

Degree, quaternions and periodic solutions

Jean Mawhin

April 17, 2020

Abstract

The paper computes the Brouwer degree of some classes of homogeneous polynomials defined on quaternions and applies the results, together with a continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, to the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions of a class of systems of quaternionic valued ordinary differential equations.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) : 34C25, 55M25, 11R52.

Key words : Brouwer degree, coincidence degree, quaternionic equations, periodic solutions.

1 Introduction

The study of periodic solutions of quaternionic valued ordinary differential equations using topological degree techniques has been initiated in [3], and was followed by the interesting contributions of Zoladek [23] and Wilczynsky [20, 21]. Of interest are also the recent studies of linear equations by Cai and Kou [1, 2], Cheng, Kit and Xia [4], and Kou and Xia [9], of autonomous equations by Gasull, Llibre and Zhang [7, 22], and the applications to neural networks by Li and his coworkers [8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

In this paper, after recalling in Section 2 the required basic concepts and notations about quaternions, whose set is denoted by $\mathbb{H}$, we describe in Section 3 some results on the Jacobian of the powers of q given in [18], which are used in Section 4 to compute the Brouwer degree of powers of a quaternion q or of its conjugate $\bar{q}$. In Section 5, those formulas allow the determination of the Brouwer degree of some classes of homogeneous polynomials in q and $\bar{q}$ (Theorem 5.1). This result is applied to the obtention of existence theorems for some classes of algebraic quaternionic equations, and in particular to an extension of a theorem of Eilenberg and Niven [6] (Theorem 5.2). The case of systems of quaternionic mappings and equations is considered in Section 6 (Theorem 6.1).

Those formulas are then used in Section 7, together with a continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory ([15, 16]), to prove the existence of T -periodic solutions of systems of quaternionic valued ordinary differential equations of the form

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}_k}{dt} = \mathbf{a}_k \bar{\mathbf{q}}_k^{n_k} + \mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_m) \quad (1 \leq k \leq m),$$

where each $\mathbf{q}_k$ is a function from $[0, T]$ into $\mathbb{H}$, and the perturbations $\mathbf{g}_k(t, \cdot)$ verify a suitable growth condition at infinity (Theorem 7.1).

A single quaternionic valued differential equation corresponds to a four-dimensional system of differential equations. The presence of a multiplication for the quaternions often suggests and simplifies the obtention of the a priori bounds requested by the topological approach, which would remain inaccessible or very cumbersome in the equivalent four-dimensional formulation. On the other hand, the non-commutativity of the multiplication of quaternions complicates the obtention of those a priori bounds with respect to the case of similar real or complex valued differential equations.

In Section 8, when $\mathbf{g}_k(t, 0) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we add conditions on the behavior of $\mathbf{g}_k$ near $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$ ensuring the existence of non trivial periodic solutions for the same class of systems (Theorem 8.1).

2 Quaternions

We denote as usual a (real) quaternion $q = (q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ by

$$q = q_0e + q_1i + q_2j + q_3k,$$

where q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 are real numbers, e is the unit element and i, j, k satisfy the multiplication table formed by

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -e, \quad ij = -ji = k,$$

and the other products are derived by cyclic interchange of i, j, k [5]. This gives, if $r = r_0e + r_1i + r_2j + r_3k$,

$$\begin{aligned} qr &:= q_0r_0 - q_1r_1 - q_2r_2 - q_3r_3 + (q_0r_1 + q_1r_0 + q_2r_3 - q_3r_2)i \\ &+ (q_0r_2 - q_1r_3 + q_2r_0 + q_3r_1)j + (q_0r_3 + q_1r_2 - q_2r_1 + q_3r_0)k. \end{aligned}$$

The inner product, the modulus and the conjugate are respectively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q, r \rangle &= q_0r_0 + q_1r_1 + q_2r_2 + q_3r_3, \\ \|q\| &= \langle q, q \rangle^{1/2} = (q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2)^{1/2}, \\ \bar{q} &= q_0e - q_1i - q_2j - q_3k, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\overline{qr} = \bar{r}\bar{q}, \quad q\bar{q} = \bar{q}q = \|q\|^2e.$$

Moreover, q commutes with any quaternion r if and only if $q \in \mathbb{R}e$. We often identify $\mathbb{R}e$ with $\mathbb{R}$ and write q_0 instead of q_0e . Consequently,

$$\|qr\|^2 = qr\bar{qr} = qr\bar{r}\bar{q} = q\|r\|^2\bar{q} = q\bar{q}\|r\|^2 = \|q\|^2\|r\|^2,$$

so that

$$\|qr\| = \|q\|\|r\| = \|rq\|.$$

The above inner product makes $\mathbb{H}$ a four-dimensional real Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{e, i, j, k\}$. The real part operator is defined by

$$\Re : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad q = q_0 + q_1 i + q_2 j + q_3 k \mapsto q_0,$$

and the vectorial or imaginary part of $q \in \mathbb{H}$ by

$$\Im q := \tilde{q} = q_1 i + q_2 j + q_3 k.$$

An easy computation shows that

$$\tilde{q}^2 = -\|\tilde{q}\|^2,$$

so that any $I \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $I = \tilde{I}$ and $\|I\| = 1$ verifies the relation $I^2 = -1$. In this way, we can think in $\mathbb{H}$ as a bundle of complex planes with the real line as a common axis.

