ, Jeltsch M, Petrova TV, Pytowski B, Stacker SA, et al. Pathogenesis of persistent lymphatic vessel hyperplasia in chronic airway inflammation. *J Clin Invest*. 2005;115:247–257. doi: 10.1172/JCI22037
167. Mumprecht V, Roudnicky F, Detmar M. Inflammation-induced lymph node lymphangiogenesis is reversible. *Am J Pathol*. 2012;180:874–879. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.11.010
168. Schumacher TN, Schreiber RD. Neoantigens in cancer immunotherapy. *Science*. 2015;348:69–74. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa4971
169. Karaman S, Aspelund A, Detmar M, Alitalo K. The lymphatic system. Krams R and Bäck M, eds. *ESC Textbook in Vascular Biology*. 2017: 123–137.
170. Mendoza MC, Er EE, Blenis J. The Ras-ERK and PI3K-mTOR pathways: cross-talk and compensation. *Trends Biochem Sci*. 2011;36:320–328. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2011.03.006
171. Leppänen VM, Protá AE, Jeltsch M, Anisimov A, Kalkkinen N, Strandin T, Lankinen H, Goldman A, Ballmer-Hofer K, Alitalo K. Structural determinants of growth factor binding and specificity by VEGF receptor 2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2010;107:2425–2430. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0914318107
172. Leppänen VM, Tvorogov D, Kisko K, Protá AE, Jeltsch M, Anisimov A, Markovic-Mueller S, Stüttfeld E, Goldie KN, Ballmer-Hofer K, et al. Structural and mechanistic insights into VEGF receptor 3 ligand binding and activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2013;110:12960–12965. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1301415110