

Table des matières

PRÉFACE	5
A NEW GENERATION OF PUBLIC PROCUREMENT DIRECTIVES: BACKGROUND, OBJECTIVES AND RESULTS	7
§ 1. <i>Introduction</i>	7
§ 2. <i>EU framework and historical background of the public procurement Directives</i>	8
I. EU framework	8
II. Historical background	10
§ 3. <i>A new generation of directives</i>	15
I. Antecedents	15
II. Objectives	18
A. Objectives of the proposals	18
1) Concrete means of actions	18
2) Critical analysis	23
B. Objectives of the public procurement Directive	25
1) Economic objectives	25
2) Horizontal policies	28
III. Principles	32
A. Equal treatment	33
B. Transparency	35
C. Proportionality	37
§ 4. <i>Conclusions</i>	38
LA PASSATION DES MARCHÉS PUBLICS DANS LA DIRECTIVE 2014/24/UE :	
AMPLIFICATION ET RÉDUCTION	41
§ 1. <i>Le choix des participants et l'attribution des marchés</i>	46
I. Le choix des participants	49
II. Le choix des offres	53
§ 2. <i>Les modes de passation</i>	56
I. Les procédures de passation ordinaires	56
II. Les procédures particulières de passation	58

A. La procédure concurrentielle avec négociation, le dialogue compétitif et le partenariat d'innovation	62
B. La procédure négociée sans publicité préalable	69
§ 3. <i>Conclusions</i>	77
 THE AWARD CRITERION OR THE AWARD CRITERIA	
ONE OF THE MYSTERIES OF THE PUBLIC PROCUREMENT	
DIRECTIVE 2014/24	79
§ 1. <i>Introduction</i>	79
§ 2. <i>Fundamental procurement principles</i>	83
§ 3. <i>The most economically advantageous tender – only one award criterion?</i>	85
I. The most economically advantageous tender	85
II. Best price-quality ratio	87
III. Price / cost-effectiveness approach	89
§ 4. <i>General principles regarding award criteria</i>	91
I. Award criterion versus selection criterion, minimal requirement and contract performance conditions	91
II. Restriction of the freedom of choice – avoiding arbitrariness	93
III. Weighting and publication of the criteria	94
IV. Sub-criteria	96
V. Methodology?	97
§ 5. <i>Life-cycle costing</i>	100
§ 6. <i>Experience as an award criterion</i>	102
§ 7. <i>Social and environmental considerations</i>	103
I. In general	103
II. Environmental considerations	104
III. Social considerations	105
IV. Continued effect regarding abnormally low tenders	106
§ 8. <i>Conclusion</i>	107
 L'ACCÈS DES PME DANS LA DIRECTIVE 2014/24	109
§ 1. <i>L'allotissement, outil potentiellement efficace pour favoriser l'accès des PME</i>	111
I. Des modalités de mise en œuvre intéressantes	111
II. Un recours à l'allotissement non contraignant	114

§ 2. <i>Les mesures destinées à faciliter l'accès des PME</i>	116
I. Les aspects financiers de l'accès des PME aux marchés publics	116
II. Les aspects matériels de l'accès des PME aux marchés publics	118
EXCLUSION AND SELF-CLEANING IN THE 2014 PUBLIC SECTOR DIRECTIVE	121
<i>Introduction</i>	121
§ 1. <i>Mandatory exclusion grounds</i>	123
I. Overview	123
II. Background to the new exclusion grounds	125
§ 2. <i>Discretionary grounds for exclusion</i>	126
I. Overview	126
II. Background to the new exclusion grounds	128
§ 3. <i>Clarifications of the existing rules</i>	129
§ 4. <i>Self-cleaning</i>	131
§ 5. <i>Duration of the exclusion</i>	136
<i>Conclusion</i>	137
THE 2014 PUBLIC PROCUREMENT PACKAGE	
ONE-STEP FORWARD AND TWO BACK FOR GREEN AND SOCIAL PROCUREMENT?	139
<i>Introduction</i>	139
§ 1. <i>The potential tools for instrumental public procurement</i>	142
§ 2. <i>Ideological competition and its normative outcomes for public procurement before 2014</i>	144
I. The competing ideologies	144
A. Neoliberalism	144
B. Governance	146
1) State-centred governance	146
2) Global governance	147
II. Mapping the battles lines as regards public procurement	148
A. Instrumental public procurement from a neoliberal perspective	148
B. Instrumental public procurement from a state-centred governance perspective	152

C. Instrumental public procurement from a global governance perspective	153
III. The normative outcome of the ideological contest prior to 2014 – How the Court and Legislature struck the balance	154
IV. Reception of the case law into public procurement legislation	160
§ 3. <i>The 2014 legislation</i>	161
I. The Europe 2020 Strategy	161
II. The Green Paper	162
III. The 2014 legislation – A new approach	167
IV. Global governance – Mandatory measures that require contracting authorities to engage in instrumental public procurement in support of EU horizontal policies	168
A. Global governance measures intended to help align public sector demand behind environmentally highly performing and/or innovative products	168
B. Provisions of Directive 2014/24 laying down mandatory requirements (and supporting powers) in order to implement EU horizontal policy goals	169
1) The personal scope of the enforcement duty	170
2) The material scope of the enforcement duty	172
3) Public Works and Services Contracts	175
4) Public Supply Contracts	176
V. Elements of a state-centred approach – Provisions of Directive 2014/24 that ostensibly clarify or amend existing EU rules in order to facilitate the achievement of common societal goals	178
A. Technical specifications and labelling	178
1) ‘Clarifying the law’	178
B. Criteria for exclusion of bidders	185
C. Basis of award & contract award criteria	186
1) Price	186
2) Best cost	186
3) Best price-quality ratio	187
D. Contract performance conditions	189
E. Reserved contracts	190

F. Eco and fair trade labels	190
G. Principles of procurement	192
§ 4. <i>Conclusions</i>	195
CHANGES DURING PERFORMANCE	
A CASE FOR REVISING THE EXTENSION OF COMPETITION	197
§ 1. <i>Introduction</i>	197
§ 2. <i>Extending competition to open the market to competitors</i>	200
§ 3. <i>Searching for principles: competition or stability?</i>	205
§ 4. <i>Troubles ahead when extending competition</i>	209
I. Comparing competition	209
II. Proper access to information as a condition for competition	211
III. Discontinuity in expectations between procurement and performance	213
IV. Is competition necessarily equivalent to an efficient use of public money?	215
§ 5. <i>Alternatives to competition</i>	217
I. Contractual planning: a rigid escape strategy	217
II. Opening up discretion: flexible implementation and interpretation	219
III. Subsidiarity, proportionality and cooperation: towards developing assessment strategies and compliance monitoring systems	221
§ 6. <i>A call for more imagination, transparency and justification</i>	223