3 The Jacobian of powers of a quaternion or of its conjugate

If $n \geq 1$, if we identify $\mathbb{H}$ with $\mathbb{R}^4$, the mapping

$$p_n : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4, \quad q \mapsto q^n$$

is a homogeneous mapping vanishing only at 0. The following computation of the Jacobian J_{p_n} of the n^{th} power of a quaternion, taken from [18], is reproduced here for the reader convenience.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and $p_n : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, $q \mapsto q^n$ considered as a mapping from $\mathbb{R}^4$ into $\mathbb{R}^4$. Then*

$$J_{p_n}(q) = \begin{cases} n^4 \|q\|^{4(n-1)} & \text{if } \Im q = 0 \\ n^2 \|q\|^{2(n-1)} \left[\frac{\Im(q^n)}{\Im q} \right]^2 & \text{if } \Im q \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Proof. An elementary induction shows that the value at $h \in \mathbb{H}$ of the total derivative $Dp_n(q)$ of p_n at $q \in \mathbb{H}$ is given by

$$Dp_n(q)h = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} q^m h q^{n-1-m}. \quad (2)$$

We prove the lemma by choosing an appropriate basis for the quaternions. If $\Im q = 0$, then by the commutativity of q and h , we get $Dp_n(q)h = nq^{n-1}h$ and hence

$$J_{p_n}(q) = n^4 \|q\|^{4(n-1)}.$$

If $\Im q \neq 0$, q generates a 2-dimensional subfield of $\mathbb{H}$ which can be identified to $\mathbb{C}$, namely $\mathbb{C} = \{a + bI : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $I = \tilde{q}/\|\tilde{q}\|$. From (2), it is immediate

to check that $\mathbb{C}$ is invariant under the action of $Dp_n(q)$. The same is true for a fixed complementary subspace $\mathbb{D}$ of $\mathbb{H}$ spanned by quaternions J and K such that I, J, K verify the usual relations. By analogy with the complex case, one has $Dp_n(q)c = nq^{n-1}c$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and the Jacobian of the restriction of $Dp_n(q)$ on $\mathbb{C}$ is given by $n^2\|q\|^{2(n-1)}$. On the other hand, for $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $d \in \mathbb{D}$, we have $dc = \bar{c}d$, where $\bar{c}$ is the complex conjugate of c in $\mathbb{C}$, and hence

$$Dp_n(q)d = \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} q^m \bar{q}^{n-1-m} \right) d = \frac{q^n - \bar{q}^n}{q - \bar{q}} d = \frac{\Im(q^n)}{\Im q} d.$$

So the Jacobian of the restriction of $Dp_n(q)$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is $\left[\frac{\Im(q^n)}{\Im q} \right]^2$. Consequently, for $\Im q \neq 0$, one has

$$J_{p_n}(q) = n^2 \|q\|^{2(n-1)} \left[\frac{\Im(q^n)}{\Im q} \right]^2.$$

■

Corollary 3.1 *With the notations of Lemma 3.1, $J_{p_n}(q) \geq 0$ for all $q \in \mathbb{H}$, and $J_{p_n}(q) > 0$ outside of the set $\mathcal{N}$ of measure zero defined by*

$$\left\{ q \in \mathbb{H} : \left(\sin^2 \frac{k\pi}{n} \right) q_0^2 = \left(\cos^2 \frac{k\pi}{n} \right) (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2) \quad (1 \leq k \leq n-1) \right\}.$$

Proof. If $\Im q = 0$, it follows from (1) that $J_{p_n}(q) > 0$ for $q \neq 0$. If $\Im q \neq 0$, one can write $q = \|q\|(\cos \theta + I \sin \theta)$ with

$$I = \frac{\tilde{q}}{\|\tilde{q}\|}, \quad \cos \theta = \frac{q_0}{\|q\|}, \quad \sin \theta = \frac{\|\tilde{q}\|}{\|q\|},$$

so that $q^n = \|q\|^n (\cos n\theta + I \sin n\theta)$. By (1), $J_{p_n}(q) = 0$ if and only if $\Im q^n = 0$, i.e. if and only if $\theta = \frac{k\pi}{n}$ ($1 \leq k \leq 2n-1$). The set of those zeros is contained in the $n-1$ cones

$$\left\{ q \in \mathbb{H} : \left(\sin^2 \frac{k\pi}{n} \right) q_0^2 = \left(\cos^2 \frac{k\pi}{n} \right) (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2) \quad (1 \leq k \leq n-1) \right\}.$$

So $\mathcal{N}$ has measure zero. ■

4 The Brouwer degree of powers of a quaternion or of its conjugate

Let $B(R)$ denote the open ball of center 0 and radius $R > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$. The Brouwer degree $d[p_n, B(R), 0]$ of f with respect to $B(R)$ and 0 is well defined and independent of $R > 0$ (see. e.g. [17]). Recall [17] that if $f : \overline{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$

is of class C^1 and such that its Jacobian $J_f(q) \neq 0$ whenever $f(q) = 0$, then $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ is finite and

$$d[f, B(R), 0] = \sum_{q \in f^{-1}(\{0\})} \operatorname{sgn} J_f(q). \quad (3)$$

We now compute $d[p_n, B(R), 0]$ and $d[\overline{p}_n, B(R), 0]$.

Lemma 4.1 *For each $R > 0$, one has*

$$d[p_n, B(R), 0] = n. \quad (4)$$

Proof. By the homotopy invariance property of the Brouwer degree [17], we have, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small

$$d[p_n, B(R), 0] = d[p_n, B(R), \varepsilon i].$$

Now, the equation $q^n = \varepsilon i$ has n roots (see e.g. [5], p. 204-205), which are the n complex roots of εi , namely

$$q_k = \varepsilon^{1/n} \left[\cos \frac{(4k+1)\pi}{2n} + i \sin \frac{(4k+1)\pi}{2n} \right] \quad (0 \leq k \leq n-1). \quad (5)$$

None of those q_k is real, and hence, using formula (1), we obtain

$$J_{p_n}(q_k) = \frac{n^2 \varepsilon^{4(1-\frac{1}{n})}}{\sin^2 \frac{(4k+1)\pi}{2n}} > 0 \quad (0 \leq k \leq n-1),$$

so that formula (4) follows from formula (3). ■

For the case of the n^{th} power of the conjugate of q , we notice that $\overline{q}^n = \overline{q^n} = \overline{p}_n(q)$.

Lemma 4.2 *For each $R > 0$, one has*

$$d[\overline{p}_n, B(R), 0] = -n. \quad (6)$$

Proof. In terms of the components (q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3) of q ,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{p}_n : (q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3) &\mapsto (q_0^n, -q_1^n, -q_2^n, -q_3^n) \\ &= \operatorname{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)(q_0^n, q_1^n, q_2^n, q_3^n), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $J_{\overline{p}_n}(q) = -J_{p_n}(q)$. Formula (4) follows from formula (3). ■

5 The Brouwer degree of homogeneous polynomials of a quaternion and its conjugate

Let $J_1 \cup J_2$ be a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (one of the J_i can be empty), $n_1 = \#J_1$, $n_2 = \#J_2$, and, for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $c_j : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be defined by

$$c_j(q) = q \quad \text{if } j \in J_1, \quad c_j(q) = \bar{q} \quad \text{if } j \in J_2. \quad (7)$$

Given $\mathbf{a}_j \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$, $(0 \leq j \leq n)$, let $h_n : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be the homogeneous polynomial in q and $\bar{q}$ of degree n defined by

$$h_n(q) = a_0 c_1(q) a_1 c_2(q) a_2 \dots a_{n-1} c_n(q) a_n. \quad (8)$$

If we identify $\mathbb{H}$ with $\mathbb{R}^4$, $h_n : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ is a homogeneous polynomial mapping of degree n vanishing only at 0, and hence $d[h_n, B(R), 0]$ is well defined and independent of $R > 0$.

Theorem 5.1 *If the c_j are defined by formula (7), $a_j \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$), and if h_n is defined by formula (8), then, for each $R > 0$, one has*

$$d[h_n, B(R), 0] = n_1 - n_2.$$

Proof. Let $A_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ be continuous and such that

$$A_j(0) = 1, A_j(1) = a_j \quad (0 \leq j \leq n)$$

and let $g_n : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be defined by

$$g_n(q) = c_1(q) c_2(q) \dots c_n(q).$$

By the homotopy invariance of the Brouwer degree, we have

$$d[h_n, B(R), 0] = d[g_n, B(R), 0].$$

As $q\bar{q} = \bar{q}q = \|q\|^2$, we have $c_j(q)c_k(q) = c_k(q)c_j(q)$ for all $1 \leq j, k \leq n$. Hence,

$$g_n(q) = \begin{cases} q^{n_1-n_2} \|q\|^{2n_2} & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 \\ \|q\|^n & \text{if } n_1 = n_2 \\ \bar{q}^{n_2-n_1} \|q\|^{2n_1} & \text{if } n_1 < n_2. \end{cases}$$

Noticing that, on $\partial B(R)$, one has

$$g_n(q) = \begin{cases} q^{n_1-n_2} R^{2n_2} & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 \\ R^n & \text{if } n_1 = n_2 \\ \bar{q}^{n_2-n_1} R^{2n_1} & \text{if } n_1 < n_2, \end{cases}$$

and using the boundary dependence property of Brouwer degree [17], we obtain

$$d[g_n, B(R), 0] = \begin{cases} d[p_{n_1-n_2}, B(R), 0] & \text{if } n_1 > n_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } n_1 = n_2 \\ d[\overline{p_{n_2-n_1}}, B(R), 0] & \text{if } n_1 < n_2, \end{cases}$$

and the result follows from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2. ■

Corollary 5.1 *If the c_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) are defined by formula (7), $a_j \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$), h_n is defined by formula (8), $g : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is continuous and if there exists $R > 0$ such that*

$$\|g(q)\| < \left(\prod_{k=0}^n \|a_k\| \right) R^n \text{ for all } q \in \partial B(R),$$

then

$$d_B[h_n + g, B(R), 0] = n_1 - n_2. \quad (9)$$

Proof. For each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and each $q \in \partial B(R)$, we have, by assumption

$$\|h_n(q) + \lambda g(q)\| \geq \|h_n(q)\| - \|g(q)\| = \left(\prod_{k=0}^n \|a_k\| \right) R^n - \|g(q)\| > 0,$$

and the result follows from the homotopy invariance of the Brouwer degree and Theorem 5.1. $\blacksquare$

A direct application of Corollary 5.1 is a slight extension of the fundamental theorem of algebra for quaternions proved by Eilenberg and Niven [6] using Brouwer degree arguments. A more analytical proof of Eilenberg-Niven theorem can be found in [19], and a direct proof based only upon differential forms is given in [18].

Theorem 5.2 *With the notations of (7), if $a_j \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$), $n_1 \neq n_2$, and $g : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is a continuous function such that*

$$\lim_{\|q\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|g(q)\|}{\|q\|^n} = 0, \quad (10)$$

then the equation

$$a_0 c_1(q) a_1 c_2(q) a_2 \dots c_n(q) a_n + g(q) = 0$$

has at least one solution in $\mathbb{H}$.

Proof. By condition (10), there exists $R > 0$ such that, for $q \in \partial B(R)$,

$$\|g(q)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{k=0}^n \|a_k\| \right) \|q\|^n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{k=0}^n \|a_k\| \right) R^n < \left(\prod_{k=0}^n \|a_k\| \right) R^n.$$

Consequently, by Corollary 5.1, $d[h_n + g, B(R), 0] = n_1 - n_2 \neq 0$, and the result follows from the existence property of the Brouwer degree [17]. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 5.2 *If $n \geq 1$, $a_j \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$), and if g verifies condition (10), then the equation*

$$a_0 q a_1 q a_2 \dots q a_n + g(q) = 0$$

has at least one solution in $\mathbb{H}$. This is in particular the case if $g(q)$ is a polynomial in q of degree smaller or equal to $n - 1$.

An immediate consequence of Corollary 5.2 is a fundamental theorem of algebra for some classes of quaternionic polynomials.

Corollary 5.3 *If $n \geq 1$, $a_j \in \mathbb{H}$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$), $b_j \in \mathbb{H}$ ($0 \leq j \leq n-1$), and $a_0, b_0 \neq 0$, the quaternionic polynomial*

$$a_0 q^n b_0 + a_1 q^{n-1} b_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} q b_{n-1} + a_n$$

has at least one zero in $\mathbb{H}$.

6 A generalization to systems of quaternionic equations

Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer, $\mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_m) \in \mathbb{H}^m$, and using the notations of Section 5, for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, let $n_k \geq 1$ be an integer, $J_{k,1} \cup J_{k,2}$ be a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, n_k\}$ (one of the $J_{k,i}$ can be empty), $n_{k,1} = \#J_{k,1}$, $n_{k,2} = \#J_{k,2}$, and, for each $1 \leq j \leq n_k$, define $c_{k,j} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ by

$$c_{k,j}(q) = q \quad \text{if } j \in J_{k,1}, \quad c_{k,j}(q) = \bar{q} \quad \text{if } j \in J_{k,2}.$$

Given $a_{k,j} \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ ($0 \leq j \leq n_k$), let $h_{k,n_k} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be the homogeneous polynomial mapping of q and $\bar{q}$ of degree n defined by

$$h_{k,n_k}(q) = a_{k,0} c_{k,1}(q) a_{k,1} c_{k,2}(q) a_{k,2} \dots a_{k,n_k-1} c_{k,n_k}(q) a_{k,n_k},$$

and $\mathbf{h} : \mathbb{H}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^m$ be the mapping defined by

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{q}) = (h_{1,n_1}(\mathbf{q}_1), \dots, h_{m,n_m}(\mathbf{q}_m)).$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{0}$ if and only if $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$, and the Brouwer degree $d[\mathbf{h}, B(R_1) \times \dots \times B(R_m), \mathbf{0}]$ exists and is independent of $R_k > 0$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$).

Theorem 6.1 *With the notations above,*

$$d[\mathbf{h}, B(R_1) \times \dots \times B(R_m), \mathbf{0}] = \prod_{k=1}^m (n_{k,1} - n_{k,2}). \quad (11)$$

Proof. It follows from the cartesian product property of the Brouwer degree [17] and from Theorem 5.1 that

$$d[\mathbf{h}, B(R_1) \times \dots \times B(R_m), \mathbf{0}] = \prod_{k=1}^m d[h_{k,n_k}, B(R_k), 0] = \prod_{k=1}^m (n_{k,1} - n_{k,2}).$$

■

Remark 6.1 Because of the excision property of the Brouwer degree [17] and the fact that $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{q})$ only vanishes at $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$, formula (11) holds with $B(R_1) \times \dots \times B(R_m)$ replaced by any open bounded neighborhood of the origin.

Let $\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_m) : \mathbb{H}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^m$ be a continuous mapping.

Corollary 6.1 *With the notations and assumptions of Theorem 6.1, assume that, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ there exists $R_k > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathbf{g}_k(\mathbf{q})\| < \left(\prod_{j=0}^m \|a_{k,j}\| \right) R_k^{n_k} \text{ for all } \mathbf{q} \in \partial[B(R_1) \times \dots \times B(R_m)]. \quad (12)$$

Then

$$d[\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{g}, B(R_1) \times \dots \times B(R_m), \mathbf{0}] = \prod_{k=1}^m (n_{k,1} - n_{k,2}).$$

Proof. We show that for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and each $\mathbf{q} \in \partial[B(R_1) \times \dots \times B(R_m)]$, we have $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{q}) + \lambda \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{q}) \neq \mathbf{0}$. If it is not the case, there is some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and some $\mathbf{q} \in \partial[B(R_1) \times \dots \times B(R_m)]$ such that, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, one has $\mathbf{h}_k(\mathbf{q}_k) + \lambda \mathbf{g}_k(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{0}$. As $\mathbf{q} \in \partial[B(R_1) \times \dots \times B(R_m)]$, there is some $p \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\|\mathbf{q}_p\| = R_p$, and hence, using (12),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \|\mathbf{h}_p(\mathbf{q}_p) + \lambda \mathbf{g}_p(\mathbf{q})\| \geq \|\mathbf{h}_p(\mathbf{q}_p)\| - \|\mathbf{g}_p(\mathbf{q})\| \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=0}^m \|a_{p,j}\| \right) R_p^{n_p} - \|\mathbf{g}_p(\mathbf{q})\| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. The result then follows from the homotopy invariance property of the Brouwer degree and formula (11). $\blacksquare$

7 Periodic solutions of quaternionic systems of differential equations

Let $n_k, m \geq 1$ be integers, $T > 0$, $\mathbf{a}_k \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$), $\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_m) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{H}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^m$ be continuous, and let us consider the T-periodic problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{q}_k}{dt} &= \mathbf{a}_k \overline{\mathbf{q}_k}^{n_k} + \mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_m) \\ \mathbf{q}_k(0) &= \mathbf{q}_k(T) \quad (1 \leq k \leq m). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We write, like in Section 6, $\mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_m)$ and $\|\mathbf{q}\|_m = \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \|\mathbf{q}_k\|$. Set

$$n_0 := \min\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m\}.$$

The following existence result is not contained in the theorems given in [3].

Theorem 7.1 *If the condition*

$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{q}\|_m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q})}{\|\mathbf{q}_1\|^{n_0} + \dots + \|\mathbf{q}_m\|^{n_0}} = 0 \quad (14)$$

holds uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$, then the problem (13) has at least one solution.

Proof. We use the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory ([16], Theorem 2.4) in the space $C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m)$ with the uniform norm

$$\|\mathbf{q}\|_C := \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|.$$

Defining $L : D(L) \subset C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m) \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m)$ by

$$D(L) = \{\mathbf{q} \in C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m) : \mathbf{q} \text{ is of class } C^1 \text{ and } \mathbf{q}(0) = \mathbf{q}(T)\}, \quad L\mathbf{q} = \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt},$$

and $N : C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m) \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m)$ by

$$N(\mathbf{q}) = (\mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{q}_1^{n_1}(\cdot) + \mathbf{g}_1(\cdot, \mathbf{q}(\cdot)), \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \mathbf{q}_m^{n_m}(\cdot) + \mathbf{g}_m(\cdot, \mathbf{q}(\cdot))),$$

it is easy to show that L is Fredholm of index zero, N is L-compact on bounded sets of $C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m)$ [15, 16], and that the kernel $N(L)$ and range $R(L)$ of L are respectively given by

$$N(L) = \{\mathbf{q} \in C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m) : \mathbf{q} = P\mathbf{q}\}, \quad R(L) = \{\mathbf{q} \in C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m) : P\mathbf{q} = 0\}$$

where P is the mean value operator

$$P\mathbf{q} := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{q}(t) dt.$$

Let $\mathbf{q}$ be a possible solution of the differential system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{q}_k}{dt} &= \lambda [\mathbf{a}_k \bar{\mathbf{q}}_k^{n_k} + \mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_m)], \quad (\lambda \in (0, 1]) \\ \mathbf{q}_k(0) &= \mathbf{q}_k(T), \quad (1 \leq k \leq m). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for some $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. We have, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\frac{d(\bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \mathbf{q}_k^{n_k+1})}{dt} = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \frac{d(\mathbf{q}_k^{n_k+1})}{dt} = \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \mathbf{q}_k^j \frac{d\mathbf{q}_k}{dt} \mathbf{q}_k^{n_k-j},$$

which, combined with (15), gives

$$\frac{d(\bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \mathbf{q}_k^{n_k+1})}{dt} = \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \mathbf{q}_k^j \mathbf{a}_k \bar{\mathbf{q}}_k^{n_k} \mathbf{q}_k^{n_k-j} + \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \mathbf{q}_k^j \mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q}) \mathbf{q}_k^{n_k-j}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \mathbf{q}_k^j \mathbf{a}_k \bar{\mathbf{q}}_k^{n_k} \mathbf{q}_k^{n_k-j} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \mathbf{q}_k^j \mathbf{a}_k \bar{\mathbf{q}}_k^j \|\mathbf{q}_k\|^{2n_k-2j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \|\bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \mathbf{q}_k^j\|^2 \|\mathbf{q}_k\|^{2n_k-2j} = (n_k + 1) \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^2 \|\mathbf{q}_k\|^{2n_k}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{d(\bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \mathbf{q}_k^{n_k+1})}{dt} = \lambda(n_k+1) \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^2 \|\mathbf{q}_k\|^{2n_k} + \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \mathbf{q}_k^j \mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q}) \mathbf{q}_k^{n_k-j}. \quad (16)$$

Integrating both members of (16), using the T-periodicity and letting

$$Q_k := \int_0^T \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{n_k} dt,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (n_k+1) \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^2 \int_0^T \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{2n_k} dt \\ &+ \int_0^T \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_k \mathbf{q}_k^j \mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q}(t)) \mathbf{q}_k(t)^{n_k-j} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (n_k+1) \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^2 Q_k &\leq \int_0^T \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_k} \|\mathbf{a}_k\| \|\mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q}(t))\| \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{n_k} \right) dt \\ &= (n_k+1) \|\mathbf{a}_k\| \int_0^T \|\mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q}(t))\| \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{n_k} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$Q_k \leq \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q}(t))\| \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{n_k} dt. \quad (17)$$

Using assumption (14), given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q})\| \leq \varepsilon \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|\mathbf{q}_j\|^{n_0} \right) + M(\varepsilon), \quad (18)$$

which, introduced in (17), gives, with

$$I_1 = \{t \in [0, T] : \|\mathbf{q}_j(t)\| < 1\}, \quad I_2 = \{t \in [0, T] : \|\mathbf{q}_j(t)\| \geq 1\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k &\leq \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \left(\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^T \|\mathbf{q}_j(t)\|^{n_0} \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{n_k} dt + M(\varepsilon) \int_0^T \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{n_k} dt \right) \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \varepsilon \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{q}_j(t)\|^{2n_0} + \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{2n_k}) dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} M(\varepsilon) T^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{2n_k} dt \right)^{1/2} \\
& = \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \varepsilon \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{I_1} \|\mathbf{q}_j(t)\|^{2n_0} + \int_{I_2} \|\mathbf{q}_j(t)\|^{2n_0} \right) dt \right] \\
& + \frac{m}{2} \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \varepsilon Q_k + \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} M(\varepsilon) T^{1/2} Q_k^{1/2} \\
& \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \varepsilon}{2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m (T + Q_j) + m Q_k \right] + \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} M(\varepsilon) T^{1/2} Q_k^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing the last inequalities over k , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^m Q_k & \leq \frac{mT\varepsilon}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m Q_j \right) \\
& + \frac{\varepsilon m}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} Q_k \right) + M(\varepsilon) T^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} Q_k^{1/2} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} + m \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} (\|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1}) \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^m Q_k \right) \\
& + M(\varepsilon) T^{1/2} m \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m Q_k \right)^{1/2} \\
& + \frac{mT\varepsilon}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If we take now

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 := \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} + m \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} (\|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1}) \right)^{-1},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^m Q_k & \leq 2M(\varepsilon_0) T^{1/2} m \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m Q_k \right)^{1/2} \\
& + mT\varepsilon_0 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^m Q_k = \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^T \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{2n_k} dt \leq R_0^2, \tag{19}$$

where R_0 is the unique positive solution of the algebraic equation

$$x^2 - 2M(\varepsilon_0)T^{1/2}m \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-2} \right)^{1/2} x - mT\varepsilon_0 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \right) = 0.$$

Now, it follows from (15) and from (18) with, say, $\varepsilon = 1$, that, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\left\| \frac{d\mathbf{q}_k}{dt}(t) \right\| \leq \|\mathbf{a}_k\| \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{n_k} + \sum_{j=1}^m \|\mathbf{q}_j(t)\|^{n_0} + M(1),$$

and hence, using (19) and the following direct consequence of Hölder inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^\mu dt \right)^{1/\mu} \leq \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^\nu dt \right)^{1/\nu} \quad (1 \leq \mu \leq \nu),$$

we obtain, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left\| \frac{d\mathbf{q}_k}{dt}(t) \right\| dt &\leq \|\mathbf{a}_k\| \int_0^T \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\|^{n_k} dt + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^T \|\mathbf{q}_j(t)\|^{n_0} dt + TM(1) \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{a}_k\| T^{1/2} Q_k^{1/2} + \sum_{j=1}^m T^{1-\frac{n_0}{2n_j}} Q_j^{\frac{n_0}{2n_j}} + TM(1) \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{a}_k\| T^{1/2} R_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m T^{1-\frac{n_0}{2n_j}} R_0^{\frac{n_0}{2n_j}} + TM(1). \end{aligned}$$

Those conditions, together with (19) easily imply the existence of $R > 0$ such that, for each $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ and possible solution of (15), one has

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{q}_k(t)\| < R \quad (1 \leq k \leq m).$$

We have now to find a priori estimates for the possible solutions $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{H}^m$ of the system $PN(\mathbf{c}) = 0$, explicetly

$$\mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{c}_k^{n_k} + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{c}) dt = 0 \quad (1 \leq k \leq m). \quad (20)$$

If $\mathbf{c}$ is a possible solution of (20), then, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\|\mathbf{a}_k\| \|\mathbf{c}_k\|^{n_k} \leq \varepsilon \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|\mathbf{c}_j\|^{n_0} \right) + M(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon \left[\sum_{j=1}^m (1 + \|\mathbf{c}_j\|^{n_j}) \right] + M(\varepsilon),$$

so that, by adding those inequalities after division of both members by $\|\mathbf{a}_k\|$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{c}_k\|^{n_k} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \right) \left[\varepsilon \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|\mathbf{c}_j\|^{n_j} + m \right) + M(\varepsilon) \right].$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \right)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{c}_k\|^{n_k} \leq 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_k\|^{-1} \right) [\varepsilon_1 m + M(\varepsilon_1)],$$

and hence there exists $r > 0$ such that each possible solution of $PN(\mathbf{c}) = 0$ is such that

$$\|\mathbf{c}_k\| < r \quad (1 \leq k \leq m).$$

Increasing the smallest of the numbers r and R , we can assume without loss of generality that $r = R$.

It remains to compute $d[PN|_{N(L)}, B(R)^m, \mathbf{0}]$. The estimates obtained for the possible solutions of the system (20) hold as well for the family of equations

$$\mathbf{f}_k(\mathbf{c}, \lambda) := \mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{c}_k^{n_k} + \frac{\lambda}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{c}) dt = 0 \quad (1 \leq k \leq m) \quad (\lambda \in [0, 1]).$$

Hence, by the homotopy invariance property of the Brouwer degree and Theorem 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d[PN|_{N(L)}, B(R)^m, \mathbf{0}] &= d[\mathbf{f}(\cdot, 1), B(R)^m, \mathbf{0}] = d[\mathbf{f}(\cdot, 0), B(R)^m, \mathbf{0}] \\ &= (-1)^m \prod_{k=1}^m n_k \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

All conditions of Theorem 2.4 in [16] are satisfied and the proof is complete. ■

Remark 7.1 The proof of Theorem 2.4 in [16] also implies that, for the coincidence degree $d_L[L - N, b(R)^m]$ of $L - N$ in $b(R)^m$, where $b(R)$ denotes the open ball centered at the origine and of radius R in $C([0, T], \mathbb{H})$, one has

$$|d_L(L - N, b(R)^m)| = |d[PN|_{N(L)}, B(R)^m, 0]| = \prod_{k=1}^m n_k. \quad (21)$$

Remark 7.2 As shown by a counterexample of the form

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = q^2 + h(t), \quad q(0) = q(T)$$

given in [3], the conclusion of Theorem 7.1 does not hold if the $\bar{\mathbf{q}}_k$ in the first terms of the right-hand member are replaced by $\mathbf{q}_k$.

For $n_k = 1$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$), we have the following special case of Theorem 7.1.

Corollary 7.1 *If $\mathbf{a}_k \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$) and the condition*

$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{q}\|_m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q})}{\|\mathbf{q}_1\| + \dots + \|\mathbf{q}_m\|} = 0 \quad (22)$$

holds uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$, then the problem

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}_k}{dt} = \mathbf{a}_k \bar{\mathbf{q}}_k + \mathbf{g}_k(t, \mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_m), \quad \mathbf{q}_k(0) = \mathbf{q}_k(T) \quad (1 \leq k \leq m)$$

has at least one solution.

Remark 7.3 It follows from Corollary 7.1 that the non-homogeneous linear problem

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}_k}{dt} = \mathbf{a}_k \bar{\mathbf{q}}_k + \mathbf{g}_k(t), \quad \mathbf{q}_k(0) = \mathbf{q}_k(T) \quad (1 \leq k \leq m)$$

has a solution for each forcing term $\mathbf{g}(t)$. This implies that the corresponding homogeneous linear problem

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}_k}{dt} = \mathbf{a}_k \bar{\mathbf{q}}_k, \quad \mathbf{q}_k(0) = \mathbf{q}_k(T) \quad (1 \leq k \leq m)$$

only admits the trivial solution.

Corollary 7.2 If the $\mathbf{p}_k$ are polynomials in $\mathbf{q}_1, \bar{\mathbf{q}}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_m, \bar{\mathbf{q}}_m$ of total degree smaller or equal to $n_0 - 1$ whose coefficients are continuous functions of $[0, T]$ into $\mathbb{H}$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$), then the problem

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}_k}{dt} = \mathbf{a}_k \bar{\mathbf{q}}_k^{n_k} + \mathbf{p}_k(t, \mathbf{q}_1, \bar{\mathbf{q}}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_m, \bar{\mathbf{q}}_m), \quad \mathbf{q}_k(0) = \mathbf{q}_k(T) \quad (1 \leq k \leq m)$$

has at least one solution.

Example 7.1 If $a \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ and $b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ are continuous, the problem

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = a\bar{q}^2 + b_1(t)qb_2(t) + c_1(t)\bar{q}c_2(t) + f(t), \quad q(0) = q(T)$$

has at least one solution.

8 Nontrivial periodic solutions of quaternionic valued differential systems

Let us now assume that

$$\mathbf{g}(t, 0) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad (23)$$

so that $\mathbf{0}$ is a solution of problem (13). Supplementary assumptions on the behavior of $\mathbf{g}$ near $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$ imply the existence of a nontrivial solution.

Theorem 8.1 Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 7.1 and condition (23) hold, and that there exists a continuous mapping $\mathbf{A} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{H}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}^m, \mathbb{H}^m)$ such that

$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{q}\|_m \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{g}(t, \mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{A}(t)\mathbf{q}}{\|\mathbf{q}\|} = 0.$$

and such that the linear problem

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} = \mathbf{A}(t)\mathbf{q}, \quad \mathbf{q}(0) = \mathbf{q}(T) \quad (24)$$

has only the trivial solution. Then, if $n_k \geq 2$ for at least one $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the problem (13) has at least one non trivial solution.

Proof. Of course, the a priori bounds obtained in the proof of Theorem 7.1 are still valid. It follows from the assumptions and Proposition VIII.3 of [15] that there exists $r \in (0, R)$ sufficiently small such that 0 is the unique zero of $L - N$ in $\bar{b}(r)^m \subset C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m)$ and that

$$d_L[L - N, b(r)^m] = d_L[L - A, b(r)^m] = \pm 1, \quad (25)$$

where $A : C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m) \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathbb{H}^m)$ is the linear operator defined by $A\mathbf{q}(\cdot) = \mathbf{A}(\cdot)\mathbf{q}(\cdot)$. Now, from the additivity property of coincidence degree [15, 16], we have

$$d_L[L - N, b(R)^m \setminus \bar{b}(r)^m] = d_L[L - N, b(R)^m] - d_L[L - N, b(r)^m]$$

so that, using (21) and (25),

$$\begin{aligned} |d_L[L - N, b(R)^m \setminus \bar{b}(r)^m]| &\geq |d_L[L - N, b(R)^m]| - |d_L[L - N, b(r)^m]| \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^m n_j - 1 \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

The existence property of the coincidence degree [15, 16] implies that $L - N$ has a zero in $b(R)^m \setminus \bar{b}(r)^m$, and hence problem (13) has a nontrivial T-periodic solution. $\blacksquare$

Remark 8.1 A class of linear problems (24) having only the trivial solution is given by Remark 7.3. Other examples can be found in [3].

Example 8.1 If $a, f \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ and $b, c : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ are continuous, the problem

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = a\bar{q}^3 + b(t)q^2 + c(t)\bar{q}^2 + f\bar{q}, \quad q(0) = q(T)$$

has a nontrivial solution.

References

- [1] Z.F. Cai and K.I. Kou. 2018. Laplace transform : a new approach in solving linear quaternion differential equations, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **41**, 4033–4048.
- [2] Z.F. Cai and K.I. Kou. 2018. Solving quaternion ordinary differential equations with two-sided coefficients. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **17**, 441–462.
- [3] J. Campos and J. Mawhin. 2006. Periodic solutions of quaternionic-valued ordinary differential equations, *Annali Mat. Pura Appl.* **185**, 109–127.
- [4] D. Cheng, K.I. Kou and Y.H. Xia. 2020. Floquet theory for quaternion-valued differential equations. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **19**, no. 1, 23 pp.
- [5] H.D. Ebbinghaus, H. Hermes, F. Hirzebruch, M. Koecher, K. Mainzer, J. Neukirch, A. Prestel and R. Remmert. 1991. *Numbers*. Springer, New York.
- [6] S. Eilenberg and I. Niven. 1944. The ‘fundamental theorem of algebra’ for quaternions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **50**, 246–248.
- [7] A. Gasull, J. Llibre and X. Zhang. 2009. One-dimensional quaternion homogeneous polynomial differential equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **50**, Article ID 082705.
- [8] N. Huo, B. Li and Y. Li. 2019. Existence and exponential stability of anti-periodic solutions for inertial quaternion-valued high-order Hopfield neural networks with state-dependent delays. *IEEE Access* **7**, 60010–60019.
- [9] K.I. Kou and Y.H. Xia. 2018. Linear quaternion differential equations : basic theory and fundamental results. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **141**, 3–45.
- [10] Y.K. Li, B. Li, S. Yao and L. Xiong. 2018. The global exponential pseudo almost periodic synchronization of quaternion-valued cellular neural networks with time-varying delays. *Neurocomputing* **303**, 75–87.
- [11] Y.K. Li and J.L. Qin. 2018. Existence and global exponential stability of periodic solutions for quaternion-valued cellular neural networks with time-varying delays. *Neurocomputing* **292**, 91–103.
- [12] Y.K. Li, J.L. Qin and B. Li. 2019. Anti-periodic solutions for quaternion-valued high-order Hopfield neural networks with time-varying delays. *Neural Process. Lett.* **49**, 1217–1237.
- [13] Y.K. Li, J.L. Qin and B. Li. 2019. Existence and global exponential stability of anti-periodic solutions for delayed quaternion-valued cellular neural networks with impulsive effects. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **42**, 5–23.
- [14] Y.K. Li and J.L. Xiang. 2020. Existence and global exponential stability of anti-periodic solutions for quaternion-valued cellular neural networks with time-varying delays. *Advances Difference Equations* **2020**, 2020:47.

- [15] J. Mawhin. 1979. *Topological Degree Methods in Nonlinear Boundary Value problems*. CBMS Series No. 40, American Math. Soc., Providence RI.
- [16] J. Mawhin. 1993. Topological degree and boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations. *Topological Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations*. M. Furi and P. Zecca (ed.) CIME Montecatini Terme 1991. Lecture Notes in Math. No. 1537. Springer, Berlin, 74–142.
- [17] J. Mawhin. 2004. A simple approach to Brouwer degree based on differential forms. *Advanced Nonlinear Studies* **4**, 501–514.
- [18] J. Mawhin. 2005. Simple proofs of various fixed point and existence theorems based on exterior calculus. *Math. Nachr.* **278**, 1607–1614.
- [19] S. Pumplün and S. Walcher. 2002. On the zeros of polynomials over quaternions. *Commun. Algebra* **30**, 4007–4018.
- [20] P. Wilczynski. 2009. Quaternionic valued ordinary differential equations. The Riccati equation, *J. Differential Equations* **247**, 2163–2187.
- [21] P. Wilczynski. 2012. Quaternionic-valued ordinary differential equations II: coinciding sectors. *J. Differential Equations* **252**, 4503–4528.
- [22] X. Zhang. 2011. Global structure of quaternion polynomial differential equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **303**, 301–316.
- [23] H. Zoladek. 2009. Classification of diffeomorphisms of S^4 induced by quaternionic Riccati equations with periodic coefficients. *Topological Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **33**, 205–215.

Authors' address :

Jean Mawhin, Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique, Université Catholique de Louvain, chemin du Cyclotron, 2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
e-mail : jean.mawhin@uclouvain.be